#### Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 1:8-9

## Original text:

- 1 Sam 1:8 וַיּאמֶר לָה אֶלְקְנָה אִישָׁה חַנָּה לָנֵמָה תִבְכִּי וְלָמֶה לֵא תְאֹכְלִי וְלָמֶה וֵרַע לְבָבֵד הֲלָוֹא אֵנֹכִי מִוֹב לֵך מֵעֵשָׁרֵה בַּנִים
- וַתָּקָם חַנְּה אַחֲרֵי אָכְלֶה בְשִׁלֹה וְאַחֲרֵי שֶׁתָה וְעֵלֵי הַכּּהֵן יֹשֵׁב עַל־הַכָּפֵּא עַל־מְזוּזַת הֵיכֵל 1 Sam 1:9 יְהוֶה

# HCSB rendering:

- 1 Sam 1:8 "Hannah, why are you crying?" her husband Elkanah <u>asked</u>. "Why won't you eat? Why are you troubled? Am I not better to you than 10 sons?"
- 1 Sam 1:9 <u>Hannah got up</u> after they ate and drank at Shiloh. Eli the priest was sitting on a chair by the doorpost of the LORD's tabernacle.

#### Suggestion:

- 1 Sam 1:8 "Hannah, why are you crying?" her husband Elkanah <u>would ask</u>. "Why won't you eat? Why are you troubled? Am I not better to you than 10 sons?"
- 1 Sam 1:9 <u>On one occasion Hannah got up</u> after they ate and drank at Shiloh. Eli the priest was sitting on a chair by the doorpost of the LORD's tabernacle.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

This particular section of 1 Samuel is difficult to render clearly in English. Beginning in verse 4, the text presents events that happened "whenever Elkanah offered a sacrifice." "Every year" Peninah would torment Hannah with a predictable reaction. This repeated action clearly is taking place at least into verse seven. Verse nine clearly gives information about one particular sacrificial meal, replete with the usual family argument. That one time Hannah went to the tabernacle and prayed for a son and spoke with Eli. The question is where does the account of the customary, annual squabbling break into the unique subject that concerns the remainder of the chapter? Many (but not all) modern translations find it at the beginning of verse nine and translate the *waw* there with some kind of time marker (NIV84/11 "once when"; GW "one day"; NET "on one occasion"). They accordingly render the main verb in verse 8 in a way that is consistent with how they rendered the verbs in verses 4-7 to show the customary force of the action.

The HCSB begins with a series of frequent actions (v. 4 "Whenever Elkanah offered a sacrifice, he always gave portions," v. 5 "he gave a double portion to Hannah, for he loved her," v. 6 "Her rival would taunt her," v. 7 "whenever she went up to the LORD's house, her rival taunted her ... Hannah wept and would not eat."). Then in verse 8, the HCSB shifts to a simple past: "Elkanah asked." This continues through verse nine and on through the narrative. The HCSB does not start a new paragraph with verse 8, however, but rather with verse 9.

By translating verses 8 + 9 in this way, the HCSB can easily leave the reader unsure about the situation, needing to hesitate to try to figure out what is happening. The reader is left wondering whether Elkanah repeatedly tried to console Hannah in this way (v. 8), or if he only thought of it this last time.

Since the HCSB begins a new paragraph with verse 9, we assume that the HCSB's intention is to see the single incident begin in verse 9. If that is the intention, we recommend that the HCSB help the reader by making the situation perfectly clear with the changes we suggest. Our suggested rendering is very much like numerous other translations.

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 1:14

Original text:

וַיָּאׁמֶר אֵלֶׂיהָ עַלִי עַד־מָתַי תִּשְׁתַּכָּרִין

# HCSB rendering:

and scolded her, "How long are you going to be drunk?"

# Suggestion:

and said to her, "How long are you going to be drunk?"

# <u>Rationale:</u>

"Scolded" has more punch, but it's needlessly interpretive—perhaps giving a negative impression about Eli the priest. One can argue that by virtue of the direct quotation, the sentence already has all the "punch" it needs. We understand that jazzing up reported speech with adverbs and alternate verbs for "saying" is stylistically questionable.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 1:26

Original text:

וַתֹּאמֶר בִּי אֲדֹנִי חֵי נַפְשְׁדָ אֲדֹנִי

# HCSB rendering:

"Please, my lord," she said, "as sure as you live,

# Suggestion:

"Please, my lord," she said, "as surely as you live,

# Rationale:

As far as we can see, this is the only time the HCSB ever translates an oath formula as "as sure as." It regularly says, "As surely as you/the Lord/ lives." We wonder if this is simply a typo. We suggest it conform to the usual practice in the HCSB.

#### Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 2:8 Psalm 113:7

# Original text:

מֵאַשְׁפּתֹ יָרָים אֶבְיוֹן

# HCSB rendering:

and lifts the needy from the garbage pile

#### Suggestion:

and lifts the needy from the trash heap

#### Rationale:

The HCSB clearly was attempting to find a modern English term that would have more meaning to the contemporary reader than "ash heap" (the choice of the ESV and the NIV84/11). We are sympathetic to that attempt. However, this strikes us as a poor choice. To many Americans, "garbage" seems to imply rotting leftovers. It is not at all clear that the Hebrew carries that connotation. At times, it seems to be more linked to manure piles than garbage heaps. Our limited testing with congregational members found them taking exception to this language.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Samuel 2:18

# Original text:

וּשְׁמוּאֵל מְשָׁרֶת אֶת־פְּנֵי יְהוָגָה נַֿעַר חָגָוּר אֵפּוֹד בָּד

# HCSB rendering:

The boy Samuel served in the LORD's presence and wore a linen ephod.

# Suggestion:

Samuel served in the LORD's presence<u>a boy wearing a linen ephod</u>.

# Rationale:

The HCSB smooths out the Hebrew here, but it loses a nuance. The appositive construction calls attention to his status as a נער wearing a linen ephod. That nuance is easily preserved in English.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 2:21

Original text:

כִּי־פָקָר יְהוָה' אֶת־חַנְּה

# HCSB rendering:

The LORD paid attention to Hannah's need

# Suggestion:

The LORD came to Hannah

# Rationale:

Translating the Hebrew verb a quite often involves making tough choices, since no English verb has the same range of meanings as TPD. The HCSB employs a variety of options and in general, we agree that is necessary. However, in this context, we don't think "paid attention to Hannah's need" really hits the nail on the head. Will the contemporary reader view Hannah's lack of children as a "need" or a preference? We fear that this expression may distract the reader from the main point of the account.

The only other time we can readily see that the HCSB used this formula for this verb is Ruth 1:6, which speaks of God bringing an end to the famine that drove Elkanah's family out of Judah. 1 Samuel 2:21 does not seem to be an exact equivalent of that situation. A closer parallel is Genesis 21:1 which speaks of the Lord opening Sarah's womb. There, the HCSB said simply, "The LORD <u>came to</u> Sarah" and then continued the account with what he did. We suggest a similar approach here.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 2:25

## Original text:

# אָם־יָחֶטָּא אָישׁ לְאִישׁ וּפְּלְלַוֹ אֶלהִים וְאָם לִיהוָה יֶחֶטָּא־אִישׁ מָי יִתְפַּלָל־לוֹ וְלָא יִשְׁמְעוּ לְקַוֹל אֲבִיהֶם בִּי־חָפִץ יְהוָה לַהַמִיתָם:

# HCSB rendering:

"If a man sins against another man, God can <u>intercede</u> for him, but if a man sins against the LORD, who can intercede for him?" But they would not listen to their father, since the LORD <u>intended</u> to kill them.

#### Suggestion:

"If a man sins against another man, God can <u>arbitrate</u> for him, but if a man sins against the LORD, who can intercede for him?" But they would not listen to their father, since the LORD <u>was resolved</u> to kill them.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The second issue has to do with the best way to bring out the meaning of  $\gamma \Box \Box$  in the comment on Eli's words. The HCSB rendering is not ideal, because "intended" in English often speaks of something we were trying to accomplish, but couldn't. Our suggestion conveys the idea that God had judged Hophni and Phineas and nothing was now going to turn that judgment away.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 3:2

Original text:

וְעֵלָי שֹׁבֵב בִּמְקֹמֵו

# HCSB rendering:

Eli ... was lying in his room

# Suggestion:

Eli ... was lying in his place

# Rationale:

While "lying in his room" sounds more natural in English than "lying in his place," we note that in verse 9, in the same context, in reference to Samuel, the HCSB translates a virtually identical expression (שָׁמוֹאֵׁל וַיָּשָׁכָר בָּמְקוֹמִוֹ) as "Samuel went and lay down in his <u>place</u>." We suggest that both verses be translated the same way, since the Hebrew makes no clear differentiation between the kinds of quarters Eli and Samuel had. "Room" seems to assume more than we can actually say about the accommodations at the tabernacle, so we suggest "place."

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 3:7

## Original text:

# וּשְׁמוּאֵל שֶׁרֶם יָדַע אֶת־יְהוָת וְשָׁרֶם יִנְּלֶה אֵלֶיו דְבַר־יְהוֶה

# HCSB rendering:

Now Samuel <u>had not yet experienced</u> the LORD, because the word of the LORD had not yet been revealed to him.

#### Suggestion:

Now Samuel did not know the LORD, because the word of the LORD had not yet been revealed to him.

# Rationale:

This verse raises questions. It literally says that Samuel did not "know the LORD." What does that mean? The HCSB rendering seems to be an attempt to clarify the issue, but in our view it really does not succeed. What does it mean to experience the Lord? How is that different from knowing the Lord? Because of the implications that this verse could have on teachings about conversion and the inspiration of Scripture, we think that the HCSB would do well to limit interpretation. We suggest staying with the more standard rendering of  $\$  as "know" and letting the individual student of Scripture reflect on what it means in context.

# <u>Bible Reference:</u>

1 Samuel 4:3

Original text:

וּיָאמְרוּ זִקְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵׁל לְנָאָה נְגָפָּנוּ יְהוֶה הַיּוֹם לִפְנֵי פְלִשְׁתִים

# HCSB rendering:

the elders of Israel asked, "Why did the LORD let us be defeated today by the Philistines?

# Suggestion:

the elders of Israel asked, "Why did the LORD defeat us today before the Philistines?

# Rationale:

While paraphrasing is sometimes necessary to bring out the Hebrew meaning in English, this seems to be a case where some of the meaning is lost through the paraphrase which the HCSB has chosen. We believe that meaning can be preserved by staying closer to the Hebrew. The elders of Israel asked more than why God allowed them to be defeated—they asked why God struck them before the Philistines. They saw their defeat as an active intervention of God into their affairs, not just as something permissive.

The three other times that this verb (in the qal) and preposition combination occurs in the Hebrew Bible in the context of a defeat on the battlefield, the HCSB uses either the word "defeated" or "routed:"

- Judg 20:35 The LORD defeated Benjamin in the presence of Israel
- 2 Chr 13:15 God routed Jeroboam and all Israel before Abijah and Judah
- 2 Chr 14:12 (H11) So the LORD routed the Cushites before Asa and before Judah

Each time, God is the subject of the verb as here. Therefore, we suggest a similar approach in this verse.

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 4:15 1 Kings 14:4

# Original text:

1 Sam 4:15 – וְעֵינְיו לְמָה וְלֹא יָכָוֹל לִרְאָוֹת 1Kgs 14:4 – וַאַחִיָּהוּ עֵינֶיו מִשֵּׁיבְוֹ: – 1Kgs 14:4

# HCSB rendering:

1 Sam 4:15 – and his <u>gaze was fixed</u><sup>a</sup> because he couldn't see <sup>a</sup> Lit *his eyes stood* 

1 Kgs 14:4 – Ahijah could not see; <u>his gaze was fixed</u><sup>a</sup> due to his age <sup>a</sup> Lit *see, for his eyes stood*;

# Suggestion:

- 1 Sam 4:15 and his <u>eyes did not move</u><sup>a</sup> because he couldn't see <sup>a</sup> Lit *his eyes stood*
- 1 Kgs 14:4 Ahijah could not see; <u>his eyes did not move</u><sup>a</sup> due to his age <sup>a</sup> Lit *see, for his eyes stood*;

# <u>Rationale:</u>

This appears to be language describing what the eyes of Eli and Ahijah looked like—they apparently didn't move. In both contexts, it's clear that the point is that these men were blind with old age. The problem with the HCSB rendering is that we really don't speak that way. A Google search brought up hundreds of references to eyes being fixed on something, but none to blindness. Since the HCSB has added a footnote in both verses giving a more literal translation, we suggest that the contemporary reader would benefit from a more idiomatic English statement.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 5:6

Original text:

וַתִּכְבֵּר יַד־יְהוֶה אֶל־הָאַשְׁדוֹדִים וַיְשִׁמֵּח

# HCSB rendering:

The LORD's hand was heavy on the people of Ashdod, terrorizing the people of Ashdod

# Suggestion:

The LORD's hand was heavy on the people of Ashdod, striking with terror the people of Ashdod

# <u>Rationale:</u>

We fear that the word "terrorize" is simply loaded with too many negative connotations to be used even to describe God's judgment. English meanings include "to dominate by fear or intimidation" or "to produce widespread fear by acts of violence"—as in a bully "terrorizing" his classmates or a mean dog "terrorizing" the neighborhood. It seems often to be associated with acts of terrorism or with abuse. We fear that using it here will simply distract the reader from the point. It appears to us that this is the only time this word appears in the HCSB.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 7:2

Original text:

וַיִּנֶהֶוּ כָּל־בִּית יִשְׂרָאֵל אַחֲרֵי יְהוֶה

# HCSB rendering:

Then the whole house of Israel began to seek the LORD.

# Suggestion:

Then the whole house of Israel yearned for the LORD.

# Rationale:

The HCSB adopts a somewhat unusual translation from the Niphal of the Hebrew word בְּהָה. A majority of the other translations we consulted tried to incorporate the dictionary meaning of mourning in some way. The best of that attempt would seem to be "the whole house of Israel mourned for/after the LORD." However, we noted several translations that used the wording we suggest above. Given the limited usage of this word in the Hebrew text, it's difficult to speak absolutely about its shades of meaning, but we don't see any evidence that "began to seek" accurately reflects its meaning. We think that in context, "yearned for" is the best choice.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Samuel 7:10

Original text:

וַיַּרְאֵם יְהוָהו בְּקוֹל־גֶּרוֹל בַּיּוֹם הַהָוּא עַל־פּּלִשְׁתִים וַיְהָמֵׁם וַיִּנְגְפוּ לִפְגֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל

# HCSB rendering:

The LORD thundered loudly against the Philistines that day and threw them into such confusion that they <u>fled before</u> Israel.

#### Suggestion:

The LORD thundered loudly against the Philistines that day and threw them into such confusion that they were defeated by Israel.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

#### Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 8:5

#### Original text:

1 Samuel 8:3 – וְלְאֹ־הָלְכָוּ בְנְיוֹ בִּדְרָכֶׂו 1 Samuel 8:5 – הַנֵּה אַתֶּה זָלַנְתָּ וּבְנֶיךּ לְאׁ הָלְכָוּ בִּדְרָכֵיָד

# HCSB rendering:

Samuel 8:3 – However, his sons did not walk in his ways
 Samuel 8:5 – Look, you are old, and your sons do not <u>follow your example</u>.

#### Suggestion:

Samuel 8:3 – However, his sons did not walk in his ways
 Samuel 8:5 – Look, you are old, and your sons do not <u>walk in your ways</u>.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

In these two verses, the Hebrew text uses the same idiom to make the same point about the same people (Samuel's sons). Yet, the HCSB has not translated them consistently. We feel that something is lost in this narrative if the same approach is not adopted between these two verses. We have a slight preference for the "walk in his ways" formula, but we could live with "follow your example" as long as both verses do it the same way. We notice that the HCSB translates "walk in the way of" in other passages where someone follows a good man's example (see 2 Chr 11:17; 17:3; 21:12; 34:2).

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 8:6

Original text:

וַיַּרַע הַדְּבָר' בְּעֵינֵי שְׁמוּאֵׁל כַּאֲשֶׁר אָמְרוּ הְנָה־לְנוּ מֶלֶך לְשָׁפְמֵנוּ

# HCSB rendering:

When they said, "Give us a king to judge us," Samuel considered their demand sinful

# Suggestion:

When they said, "Give us a king to judge us," Samuel considered their demand to be evil

# Rationale:

As far as we can see, this is the only time the HCSB uses "sinful" to translate any word from the Hebrew word group. To us, it introduces a vocabulary that is "loaded" with semantic significance that we don't usually associate with this word (nor does the HCSB). Therefore, we suggest a rendering that is more in keeping with the ways that this word is usually translated.

We note that in 1 Samuel 12:17, 19-20, the HCSB consistently translates this word group with "evil" when Samuel is expounding on this same topic. Verse 19 is especially significant, because there, it reads "For we have added to all our sins (דְּמָא הָיֹנה) the evil (דְמָא הָיֹנה) of requesting a king for ourselves."

We think "Samuel considered their demand to be evil" has the added advantage that it allows the exegete to explore the semantic range of both the Hebrew and the English words ( $\nabla v$ )/evil).

#### **Bible Reference:**

1 Samuel 8:9-17

## **Original** text:

- 9
  - 10
- וְעַתָּה שְׁמַע בְּקוֹלָם אֵׁך כִּי־הָעֵר תָּעִיד בָּהֶם וְהַנַּדְתָּ לָהֶם מִשְׁפֵּט הַמֶּׁלֶך אֲשֶׁר יִמְלֹך עֲלֵיהֶם: ס וַיַּאמֶר שְׁמוּאֵׁל אֶת כָּל־דִּבְרֵי יְהוֶת אֶל־הָעֶָם הַשֹּׁאֲלִים מֵאָתָוֹ מֶלֶך: ס וַיַּאמֶר זֶֹה יִהְיֶה מִשְׁפֵּט הַמֶּלֶך אֲשֶׁר יִמְלֹך עֲלִיכֵם אֶת־בְּנֵיכֵם יִקָּח וְשָׁם לוֹ בְּמֶרְכַּבְתוֹ וּבְפָרָשְׁיו 11 וְרָצִוּ לִפְנֵי מֶרְכַּבְתְּוֹ: וְלָשֵׁוּם לוֹ שָׁרֵי אֲלָפֶים וְשָׁרֵי חַמִשְׁיֵם וְלַחַרָשׁ חַרִישׁוֹ וְלִקְצָּר קְצִירוֹ וְלַעֲשְׁוֹת כְּלֵי־מִלְחַמְתּוֹ וּכְלֵי
- 12
  - 13
  - ַ וְאֶת־בְּנוֹתֵיכֶם יִאֶח לְרַקָּתְוֹת וּלְטַבָּחֻוֹת וּלְאֹפְוֹת: וְאֶת־שְׁרְוֹתֵיכֶם וְאֶת־כַּרְמֵיכֶם וְזֵיתֵיכֶם הַטּוֹבֶים יָאֶח וְנָתָן לַצְבָדֶיו: 14
    - וַזַרשֵיכֵם וְכַרְמֵיכֵם יַשִשֶׂר וְנָתַן לְסָרִיסֶיו וְלַשֵּבָדֶיוּ: 15
  - וְאֶת־עַבְדֵיכֵם וְאֶת־שָׁפָחוֹתֵיכֵם וְאֶת־בַחוּרֵיכֵם הַטּוֹבֵים וְאֶת־חַמוֹרֵיכֵם יָקָח וְעָשָׁה לְמִלַאָכְחִוּ 16
    - צאנכם יַעִשָּׁר וָאַתֵּם תֵּהִיוּ־לָוֹ לַעֵבָדִים: 17

#### HCSB rendering:

Listen to them, but you must solemnly warn them and tell them about the rights of the king who will rule over them."

<sup>10</sup> Samuel told all the LORD's words to the people who were asking him for a king. <sup>11</sup> He said, "These are the rights of the king who will rule over you: He will take your sons and put them to his use in his chariots, on his horses, or running in front of his chariots. <sup>12</sup><u>He can appoint</u> them for his use as commanders of thousands or commanders of fifties, to plow his ground or reap his harvest, or to make his weapons of war or the equipment for his chariots. <sup>13</sup> He can take your daughters to become perfumers, cooks, and bakers. <sup>14</sup> He can take your best fields, vineyards, and olive orchards and give them to his servants. <sup>15</sup>He can take a tenth of your grain and your vineyards and give them to his officials and servants. <sup>16</sup> He can take your male servants, your female servants, your best young men, and your donkeys and use them for his work. <sup>17</sup> He can take a tenth of your flocks, and you yourselves can become his servants.

#### Suggestion:

Listen to them, but you must solemnly warn them and tell them about what the king will do who will rule over them."

<sup>10</sup>Samuel told all the LORD's words to the people who were asking him for a king. <sup>11</sup>He said, "This is what the king will do who will rule over you: He will take your sons and put them to his use in his chariots, on his horses, or running in front of his chariots.<sup>12</sup>He will appoint them for his use as commanders of thousands or commanders of fifties, to plow his ground or reap his harvest, or to make his weapons of war or the equipment for his chariots. <sup>13</sup> He will take your daughters to become perfumers, cooks, and bakers.<sup>14</sup>He will take your best fields, vineyards, and olive orchards and give them to his

servants. <sup>15</sup> <u>He will take</u> a tenth of your grain and your vineyards and give them to his officials and servants. <sup>16</sup> <u>He will take</u> your male servants, your female servants, your best young men, and your donkeys and use them for his work. <sup>17</sup> <u>He will take</u> a tenth of your flocks, and <u>you yourselves will become</u> his servants.

## <u>Rationale:</u>

The way the HCSB translates this section, it gives the impression that Samuel is teaching the divine rights that God has given to kings. Without in any way questioning St. Paul's teaching in Romans 13, we are not at all convinced that it was God's intention to teach a general truth about obedience to government in this context. Quite the opposite, God is warning his people about the consequences of their actions and choices. Verse 18 specifically states that when all this would happen, they would cry out to God for relief from the king they had chosen, but God would not listen to them. That hardly seems to be in keeping with a teaching about God-given rights or prerogatives of a king.

We note that some eight times in these verses, the HCSB has rendered an imperfect verb or an infinitive construct with the modal "can," spelling out what the king is permitted to do. This seems to be dependent on understanding the noun  $\mathfrak{WP}$  in verses 9 and 10 as "the rights of the king." But this is a very difficult word to translate. We would argue that this is a time when the more proper idea is his manner or custom (to use BDB's expression). We suggest that theologically, the warning in verse 18will be much clearer following this procedure, and we suggest that the whole section be revised.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 9:8

Original text:

וְהִגִּיִד לְנוּ אֶת־דַּרְכֵּנוּ:

# HCSB rendering:

he will tell us our way

#### Suggestion:

he will tell us which way to go

# Rationale:

We find "tell us our way" to be awkward and unidiomatic in English. Its meaning may not be immediately clear to the average English reader. We notice that the Hebrew text has a very similar idiom two verses earlier: אולי געליה אָשֶׁר־הָלְכָנוּ אָשֶׁר־הָלָכָנוּ אָשֶׁר הָלָכָנוּ where the HCSB translates: "Maybe he will tell us <u>which way we should go</u>." We recommend translating verse 8 in a way similar to verse 6, but not identical. Since the relative clause with the finite verb is lacking in verse 8, we suggest shortening the expression also in English at verse 8.

# Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 9:13

Original text:

ּכְּבאֲכָם הָעֵיר כֵּן תִּמְצְאַוּן אֹתֿו בְּטֶרֶם יַעֲלֶה הַבָּמָׁתָה לֶאֶכֿל

# HCSB rendering:

If you go quickly, you can catch up with him before he goes to the high place to eat.

# Suggestion:

As you go in, you will find him before he goes to the high place to eat.

# Rationale:

The HCSB rendering of the first half of this verse is quite interpretive. We don't see anything in the Hebrew to convey the idea of "going quickly." "Catch up" does not seem to fit the lexical meaning of the verb. While there are some translations (e.g. the NLT) that approach this verse like the HSCB does, most do not. We do think there is a difference between someone telling someone to hurry and catch up and offering information about where a person is. Verse 12 is in answer to the question, "Is the seer here?" which seems to us to fit better with the answer giving information about where to find him.

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 10:5, 10

## Original text:

1 Sam 10:5 – אַחַר בָּן תָּבוֹא נְרְעַת הָאֱלֹהִים 1 Sam 10:10 – יָּרָאוּ שָׁם הַנְּרְעֶָת

# HCSB rendering:

1 Sam 10:5 – After that you will come to <u>the Hill</u> of God<sup>a</sup>
 <u><sup>a</sup> Or to Gibeath-elohim</u>
 1 Sam 10:10 – When Saul and his attendant arrived at Gibeah,

#### Suggestion:

1 Sam 10:5 – After that you will come to <u>Gibeah</u> of God<sup>a</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Or *to the Hill of God*1 Sam 10:10 – When Saul and his attendant arrived at <u>Gibeah</u>,<sup>a</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Or *the hill*

# <u>Rationale:</u>

We are concerned about clarity in this section. Verse 10 is the fulfillment of the events Samuel prophesied in verses 5-8. Without naming "Gibeah" in the main text in verse 5, it may not be clear to the reader that "the city" where Saul was to meet the prophets was the city of Gibeah named in verse 10. The HCSB footnote is only marginally helpful, since it transliterates the construct form, which may look to the average reader like a different word. The HCSB calls this city "Gibeah" in Samuel 10:26, 13:2, 15:34, and many other places.

We are aware of the alternate translation tradition of the KJV and others—translating "hill" in this chapter. We think that it would be reasonable to retain this option in a footnote, but we don't think that it makes sense to translate "hill" in one verse and "Gibeah" in the other.

#### Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 12:15

#### Original text:

# וְאִם־לְא תִשְׁמְעוּ בְּקֵוֹל יְהוָה וּמְרִיתֶם אֶת־פִּי יְהוֶה וְהָיְתָה יֵד־יְהוֶה בְּכֶם וּבַאֲבֹתֵיכֶם

# HCSB rendering:

However, if you disobey the LORD and rebel against His command, the LORD's hand will be against you and <u>against your ancestors</u>.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> LXX reads your king

#### Suggestion:

However, if you disobey the LORD and rebel against His command, the LORD's hand will be against you and against your king.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> LXX; MT reads against your ancestors

## <u>Rationale:</u>

In this verse, you have a clear divergence between the reading of the Septuagint and that of the Hebrew manuscripts of the Masoretic text (MT). Most of the time, the HCSB does what it does here: it follows the MT and footnotes the reading from the Septuagint. However, in verse 6 of this chapter, the HCSB reversed that trend, putting the Septuagint reading in the main text with a footnote noting the Masoretic reading. We suggest a similar approach here.

The point of the MT is quite difficult to get a handle on. Is God saying that he would oppose their ancestors by punishing their descendants? The Septuagint reading will cause less questioning on the part of the reader. Some scholars argue that the Hebrew text of the books of Samuel is among the least well preserved of the Hebrew Scriptures. (See, for example, Gleason Archer, *A Survey of Old Testament Introduction, Revised,* p. 291, or Horace D. Hummel, *The Word Becoming Flesh: An Introduction to the Origin, Purpose, and Meaning of the Old Testament* p. 133), so this kind of choice is not merely an arbitrary attempt to avoid a difficult reading. The critical apparatus to the BHS provides some evidence for this reading apart from the Septuagint.

Alternately, if the HCSB wants to stick with the MT, we think that the HCSB should translate the way that other translations do: "the LORD's hand will be against you <u>as it was against your ancestors</u>." This expansion is not evident in the Hebrew, which is the reason that we do not prefer it. But it does make sense, and it is defended in numerous commentaries.

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 13:14 Acts 13:22

## Original text:

1 Sam 13:14 – בִקִשׁ יְהוָה לוֹ אַישׁ כִּלְבָבוֹ Acts 13:22 – Εύρον Δαυίδ τὸν τοῦ Ἱεσσαί, ἄνδρα κατὰ τὴν καρδίαν μου

#### HCSB rendering:

1 Sam 13:14 – The LORD has found a man <u>loyal to Him</u><sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Lit *man according to His heart* Acts 13:22 – **'I have found David** the son of Jesse, **a man loyal to Me**,

#### Suggestion:

1 Sam 13:14 – The LORD has found a man <u>after His own heart</u> Acts 13:22 – '**I have found David** the son of Jesse, **a man <u>after My own heart</u>**,

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

In the Old Testament passage and its New Testament citation, the HCSB attempts to explain the Hebrew idiom. The explanation is reasonable, although we might argue that it doesn't go nearly far enough in describing a man who follows God's heart. To us, that implies more than "loyalty," since a person may be "loyal" to a superior without agreeing with superior or thinking the same way as the superior. We suggest that in both of these verses, the Hebrew idiom be retained as it is understandable in the receptor language.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Samuel 14:13

Original text:

וַיִּפּּלוּ לִפְגֵי יוֹנָהֶן וְנֹשֵׂא כֵלָיו מִמוֹתֵת אַחֲרֵיו

# HCSB rendering:

Jonathan cut them down, and his armor-bearer followed and finished them off.

# Suggestion:

Jonathan struck them down, and his armor-bearer followed and killed them.

# Rationale:

We recognize that the qal of 23 has a wide variety of English translations. However, we think that the HCSB rendering of this verse needless complicates a fairly straightforward situation. What did Jonathan do and what did his armor-bearer do? In English, in the context of a battle, doesn't "cutting someone down" imply that you killed them? Why then would they need to be "finished off"? The Hebrew clearly says that armor-bearer killed the men in the outpost. We take 23 therefore as simply meaning that Jonathan struck them down (the Septuagint reads  $i\pi i \pi a \xi v a i \pi o i \zeta)$ , while the armor-bearer followed behind and killed them. We notice that there are other passages where the HCSB has something like "he struck him down and killed him" (e.g. 1 Sam 17:50; 1 Kg 2:34; 2 Kg 15:14; Jer 41:2).

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 14:27,29

#### Original text:

1 Sam 14:27 – וַיָּשֶׁב יְדוֹ אֶל־פִּיו וַתְּראֹנָה עֵינְיו 1 Sam 14:29 – רְאוּ־נָא כְּי־אֵׁרוּ עֵינֵי כָּי טָשַׁמְתִי מְעַט דְּבָשׁ הַזֶּה

# HCSB rendering:

1 Sam 14:27 – When he ate the honey, <u>he had renewed energy</u>.
 1 Sam 14:29 – Just look at how <u>I have renewed energy</u> because I tasted a little honey.

#### Suggestion:

1 Sam 14:27 – When he ate the honey, <u>his eyes lit up.</u>
 1 Sam 14:29 – Just look at how <u>my eyes lit up</u> because I tasted a little honey.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

Our concern here is how unnatural these two verses sound. Hebrew likes to use concrete images to express abstract ideas and we laud the desire to make clear to the modern reader what the writer was expressing. But if the goal is to render the meaning in natural sounding English, we have to ask, would anyone really say this? A quick review of various translations indicates that many try to preserve the idea of Jonathan's eyes "lighting up" or "brightening," and then let the reader think about what that implies. Or, a slightly less common approach is to give the interpretation as the translations, so something along the lines of Jonathan feeling refreshed or his strength being renewed. Some translations do both by means of a footnote. We suggest the first approach and offer the version found in both GW and JPS, but we would not object to a more interpretative rendering if it sounded more natural ("he felt refreshed" "his strength was renewed").

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 16:16, 23

#### Original text:

1 Sam 16:16 – וְהָיָה בְּהְיוֹת עָלֶיְדְ רְוּחַ־אֶלֹהִים רָשָׂה 1 Sam 16:23 – וְהָיָה בְּהְיָוֹת רְוּחַ־אֶלֹהִים אֶל־שָׁאוּל

# HCSB rendering:

1 Sam 16:16 – Whenever the evil spirit from God <u>troubles</u> you 1 Sam 16:23 – Whenever the spirit from God <u>troubled</u> Saul,

#### Suggestion:

1 Sam 16:16 – Whenever the evil spirit from God <u>comes upon</u> you 1 Sam 16:23 – Whenever the spirit from God came upon Saul,

#### Rationale:

In earlier recommendations we commented on expressions used in the HCSB for the intervention of spiritual beings (cf. Judges 6:34 "the Spirit was clothed with" and Judges 14:6 "the Spirit rushed upon"). The construction used here, a form of  $\neg$ , is by far the most common construction. The HCSB usually translates this as "the spirit ... came on" (Num 24:2; Judg 3:10; 11:29; 1 Sam 19:9, 20, 23; 2 Kgs 3:15; 2 Chr 15:1; 20:14; Ezek 11:5). While some people might complain that this choice is not "literal," we have no objection to it, especially in view of the Greek expression used in Acts 19:6 ("the Holy Spirit came on them" – η̃λθε τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἅγιον ἐπ' αὐτούς). But twice, the HCSB renders this construction using the word "trouble" (1 Samuel 16:16 and 16:23). We recommend making these two verses line up with the translation the HCSB uses in the other ten instances where this idiom occurs.

#### **Bible References:**

Joshua 8:18, 26 1 Samuel 17:6, 45 Job 39:23; 41:29

# Original text:

Josh 8:18 – גְּשָׁר־בְּיָדְוֹן אֲשֶׁר־בְּיָדְוֹ אָל־הָעַּׁי כֵּי בְיִדְדָ אָתְּגָּה וַיַּיֵט יְהוֹשֶׁעַ בַּכִּירָוֹן אֲשָׁר־בְּיָדָוֹ אָל־ אַל־ אָל הָעִיר: Josh 8:26 – יִיהוּשָׁעַ לְאֹ־הַשִׁיב יָרוֹ אֲשֶׁר נְטָה בַּכִּירָוֹן עַר אֲשֶׁר הָחֶרִים אֵת כָּל־ישָׁבִי הָעֵי I Sam 17:6 – יִרוֹן נְחָשֶׁת בֵּין כְּחַבֶּיו וּחָשֶׁת בֵּין I Sam 17:4 – בִירָוֹן נְחָשֶׁת בֵּין כְּחַבֶּיוּ אָשָׁר נִיּאׁמֶר דְּוִד אֶל־הַפּּלִשְׁתִי אַתָּה בָּאַ אַלֵי בְּחָרָן וְחָשֶׁת בֵּין כְּחַבֶּיוּ Job 39:23 – וְכִידְוֹן - לָרַעַשׁ כִּידְוֹן Job 41:29 (H21) – יְיָשָׁחַק לְרֵעַשׁ כִּיְדוֹן:

# HCSB rendering:

Josh 8:18 – "Hold out the <u>sword</u> in your hand toward Ai, for I will hand the city over to you." So Joshua held out his <u>sword</u> toward it.

Josh 8:26 – Joshua did not draw back his hand that was holding the <u>sword</u> until all the inhabitants of Ai were completely destroyed.

1 Sam 17:6 - a bronze sword was slung between his shoulders.

1 Sam 17:45 - David said to the Philistine: "You come against me with a dagger, spear, and sword.

Job 39:23 – he does not run from the sword. A quiver rattles at his side, along with a flashing spear and a lance.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Or *scimitar* 

#### Suggestion:

Josh 8:18 – "Hold out the javelin in your hand toward Ai, for I will hand the city over to you." So Joshua held out his javelin toward it.

Josh 8:26 – Joshua did not draw back his hand that was holding the javelin until all the inhabitants of Ai were completely destroyed.

1 Sam 17:6 – a bronze javelin was slung between his shoulders.

1 Sam 17:45 - David said to the Philistine: "You come against me with a sword, spear, and javelin.

Job 39:23 – he does not run from the sword. A quiver rattles at his side, along with a flashing spear and a javelin.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

We recommend that the HCSB decide on a consistent translation for כידון. Presently, there is a lack of consistency:

- Four passages = sword (Joshua 8 and 1 Samuel 17)
- One passage = lance with footnote scimitar (Job 39)
- Three passages = javelin (Jeremiah 6:23; 50:42; Job 41:29 [H21]).

Our recommendation is that the HCSB settle upon the traditional rendering "javelin" in all eight passages. We know that HALOT encourages "scimitar" based on the Qumran War Scroll, but we notice that many scholars are not convinced (e.g. *Anchor Bible Dictionary*, VI, p. 893-894; Holladay, *Jeremiah 1*, p. 224; Harstad, *Joshua*, p. 345). The כירון obviously is distinct from the הַנָּרָת ("spear"). A likely explanation is that the spear was kept in one's hand in order to thrust at the enemy, while a javelin was thrown. Jastrow indicates that קירון refers to "light spear, javelin" in rabbinic literature.

Our suggestion also clears up an additional inconsistency in 1 Samuel 17. The HCSB translates תֶרֶ⊂ as "dagger" in 1 Samuel 17:45, but as "sword" in verses 47, 50, and 51. Our recommendation is to render מֵרֶר as "sword" also in verse 45, which would make it consistent with the rest of the chapter.

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 18:1

## Original text:

וִיְהִי כְּכַלֹתוֹ לְדַבֵּר אֶל־שָׁאוּל וְנָפֶשׁ יְהֵוֹנָתֶׁן נִקְשְׁרֶה בְּנֵפֶשׁ דְוִד וַיֶּאֶהָבוֹ יְהוֹנָתָן כְּנַפְשִׁוֹ

# HCSB rendering:

When David had finished speaking with Saul, Jonathan <u>committed himself to David</u>, and loved him as much as he loved himself.

#### Suggestion:

When David had finished speaking with Saul, Jonathan <u>was bound to David in close friendship</u>,<sup>a</sup> and he <u>loved</u> him as much as he loved himself.

<sup>a</sup> Lit the life of Jonathan was bound to the life of David

# <u>Rationale:</u>

We know that HALOT suggests the meaning "commit oneself to" for the Niphal of  $\neg \forall \neg$  here. However, we also know that this verse is wrongfully used by proponents of gay marriage, and the word "committed" is a word closely associated with marriage. We fear that the HCSB could unwittingly be playing into the hand of homosexual marriage advocates with its rendering here, since marriage is commonly defined as a life-long *commitment*.

Our suggestion would help avoid the misuse of this verse, and it is similar to the rendering of the NET. Another possible rendering would be: "Jonathan considered his life to be bound up with David's, and he loved him..." Perhaps this is a place where a footnote with a literal translation would be useful.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Samuel 18:13

Original text:

וַיְסָרֵהוּ שָׁאוּל מֵעִמּוֹ וַיְשָׂמֵהוּ לוֹ שַׁר־אָָלֶף

# HCSB rendering:

Therefore, Saul reassigned David and made him commander over 1,000 men.

#### Suggestion:

Therefore, Saul sent David away from him and made him commander over 1,000 men.

#### Rationale:

We feel that there the HCSB rendering of this verse gives it a different nuance than the Hebrew holds. The preceding verse says, "Saul was afraid of David, because the LORD was with David but had left Saul." The Hebrew implies that David was removed from the king's presence. The translations we reviewed (NIV, NASB, JPS, NJB, NRSV, ESV, GNB, LEB, NLT, GW, NCV, NET) all render this clause with an expression like, "Saul removed him from his presence," "Saul sent him away," or "Saul got rid of him." We feel "Saul reassigned him" just doesn't carry the same implication. We suggest a rendering that is more in keeping with most other current translations.

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 18:17,21

#### Original text:

1 Sam 18:17 – וְשָׁאוּל אָמַר אַל־תְהֵי יָדִי בּוֹ וּתְהִי־בוֹ יַד־פּּלְשְׁתִים 1 Sam 18:21 – יַד־פּּלְשְׁתִים 1 Sam 18:21 - וַיּאמֶר שָׁאוּל אֶתְנֶנָה לּוֹ וּתְהִי־לוֹ לְמוֹלֵשׁ וּתְהִי־בוֹ

# HCSB rendering:

1 Sam 18:17 – But Saul was thinking, "<u>My hand doesn't need to be against him</u>; let <u>the hand of the</u> <u>Philistines be against him.</u>"

1 Sam 18:21 – "I'll give her to him," Saul thought. "She'll be a trap for him, and <u>the hand of the</u> <u>Philistines will be against him</u>."

#### Suggestion:

- 1 Sam 18:17 But Saul was thinking, "<u>I don't need to raise a hand against him; let the Philistines do</u> <u>that</u>."
- 1 Sam 18:21 "I'll give her to him," Saul thought. "She'll be a trap for him, <u>and the Philistines will get</u> <u>him.</u>

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

This is a place where many modern English translations feel a need to be more explicit than the very literal rendering of the HCSB. Saul isn't just hoping that the Philistines will be against David; he's hoping they'll kill him. A fair number of translations are that explicit, or use a euphemism like "get him," "strike him," etc. But a few (NIV, NRSV) preserve the imagery of the hand, and say "raise a hand against him" at least in verse 17. Both approaches have merit and we have combined them in our recommendation.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Samuel 18:23

Original text:

וְאָנֹכֵי אֵישׁ־רָשׁ וְנִקְלֶה

HCSB rendering:

I am a poor man who is common.

#### Suggestion:

I am a poor and unimportant man.

#### Rationale:

This rendering of the HCSB strikes us as very unnatural English. The relative clause is an awkward way to render this construction. While "common" certainly can have the meaning needed here, it's not the first thing Americans think of and we fear that the point could easily be lost.

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 19:11,14,15,16,20,21 Psalm 59 superscription

# Original text:

1 Sam 19:11 - אַאָּרָים אָל־בָּיָת דָּוִד לְשָׁמְוּדׁ וְלַהְמִיתוֹ בַּבָּקָר זיִשְׁלַח שָׁאוּל מַלְאָרָים לָקַחַת אָת־דָּוָד - 1 2 Sam 19:14 - זיִשָּלָח שָׁאוּל אָת־הַמַּלְאָרָים לָרְאוֹת אֶת־דָּוָד 1 Sam 19:16 - וַיָּשָׁלִח שָׁאוּל אֶת־הַמַּלְאָרָים לַקַחַת אֶת־דָּוָד 2 Sam 19:20a - וַיָּבָאוּ הַמַּלְאָרִים לַקַחַת אֶת־דָּוָד 1 Sam 19:20a - גַאוּ הַמַּלְאָרִים לַקַחַת אֶת־דָּוָד 2 Sam 19:20a - וַיִּרְנַבְאוּ הַסַרָקָם לָאָרִים וַיִּשְׁלַח שָׁאוּל מַלְאָרִים לַקַחַת אֶת־דָּוָד 2 Sam 19:20a - גַיַּרָם לָאָרִים לַקַחַת אֶת־דָּוָד 3 Sam 19:20a - גַיַּקָרָים לָקַתָּר שָׁאוּל מַלְאָרִים נַיִּתְנַבְאוּ הַסַרַקָּרָים 3 Sam 19:20a - גַיַּקָרָים לָקָאָרִים נַיִּתְנַבְאוּ הַים־הָמָה 3 Sam 19:20a - גַיַּקָבָר שָׁלִלִים נַיִּתְנַבְאוּ הַים דַהָמָה 3 Sam 19:21a - נַיַּתְנַבְאוּ הַים דַהָמָה 3 Sam 19:21a - נַיִּקְנָבָאוּ הַסַרָקָמָר מַלְאָרָים נַיִּתְנַבְאוּ גַּם־הַמָּה פַרָקָמָר מַלְאָרָים נַיִּתְנַבָּאוּ גַם־הַמָּה 3 Sam 19:21b - וַיָּתְנַבְאוּ הַיַרִים אָרִים נַיִּתְנַבָּאוּ גַם־הַמָּזָה 3 Sam 19:21b - וַיָּקָנָם שְׁרָשִׁרָים נַיִּתְנַבָּאוּ גַם־הַמָּזָה פַאוּר מַלָּאָרָים נַיִּתְנַבָּאוּ גַם־הַמָּזָה אַרָּזים נַיַּתָנַבָּאוּר נַיִיקָים אוּרָים גַיִיקָנָקָאָרים נַיָּתָנַבָּאוּ גַם־הַמָּזָה

# HCSB rendering:

- 1 Sam 19:11 Saul sent agents to David's house to watch for him and kill him in the morning.
- 1 Sam 19:14 When Saul sent agents to seize David,
- 1 Sam 19:15 Saul sent the agents back to see David
- 1 Sam 19:16 When the messengers arrived,
- 1 Sam 19:20a he sent agents to seize David
- 1 Sam 19:20b the Spirit of God came on Saul's agents, and they also started prophesying
- 1 Sam 19:21a When they reported to Saul, he sent other <u>agents</u>, and they also began prophesying
- 1 Sam 19:21b So Saul tried again and sent a third group of agents, and even they began prophesying.
- Ps 59 superscription For the choir director: "Do Not Destroy." A Davidic *Miktam*. When Saul sent <u>agents</u> to watch the house and kill him.

#### Suggestion:

- 1 Sam 19:11 Saul sent men to David's house to watch for him and kill him in the morning.
- 1 Sam 19:14 When Saul sent men to seize David,
- 1 Sam 19:15 Saul sent the men back to see David
- 1 Sam 19:16 When the men arrived,
- 1 Sam 19:20a he sent men to seize David
- 1 Sam 19:20b the Spirit of God came on Saul's men, and they also started prophesying
- 1 Sam 19:21a When they reported to Saul, he sent other men, and they also began prophesying
- 1 Sam 19:21b So Saul tried again and sent a third group of men, and even they began prophesying.
- Ps 59 superscription For the choir director: "Do Not Destroy." A Davidic *Miktam*. When Saul sent <u>men</u> to watch the house and kill him.

## Rationale:

The HCSB uses the word "agents" a total of twelve times in ten verses. Outside of the eight instances listed here, the other four all deal with financial transactions and translate a different Hebrew vocabulary. In general, we don't see a problem with those. However, in 1 Samuel chapter 19, the word is used seven times to translate the very common Hebrew word לְאָרָ . While we don't have a problem with varying translations of words according to context, "agents" in the context of Saul sending men to kill David seems anachronistic to us. We think of how the term is used today in connection with "secret agents."

If we were to think about how we would say it today, we think the common English noun "men" is best. A person in authority can send "his men" to do the work of their master—even arresting or killing according to the command of their master.

We also note that in verse 16, the HCSB employed the standard gloss of "messengers" in reference to the same men. We assume that this may have been an oversight, and at the very least we think the HCSB should be consistent throughout this chapter.

The heading for Psalm 59 clearly refers to this incident and so is included in this recommendation. Interestingly, the Hebrew does not include the word  $\vec{a}$  although it obviously is the antecedent. We suggest the same change there.

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 19:22

Original text:

וַיָּבאֹ עַד־כָּוֹר הַנָּדוֹל אֲשֶׁר בַּשֶּׁכוּ וַיִּשְׁאַל וַיֹּאמֶר אֵיפָה שְׁמוּאָל וְדָוָד

# HCSB rendering:

He came to the large cistern at Secu, looked around, and asked, "Where are Samuel and David?"

#### Suggestion:

He came to the large cistern at Secu and asked, "Where are Samuel and David?"

#### Rationale:

The HCSB inserts the words "looked around" into this verse. While Saul may well have done that, the Hebrew doesn't say it and it is not necessary for understanding to insert it. Putting it in feels more like a paraphrase, like what a Sunday School teacher might do in a dramatic retelling of the story, rather than a translation. We suggest these words be removed.
#### Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 20:31

## Original text:

ַכִּי כָל־הַיָּמִים אֲשֶׁר בֶּן־יִשֵׁי חַי עַל־הָאֲדָמֶה לְא תִכְּוֹן אַתְּה וּמַלְכוּתֶד וְעַתָּה שְׁלַח וְקַח אֹתוֹ אַלַי כֵּי בֶן מֶוֶת הוּא

## HCSB rendering:

Every day Jesse's son lives on earth you and your kingship are not secure. Now send for him and bring him to me—<u>he deserves to die</u>.

#### Suggestion:

Every day Jesse's son lives on earth you and your kingship are not secure. Now send for him and bring him to me—<u>he must die</u>.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

How to translate the expression  $\Box$  ( $\Box$ ) Waltke-O'Connor (*An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax*) lists this verse as an example of a class of genitives that use "son of" or an equivalent expression "to represent the nature, quality, character, or condition of (a) person (s)" (9.5.3). So what quality was Saul imputing to David with these words? In the context, it does not seem to us that Saul's argument was that David had done something that deserved death (indeed, Jonathan argues that he hadn't). Rather, Saul's point is that David's mere existence posed a threat to Jonathan's future kingdom. He then demands that Jonathan bring David to him because  $\Box$ . " $\Box$ " ( $\Box$ . "He deserves to die" just doesn't seem to fit the context.

Waltke-O'Connor suggests the translation "He must die." Many translations (NIV, NASB, GNB, NCV among others) use this translation and we have a slight preference for it, indicating the only logical solution to the dilemma Saul could see. Perhaps a few more (ESV, NRSV, NKJV among others) render the expression in this context "he shall surely die," which would also be acceptable. It would then be a menacing statement of what Saul intends to do. The only Bible we could find that follows the course of the HCSB was the New Jerusalem Bible.

#### Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 20:35

#### Original text:

# ַוְיָהַי בַבּּקֶר וַיֵּצֶא יְהוֹנָתָן הַשְּׁדֶה לְמוֹעֵד דְוֹד וְנַעַר קָמָׂן אִמוֹ:

## HCSB rendering:

In the morning Jonathan went out to the field for the appointed meeting with David. A small young man was with him.

#### Suggestion:

In the morning Jonathan went out to the field for the appointed meeting with David. A <u>young boy</u> was with him.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

Every translation we consulted understood the expression אָלָשָר קָטָן עָמוֹ in the same way: a young boy accompanied Jonathan. We suspect that may even be the understanding of the HCSB translators. But we have noticed that the HCSB has a tendency to translate in 1 and 2 Samuel as "young man." (It does that eight times in this chapter alone.) While there certainly are contexts in those books where it works well, it does not always seem to fit. In this chapter, it leads to this verse which in English seems to make a reference to his height rather than his age. We question if there is ever a clear usage of this adjective with that meaning in the Old Testament. We suggest rendering this verse as "a young boy" and making the other seven instances in this chapter simply "boy."

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 21:2(H3), 4(H5), 5(H6)

## Original text:

1 Sam 21:2(H3) – וְאֶת־הַנְּעָרֵים יוֹדַּעְתִּי אֶל־מְקָוֹם פָּלֹנֵי אַלְמוֹנִי 1 Sam 21:4(H5) – אָך מֵאָשֶׁר הַנְעָרָים אָך מֵאשֶׁר 1 Sam 21:5(H6) – נִיִשְׁר כֵּיוֹם יִקְדָשׁ בַּכֶּלִי

## HCSB rendering:

1 Sam 21:2(H3) – I have stationed my young men at a certain place.

- 1 Sam 21:4(H5) but the young men may eat it only if they have kept themselves from women.
- 1 Sam 21:5(H6) –The young men's bodies are consecrated even on an ordinary mission, so of course their bodies are consecrated today

#### Suggestion:

- 1 Sam 21:2(H3) I have stationed my men at a certain place.
- 1 Sam 21:4(H5) but the men may eat it only if they have kept themselves from women.
- 1 Sam 21:5(H6) The <u>men's</u> bodies are consecrated even on an ordinary mission, so of course their bodies are consecrated today

## <u>Rationale:</u>

Here is another instance where the HCSB's tendency to translate  $\Delta u$  as "young man/young men" makes for an awkward construction. If someone were to argue that the text doesn't have a form of  $\nu$ , we might counter that this context is not unlike when a modern officer refers to the soldiers in his unit as "his boys." But that seems too colloquial for this text, so we suggest simply removing the word "young" in this passage.

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 23:21

## Original text:

וַיַּאמֶר שְׁאוּל בְּרוּכִים אַתֶּם לִיהוָדָה כִּי חֲמַלְתָּם עָלֶי:

# HCSB rendering:

"May you be blessed by the LORD," replied Saul, "for you have taken pity on me."

#### Suggestion:

"May you be blessed by the LORD," replied Saul, "for you have been concerned about me."

#### Rationale:

We understand the need for a somewhat freer translation here, but we don't think that "take pity on me" strikes the right note. We could not find any other translation that rendered this verb that way.

The dictionary distinguishes "pity" from its synonyms by saying, "pity implies sorrow felt for another's suffering or misfortune, sometimes connoting slight contempt because the object is regarded as weak or inferior: 'he felt pity for a man so ignorant'..." We hear of handicapped people saying "I don't want your pity." Seeking pity is sometimes thought to be inconsistent with self-respect. I might be in great need in an emergency, but I am more likely to ask for help from a stranger than to ask him to take pity on me. The higher up a person is on the social ladder, the more incongruous it seems, and so if a king appreciates someone taking pity on him, it sounds like he has chosen to put aside his pride utterly and make a powerful statement of just how desperate he is. Is that what Saul is trying to do?

We notice that a few translations (NIV, NLT) say: "you have been concerned about me." We think this works reasonably well, and we suggest following their lead.

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 25:17

Original text:

וְהוּאֹ בֶּן־בְּלִיֵּעַל מִדַבֶּר אֵלֶיו

## HCSB rendering:

He is such a worthless fool nobody can talk to him!

## Suggestion:

He is such a worthless man nobody can talk to him!

## <u>Rationale:</u>

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 25:37

Original text:

וַיָּמָת לִבּוֹ בְּקִרְבֵּׁוֹ וְהָוּא הָיֶה לְאֶבֶן

# HCSB rendering:

Then <u>he had a seizure<sup>a</sup> and became paralyzed</u>. <sup>a</sup> Lit *Then his heart died within him* 

#### Suggestion:

Then his heart failed and he became like stone.

## Rationale:

This passage admittedly begs for interpretation. However, we feel that the HCSB goes too far in rendering a diagnosis that Nabal's heart dying within him was a seizure. Perhaps it was, but to our ears that is too specific. It could just as easily have been a heart attack or a stroke. Since the next verse makes it clear that Nabal lived for ten more days, we also don't want to translate literally "his heart died." We think that "his heart failed" is a safe, generic rendering, trying not to say more or less than the Hebrew.

Likewise, "become paralyzed" perhaps is too much in the realm of precise modern medical terminology. We think that a literal rendering of the last clause would communicate clearly and in a picturesque way for modern readers.

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 28:5

Original text:

וַיָּרָא שָׁאָוּל אֶת־מַחַנֵה פְּלִשְׁתִּים וַיִּדָּא וַיֶּחֶכִד לִבָּוֹ מְאָד

# HCSB rendering:

When Saul saw the Philistine camp, he was afraid and trembled violently.

#### Suggestion:

When Saul saw the Philistine camp, he was afraid and his heart pounded.

#### Rationale:

The Hebrew does not actually say that Saul trembled. It says that his heart trembled. The translations we checked either interpret that as an idiom for "he was terrified" or they see it as a description of what his heart was doing. Several actually say his heart trembled, but that does not seem idiomatic to us. We liked the GNB rendering: "his heart pounded."

## Bible Reference:

1 Samuel 29:7

Original text:

וְעַתֶּה שׁוּב וְלֵךְ בְּשָׁלֵוֹם וְלָא־תַאֲשָׁה רָש בְּעֵיגֵי סַרְגֵי פְּלִשְׁתֵים

# HCSB rendering:

Now go back quietly and you won't be doing anything the Philistine leaders think is wrong.

# Suggestion:

Now go back <u>quietly, so that</u> you won't be doing anything the Philistine leaders think is wrong.

# Rationale:

In the HCSB rendering of this verse, we are not sure what the relationship is of the last clause to the first, since the HCSB renders the last clause as a coordinate thought with the conjunction "and." To us it seems likely that וולא התַשָּשָׁה is a result clause after the imperatives שָׁרָב וְלֵן ארתַשָּשָׁה. This is a common Hebrew construction and it fits the context well. We notice that many translations render וְלָארֹתַשָּשָׁה either this way, or as a prohibition. We don't see other translations doing what the HCSB does.

## Bible Reference:

2 Samuel 6:22

Original text:

וּנְקַלְׂתִי עוֹד' מִזֹּאת וְהָיֵתִי שֶׁפֶל בְּעֵינֵי וְעִם־הֶאֲמָהוֹת אֲשֶׁר אָמַׁרְתְ עָמֶם אִכָּבֵדָה

## HCSB rendering:

and I will humble myself even more and humiliate myself. I will be honored by the slave girls you spoke about.

## Suggestion:

and I will humble myself even more and humiliate <u>myself, but</u> I will be honored by the slave girls you spoke about.

## <u>Rationale:</u>

In this verse, David is making a strong contrast between his willingness to be humbled in God's eyes and the fact that those who view his actions with faith (unlike Michal) will honor him for putting God's honor first. We think that this can be brought out more clearly by keeping the two clauses in one sentence, and most importantly, by adding the adversative conjunction "but." The other translations we consulted all have the conjunction "but."

## Bible Reference:

2 Samuel 7:15

# Original text:

וְחַסְדֶי לאֹ־יָסַוּר מִמָּגוּ כַּאֲשֶׁר הַסִרֹתִי מֵעָם שָׁאוּל אֲשֶׁת הַסִרֹתִי מִלְפָגֶידָ:

# HCSB rendering:

But My faithful love will never leave him as I removed it from Saul; I removed him from your way.

# Suggestion:

But My faithful love will never leave him as I removed it from Saul, whom I took out of your way.

# Rationale:

The phrase "I removed him from your way" seems awkward to us, and we are not sure what meaning will be conveyed by it. In addition, we are not sure what is gained by avoiding a relative clause in English. All the English translations that we consulted have a relative clause where Hebrew has

For מְלְבָרָד many English translations make use of a double preposition: "from before you," since the Hebrew has two prepositions. But such a double preposition is not idiomatic in English, and the HCSB never uses the combination "from before."

We think that our suggestion communicates what the HCSB intends, but in a way that is more natural in English and more likely to be understood.

## Bible Reference:

2 Samuel 7:28

Original text:

וְעַתְּחו אֲדֹנֵי יְהוֹה אַתָּה־הוּאֹ הֶאֱלֹהִים וּדְכָרֶידִ יִהְיָוּ אֱמֶת וַתְּדַבֵּר´ אֱל־עַבְדָלָ אֶת־הַטּוֹבֶה הַזְאת

## HCSB rendering:

Lord GOD, You are God; Your words are true, and You have promised this grace to Your servant.

## Suggestion:

Lord GOD, You are God; Your words are true, and You have promised this good thing to Your servant.

#### Rationale:

The word "grace" is one of the most important words in the theological vocabulary of any church body. We believe that it should be used with great care in Bible translation and only applied to verses where God clearly intends it to be found. In general, most churches agree that there is a concept of a gift of God built into the word. Certainly, God's blessing to David was an undeserved gift. But he doesn't use the Hebrew vocabulary that we ordinarily identify with this word. Many translations that we checked were quite comfortable with some variation of "good thing" here. A few tried to unpack the word in some way, generally saying something along the lines of a "wonderful promise" (GNB) or "generous promise" (NABRE). The only other translation we found that included the idea of grace was JPS ("this gracious promise"). We also note that in the parallel passage in 1 Chronicles 17:26, which is identical in the Hebrew, the HCSB translates "this good thing."

#### Bible Reference:

2 Samuel 12:21

#### Original text:

וַיּאַמְרָוּ אֲבָדָיוֹ אֵלָיו מֶה־הַדָּבֶר הַזֶּה אֲשֶׁר עָשִׂיתָה בַּאֲבֿוּר הַיֶּלֶד חַי צַמְתָ וַהֵּבְךְ וְכַאֲשֶׁר מֵת הַיֶּלֶד קַמְתָ וַתָּאֹכַל לְחֶם:

## HCSB rendering:

His servants asked him, "<u>What did you just do</u>? While the baby was alive, you fasted and wept, but when he died, you got up and ate food."

#### Suggestion:

His servants asked him, "<u>What are you doing</u>? While the baby was alive, you fasted and wept, but when he died, you got up and ate food."

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

One of the most difficult things to do well in a translation is find good equivalents for direct speech, because speakers are far more idiomatic in their conversation than they are in writing, as a general rule. In the narrative portions of the Old Testament, direct speech often advances the story "without comment" or explanation from God or the inspired writer. Therefore, we do feel it is important to wrestle with subtle changes and distinctions. This particular verse has been rendered in a variety of ways. A substantial number of translations prefer to be quite literal "What is this thing you have done?" That is certainly understandable. But we agree with the HCSB's desire to make the meaning of the conversation clearer by a somewhat freer rendering. Some translations have done this by a virtual paraphrase ("We don't understand you" [NLT], "Why are you acting this way?" [GW], "Why are you doing this?" [NCV]). We think that all three of these last offerings come closer to the point than the HCSB did. We feel that inserting the word "just" into the translation changes the question in a subtle but definite way. "What did you just do?" ordinarily underline the immediacy of the preceding action—you just did it. But aren't David's servants really asking "why?" The HCSB rendering could get there, but we feel that it could be made easier. We would have no problem with a complete rephrasing, like "Why are you doing this?" but we do see some value in retaining some of the form of the original language, so we suggest simply rendering the perfect in a present tense. In idiomatic English "What are you doing?" generally includes the "why" question that David's servants are asking.

#### Bible References:

2 Samuel 13:12,14,22, 32

#### **Original text:**

2 Sam 13:12 – וַתַּאֹמֶר לוֹ אַל־אָחִי אַל־תְּעַגִּנִי כֵּי לאֹ־יֵשָשֶׁה כֵן בְּיִשְׂרָאָל 2 Sam 13:14 – וַיָּשְׁכָּב אֹחֶה: 2 Sam 13:24 – נְיָשָׁכָּר אָשֶׁר נִיְעַנֶּה וַיִעַנֶּה וַיִשָּׁכָּר אֹחֶה: 2 Sam 13:22 – כָּי־שָׁנָא אַרְשָׁלוֹם אֶת־אַמְנוֹן עַל־דְּבַר אֲשֶׁר עִנְּה אָת תָּמֶר אֲחֹתוֹ: 2 Sam 13:32 – כִּי־עַל־כֵּי אַרְשָׁלוֹם הָיְתָה שוּמָֹה מִיוֹם עַנַּתוֹ אָת תָּמֶר אֲחֹתוֹ:

## HCSB rendering:

- 2 Sam 13:12 Don't, my brother!" she cried. "Don't <u>humiliate</u> me, for such a thing should never be done in Israel.
- 2 Sam 13:14 and because he was stronger than she was, he raped her.
- 2 Sam 13:22 because he hated Amnon since he <u>disgraced</u> his sister Tamar.
- 2 Sam 13:32 In fact, Absalom has planned this ever since the day Amnon disgraced his sister Tamar.

#### Suggestion:

- 2 Sam 13:12 Don't, my brother!" she cried. "Don't <u>rape</u> me, for such a thing should never be done in Israel.
- 2 Sam 13:14 and because he was stronger than she was, he raped her
- 2 Sam 13:22 because he hated Amnon since he raped his sister Tamar
- 2 Sam 13:32 In fact, Absalom has planned this ever since the day Amnon raped his sister Tamar.

#### Rationale:

In these four verses, the HCSB renders the Piel of the verb  $\pi$  unit in three different ways. While it is not essential that each usage in this chapter be identical, we do think a little consistency here would be helpful for the reader. Our biggest concern is verse 12, where the HCSB renders Tamar's plea "Don't humiliate me!" We recognize that HALOT lists "humiliate" as a possible meaning for the Piel of this verb, especially in instances of "a woman in an enforced marriage." But the verses that it references don't seem parallel to this one. Even in those, the only time the HCSB uses "humiliate" is Deuteronomy 21:14. In Deuteronomy 22:24 and 29, the HCSB chooses "violate" which in our minds is closer to what Tamar would probably have said. We also note the HALOT lists all four of the verses from 2 Samuel 13 under the next meaning "to do violence to: a) to rape a woman." For that reason, we think the HCSB has made the correct choice in verse 14.

The real questions are what Tamar was begging her brother to avoid in verse 12 and what was the nature of the injury as Absalom conceived of it in verses 22 and 24. We could accept the argument that Absalom's primary concern was the disgrace to his sister which kept her from being able to marry in the future (and so we could live with no change to those verses). But we also think that a strong argument can be made for simply emphasizing the action here. Absalom hated Amnon because he raped his sister and

that's why he killed him. We would counsel leaving the idea of "disgrace" to a discussion of how that culture viewed the damage. (In ours, the violence is far more the prevalent concern than an idea of "disgrace.") Likewise, it seems unlikely to us that Tamar would have said, "Don't humiliate me!" "Don't rape me!" would fit her explanation: it's a sin and our father would allow us to marry. But even if we accept that in that culture she was concerned about the public disgrace of being raped, would she say, "Don't humiliate me?" In modern English that seems to be something that is more reserved for an action that would cause you to be the butt of other people's jokes and scorn. "Don't disgrace me!" or "Don't shame me!" seem more likely to us.

## Bible Reference:

2 Samuel 18:20

## Original text:

ַזַיֶּאמֶר לַוֹ יוֹאָב לא אִיש בְּשֹׁרֶה אַתָּה הַיָּוֹם הַזֶּה וּבִשְׂרָתָ בְּיִהם אַחֵר וְהַיָּוֹם הַזֶּה לָא תְבַשֵּׁר בִּי־ עַלֵ בָּן־הַמָּלֶך מַת:

## HCSB rendering:

Joab replied to him, "You are not the man to take good news today. You may do it another day, but today you aren't taking good news, because the king's son is dead."

#### Suggestion:

Joab replied to him, "You are not the man to take the news today. You may do it another day, but today you aren't going to take the news, because the king's son is dead."

## <u>Rationale:</u>

This verse provides a good example of why lexical concordance isn't always possible, even in the same passage. 2 Samuel 18:19-31 uses the verb בְּשֶׁר five times (four times in the piel and once in the hithpael) and the noun בְּשֶׁר three times, yet it does not seem to have the same shade of meaning in every usage. Every translation we consulted took the occurrence in verse 31 as meaning good news. Most (but not all) took all the occurrences in verses 25-31 as having that shade of meaning. However, most of the translations avoided shading the occurrences in verses 19 and 20. This is legitimate because usage indicates that there usually is something "good" about בִשֶׁר, but not always (See 1 Sam 4:17.) We could accept the meaning of "good news" in verse 19 and even perhaps in the last phrase of verse 20. But Joab's words in the first two phrases seem to contradict that meaning. He seems to be using the word in its more generic sense.

Most other translations do not take the final clause of the verse as the HCSB does. Rather, they take it as a kind of prohibition ("You aren't going to take the news") based on the fact that Joab doesn't want the son of Abiathar to announce the bad news of Absalom's death. (He chooses to send a foreigner instead.) Without elaborating on his possible motives, this seems to be a more natural reading of the Hebrew. We suggest a similar approach.

For comparison purposes, we list the following translations:

NIV 84/2011: "You are not the one to take the news today," Joab told him. "You may take the news another time, but you must not do so today, because the king's son is dead." ESV: And Joab said to him, "You are not to carry news today. You may carry news another day, but today you shall carry no news, because the king's son is dead." JPS: But Joab said to him, "You shall not be the one to bring tidings today. You may bring tidings some other day, but you'll not bring any today; for the king's son is dead!"

NASB: But Joab said to him, "You are not the man to carry news this day, but you shall carry news another day; however, you shall carry no news today because the king's son is dead."

#### Bible References:

2 Samuel 22:3 Psalm 18:2 [H3]

## Original text:

2 Sam 22:3 – אֶלֹהֵי צוּרֶי אֶחֶסֶה־בּוֹ Ps 18:2 [H3] – אַלִי צוּרִי אֶחֶסֶה־בּוֹ

# HCSB rendering:

2 Sam 22:3 – my God, my <u>mountain</u><sup>a</sup> where I seek refuge. <sup>a</sup> LXX; MT reads *God of my mountain*; Ps 18:2 Ps 18:2 [H3] – my God, my <u>mountain</u> where I seek refuge,

## Suggestion:

2 Sam 22:3 – my God, my <u>rock</u><sup>a</sup> where I seek refuge. <sup>a</sup> LXX; MT reads *God of my <u>rock</u>*; Ps 18:2 Ps 18:2 [H3] – my God, my <u>rock</u> where I seek refuge,

## <u>Rationale:</u>

#### Bible References:

2 Samuel 24:11 1 Kings 12:22; 13:1, 2, 32; 17:2

# Original text:

2 Sam 24:11 נַיֶּקֶם דָוֶד בַּבָּקֶר וּדְבַר־יְהוָה הָיָה אֶל־נְּדָ הַנְּבִיא –2 Sam 24:11 נַיֶּקָם דָוָד בַּבָּקָר וּדְבַר־יְהוָה הָיָה אֶל־שְׁמַעְיָה – 1 Kgs 12:22 – נִיְהָים אֶל־שְׁמַעְיָה אָל־בָּית אָל 1 Kgs 13:1 – נִיְהִים אָל־שְׁמַעְיָה בַּדְבָר יְהוֶה אָל־בָּית אָל 1 Kgs 13:2 – נִיקָרָא עַל־הַמִּזְבַּח בִּדְבָר יְהוָה 2 Kgs 13:32 – נִיקָרָא עַל־הַמִזְבַח בָּרַבָר יְהוָה עַל־הַמָּזְבַח 2 Kgs 13:32 – נִיהוָה עַל־הַמַזְבָח אֲשֶׁר בְּבִית־אָל 2 נוְהָי דְבַר־יְהוָה אָלִים בַּגָ

# HCSB rendering:

2 Sam 24:11– When David got up in the morning, <u>a revelation from the LORD</u> had come to the prophet Gad,

1 Kgs 12:22 - But a revelation from God came to Shemaiah

1 Kgs 13:1 - A man of God came from Judah to Bethel by a revelation from the LORD

1 Kgs 13:2 - The man of God cried out against the altar by <u>a revelation from the LORD</u>:

1 Kgs 13:32 – for the word that he cried out by <u>a revelation from the LORD</u> against the altar in Bethel

1 Kgs 17:2 – Then <u>a revelation from the Lord</u> came to him:

## Suggestion:

2 Sam 24:11- When David got up in the morning, the word of the LORD had come to the prophet Gad,

1 Kgs 12:22 - But the word of God came to Shemaiah

1 Kgs 13:1 – A man of God came from Judah to Bethel by the word of the LORD

1 Kgs 13:2 – The man of God cried out against the altar by the word of the LORD:

1 Kgs 13:32 - for the word that he cried out by the word of the LORD against the altar in Bethel

1 Kgs 17:2 – Then the word of the LORD came to him:

## Rationale:

The HCSB uses the word "revelation" nine times in the Old Testament. The other three times, there are different underlying Hebrew constructions. Throughout the rest of the Old Testament, the HCSB consistently renders דְרָר־יְהוָה as "the word of the LORD." We suggest the same in these contexts. This cluster of verses translating this very common expression in this way gives the impression of a translation that is idiosyncratic to the team that worked on these two books.

## **Bible Reference:**

1 Kings 1:6

Original text:

וְלֹא־עֲצָבוֹ אָבִיו מִיָּמָיוֹ לֵאמֹר מַדִּוּעַ כָּכָה עָשָׂיתָ

# HCSB rendering:

But his father had never once <u>reprimanded</u><sup>a</sup> him by saying, "Why do you act this way?" <u>a Or grieved</u>

#### Suggestion:

But his father had never once reprimanded him by saying, "Why do you act this way?"

[Omit footnote.]

## <u>Rationale:</u>

#### Bible References:

1 Kings 1:8, 10

## Original text:

1 Kgs 1:8 – וּבְנָיָהוּ בֶן־יְהוֹיָדָע וְנָתָן הַנָּבִיאֹ וְשִׁמְעִי וְהֵגְּבּוֹרָים אֲשֶׁר לְדָוִד לָא הָיוּ אִם־אֲדֹנִיֶהוּ געם־אָדֹנִיֶהוּ

ו אָמֶריָנָגָן הַנָּבִיא וּבְנָיָהוּ וְאֶת־הַגְּבּוֹרֵים וְאֶת־שְׁלֹמָה אָחָיו לֹא קָרָא – 1 Kgs 1:10

# HCSB rendering:

- 1 Kgs 1:8 but Zadok the priest, Benaiah son of Jehoiada, Nathan the prophet, Shimei, Rei, and David's warriors did not side with Adonijah.
- 1 Kgs 1:10 but he did not invite Nathan the prophet, Benaiah, the warriors, or his brother Solomon.

## Suggestion:

- 1 Kgs 1:8 but Zadok the priest, Benaiah son of Jehoiada, Nathan the prophet, Shimei, Rei, and David's personal guard did not side with Adonijah.
- 1 Kgs 1:10 but he did not invite Nathan the prophet, Benaiah, <u>the personal guard</u>, or his brother Solomon.

## <u>Rationale:</u>

We fear that "David's warriors" or simply "the warriors" is not clear in these two verses. Does it mean the whole army? That seems unlikely since Joab, the commander of the army, had joined Adonijah's conspiracy. Verse 38 of this chapter makes it clear that the reference is to the Cherethites and the Pelethites, not to the army in general. Further, 2 Samuel 23:23 states that David put Benaiah "in charge of his bodyguard." Because the vocabulary there is different (הַגְּבּוֹרֶים) instead of הַגְּבּוֹרֶים), we are suggesting a slightly different translation here. While there are other translations that take a similar approach to the HCSB (NABRE, ESV, NKJV, NASB), we note that a number of translations do something similar to our suggestion (NIV and NCV "special guard", JPS "own fighting men", GNB "bodyguard", NLT "personal bodyguard"). A couple go in another direction which we also could live with (NET "elite warriors", NJB "champions").

#### Bible Reference:

1 Kings 3:8

Original text:

וְעַבְדָדֶ בְּתָוֹדְ עַמְדָ אֲשֶׁר בְּחָוְרָתָ עַם־דָּב אֲשֵׁר לְאֹ־יִמָּגָה וְלָא יִסָּפָר מֵרְב

# HCSB rendering:

Your servant is among Your people You have chosen,  $\underline{a}^{a}$  people too numerous to be numbered or counted. <u><sup>a</sup>Lit chosen many</u>

#### Suggestion:

Your servant is among Your people You have chosen, <u>a</u> people too numerous to be numbered or counted.

## Rationale:

The footnote here was confusing to us. If it remains, it seems that it should have a comma after "*chosen*." But we don't see the value in the footnote, since it doesn't add anything to the reader's comprehension and could be confusing. We notice that the HCSB shifts away from a "literal" translation also in the last part of the verse (lit. = "from abundance"), without a footnote.

## **Bible Reference:**

1 Kings 3:9

Original text:

וְנָתַהָּ לְעַבְדְדֹ לֵב שׁמֵעַ לִשְׁפִּט אֶת־עַמְדָ לְהָבֶין בֵּין־טָוֹב לְרָע

# HCSB rendering:

So give Your servant an obedient heart to judge Your people and to discern between good and evil.

# Suggestion:

So give Your servant an <u>understanding</u> heart to judge Your people and to discern between good and evil.

# Rationale:

What did King Solomon mean when he asked for "a listening heart?" Among the translations we consulted, some chose not to interpret the expression for the reader. Among those that did try to explain it, all those we checked used either "an understanding heart/mind" or "a discerning heart/mind." We suggest the HCSB do the same. "An obedient heart" is certainly necessary to a sanctified life. But Solomon is asking for wisdom to judge God's people, and this account is followed by the famous account of Solomon's judgment between the two women who claimed the same judgment. Discernment is the point, not obedience. We could live with "discerning," but we prefer "understanding" in order to preserve variation with the verb that comes later in the verse ( $\neg \neg \neg = -$ "to discern").

## Bible Reference:

1 Kings 3:13

## Original text:

# ּוְגַּם אֲשֶׁר לְא־שָׁאַלְתָּ נָתַתִּ לֶךְ גַם־אָשֶׁר גַם־כָּבָוֹד אֲשָׁר לא־הָיָה כָמִוּדָ אֶישׁ בַּמְלָכִים כָּל־יָמֶידָ:

# HCSB rendering:

In addition, I will give you what you did not ask for: both riches and honor, so that no <u>man in any</u> <u>kingdom</u> will be your equal during your entire life.

## Suggestion:

In addition, I will give you what you did not ask for: both riches and honor, so that no king will be your equal during your entire life.

## <u>Rationale:</u>

We were somewhat surprised by this translation, and no translation that we consulted rendered the verse this way. God promised Solomon that he would be greater than any contemporary king, not than any man living. We suggest a translation that is similar to most of the more recent Bibles on the market.

## Bible Reference:

1 Kings 7:15

Original text:

וַיָּצַר אֶת־שְׁגֵי הָעַמּוּדָים נְחֻשֶׁת

## HCSB rendering:

He cast two hollow bronze pillars:

#### Suggestion:

He cast two bronze pillars:

#### Rationale:

Were Solomon's pillars hollow? Perhaps. It's a reasonable assumption, but we see nothing in the Hebrew to indicate that. In the parallel passage (2 Chronicles 3:15) which uses the same noun, the HCSB omits the word "hollow" as it does throughout 1 Kings 6 and 7 where this noun is used repeatedly. We suggest it be removed here as well.

#### Bible References:

1 Kings 8:23 2 Chronicles 6:14

## Original text:

- 1 Kgs 8:23 וַיּאֹמַר יְהוָה אֶלֹהֵי יִשְׂרָאָל אֵין־כָּמָוֹדָ אֶלהִים בַּשְׁמַיִם מִמַּעַל וְעַל־הָאָרֶץ מִתָּחַת שׁמֵר הַבְּרִית וְהַהֶּסֶר לַעֲבָדֶידְ הַהֹלְכֵים לְפָגֶידְ בְּכָל־לְבֶּם
- 2 Chron 6:14 אַלהַי יִשְׂרָאֵל אֵין־כָּמַוֹדְ אֶלהִים בַּשְׁמַיִם וּבָאֶָרֶץ שׁמָר הַבְּרִית וְהַהֶּסֶד לַאֲבָדֶידְ הַהֹלְכֵים לְפָגֶידְ בְּכָל־לְבֵּם

## HCSB rendering:

- 1 Kgs 8:23 He said: LORD God of Israel, there is no God like You in heaven above or on earth below, <u>keeping</u> the gracious covenant with Your servants who walk before You with their whole heart.
- 2 Chron 6:14 He said: LORD God of Israel, there is no God like You in heaven or on earth, <u>keeping</u> His gracious covenant with Your servants who walk before You with their whole heart.

#### Suggestion:

- 1 Kgs 8:23 He said: LORD God of Israel, there is no God like You in heaven above or on earth below, who keeps the gracious covenant with Your servants who walk before You with their whole heart.
- 2 Chron 6:14 He said: LORD God of Israel, there is no God like You in heaven or on earth, <u>who keeps</u> His gracious covenant with Your servants who walk before You with their whole heart.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

In both of these verses, we fear that using the English form "keeping" for the participle may cause a moment of confusion, especially for the person who is hearing them read rather than reading them for themselves. We propose "who keeps" as a smoother and clearer rendering. We notice that a number of translations opt to repeat the pronoun, and read "you who keep" or they start a new sentence here and make the participle a finite verb, "You keep." Either of these options would also solve the problem.

## <u>Bible Reference:</u>

1 Kings 8:25

Original text:

```
לא־יִבְּרֵת לְדָ אִישׁ מִלְפְנֵׁי יֹשֵׁב עַל־כִּמַּא יִשְׂרָאֵל יַרק אִם־יִשְׁמְרוּ בְנֶידָ אֶת־דַּרְכָּם לְלֶכֶת לְפְנֵי כַּאֲשֶׁר
הָלָכְתָ לְפְנֵי
```

# HCSB rendering:

You will never fail to have a man to sit before Me on the throne of Israel, if only your sons <u>guard their walk before Me</u> as you have walked before Me.

# Suggestion:

You will never fail to have a man to sit before Me on the throne of Israel, if only your sons <u>guard their way to walk before Me</u> as you have walked before Me.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The Hebrew in this verse is awkward to translate. Many of the newer translations condense the Hebrew to a statement like, "If your sons are careful to obey me" (NCV) or "if your descendants are faithful to me" (GW). The HCSB seems to have attempted to go in that direction, but not that far. Unfortunately, we feel that the end result is unnatural English at the very least and potentially difficult to understand. We suggest a reading that is closer to the Hebrew construction but that emerges as a clearer and smoother English sentence.

While the reading we suggest is still not as smooth as we would like, it does agree with the translation in the HCSB for 2 Chronicles 6:16, where the only difference in the Hebrew is בְּתוֹרָתֹי in place of בְּתוֹרָתֹי:

- 2 Chr 6:16 בָּיָשְׁמְרוּ בָנֵיךּ אֶת־דַּרְכָּם לְלֶכֶת בְּתֵוֹרְתִי בַּאֲשֶׁר הָלֵכְתָ לְפָנֵי: 2 Chr 6:16
- HCSB if only your sons guard their way to walk in My Law as you have walked before Me.

#### **Bible References:**

1 Kings 8:66 2 Kings 13:5 2 Chronicles 7:10

# **Original** text:

- 1 Kgs 8:66 בַּיָּוֹם הַשְּׁמִינִי שִׁלַח אֶת־הָשָָׁם וַיְבָרֵכָוּ אֶת־הַמָּגֶלֶך וַיֵּלְכָוּ לְאָהֲלֵיהֶם שְׁמֵחִים 2 Kgs 13:5 נִיּהָרָאָל מוֹשִׁיעַ וַיֵּצָאוּ מִתָּחַת יַד־אָרָם וַיֵּשְׁבַּוּ בְנֵי־יִשְׂרָאֵל בְּאָהֱלֵיהֶם כִּתְמִוֹל שלשום
- וּבִיּוֹם עֵשָׂרֵים וּשָׁלשָה לַחָּדֵשׁ הַשָּׁבִיאָי שָׁלֵח אֶת־הָעָם לְאָהֶלֵיהֵם שָׂמֵחִים וְטָוֹבֵי לֶב 2 Chron 7:10

# **HCSB** rendering:

- 1 Kgs 8:66 On the fifteenth day he sent the people away. So they blessed the king and went home to their tents rejoicing
- 2 Kgs 13:5 Therefore, the LORD gave Israel a deliverer, and they escaped from the power of the Arameans. Then the people of Israel dwelt in their tents as before,
- 2 Chron 7:10 On the twenty-third day of the seventh month he sent the people away to their tents, rejoicing and with happy hearts

## Suggestion:

- 1 Kgs 8:66 On the fifteenth day he sent the people away. So they blessed the king and went home rejoicing
- 2 Kgs 13:5 Therefore, the LORD gave Israel a deliverer, and they escaped from the power of the Arameans. Then the people of Israel dwelt in their homes as before,
- 2 Chron 7:10 On the twenty-third day of the seventh month he sent the people home, rejoicing and with happy hearts

## Rationale:

In these three verses, the Hebrew contains what appears to be an idiom that revolves around the word אָהָל In most contexts, the gloss "tents" is perfectly acceptable. Yet, it does not fit the context of these three verses, when the people of Israel were no longer living in tents. It seems clear that in these contexts, a good equivalent expression in English is "home." Most of the published translations we consulted agree with our opinion.

1 Kings 8:66 and 2 Chronicles 7:10 both record the departure of the people after the dedication of the temple by King Solomon. While the context makes clear that this was during the Festival of Booths and someone might argue for "tents" as a reference to shelters God commanded his people to make for this holiday, Leviticus and Deuteronomy use the noun TPO for those structures (Leviticus 23:42), and the point seems to be that they were departing Jerusalem for their own homes.

2 Kings 13:5 presents a very different situation. King Hazael of Aram had been oppressing Israel, but God provided a deliverer. The people then dwelt "in their tents" as before. A few translations see the point of the idiom here as "dwelt in safety" (which we could live with), but we feel that "in their homes" is more consistent.

The idiom comes up in several other contexts in which "homes" might be appropriate. Or it is possible that the idiom has another meaning, such as "mobilize." In any event, we encourage that the HCSB oversight committee also review Judges 7:8; 1 Kings 12:16/1 Chronicles 10:16; 2 Kings 14:12; 2 Samuel 18:17; 2 Samuel 19:8; 2 Samuel 20:1; and 1 Kings 22:36.

## Bible Reference:

1 Kings 11:2-4

## Original text:

1 Kgs 11:2 – לְאִ־תָּבָאוּ בָהֶם אָחֵרֶי אֶלֹהֵיהֶם 1 Kgs 11:3 – וַיַּשָּׁוּ נְשֶׁיו אֶת־לְבָּוֹ 1 Kgs 11:4 – נַיַּשָּׁו אָחֵרֵי אֶלוּהֵים אָחֵרֵים

## HCSB rendering:

1 Kgs 11:2 – "Do not intermarry with them, and they must not intermarry with you, because they will <u>turn</u> you away from Me to their gods."

- 1 Kgs 11:3 and they turned his heart away from the LORD.
- 1 Kgs 11:4 his wives seduced him to follow other gods.

#### Suggestion:

- 1 Kgs 11:2 "Do not intermarry with them, and they must not intermarry with you, because they will lead your hearts astray after their gods."
- 1 Kgs 11:3 and they led his heart astray.
- 1 Kgs 11:4 his wives <u>led his heart astray after</u> other gods.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

In three consecutive verses, the HCSB renders two identical and one near identical Hebrew construction with three different English expressions. We find that to be confusing. We notice that the three verses are very uneven in terms of how literally they render the construction (and similar constructions in and around the verses, such as in verse 4, where אל הָיר אָבר יָברָן שָׁלֵם אָם־יָברָן שָׁלֵם אָם־יָברָן שָׁלֵם אָם־יָבוָה אָלֹהָיום is rendered as "He was not completely devoted to Yahweh his God"—which in 1 Kings seems to be the standard way that the HCSB translates this expression). We suggest that these three verses can be simplified by using "led his heart astray" as the basic translation of the idiom, as shown above.

## Bible Reference:

1 Kings 11:33

Original text:

ַיַעַןו אַשֶׁר עֲזָבוּנִי וַיִּשְׁתַּחַווּ לְעַשְׁתֹּרֶת אֶלֹהֵי צִדֹנִיז

# HCSB rendering:

For they have abandoned Me; they have bowed the knee to Ashtoreth, the goddess of the Sidonians

# Suggestion:

For they have abandoned Me; they have bowed down to Ashtoreth, the goddess of the Sidonians

# Rationale:

We were a little surprised to see this translation, not because we really object to it, but because it is not the way that the HCSB generally translates this verb. Usually the HCSB uses "bow down" or occasionally "worship" for this verb. As far as we can tell, this is the only instance of it being rendered "bow the knee."

#### Bible References:

1 Kings 15:17 2 Chronicles 16:1

## Original text:

1 Kgs 15:17 – וַיָּבֶן אֶת־הָרְמָה לְבִלְתִּי תֵּת יֹצֵא וָבָא לְאָסָא מֵלֶך יְהוּדֶה 2 Chr 16:1 – נַיֶּבֶן אֶת־הָרָמָה לְבִלְתִי תֵת יוֹצֵא וָבָא לְאָסָא מֵלֶך יְהוּדֶה

#### HCSB rendering:

1 Kgs 15:17 – He built Ramah in order <u>to deny anyone access to</u> Judah's King Asa. 2 Chr 16:1 – He built Ramah in order <u>to deny access to anyone—going or coming—to</u> Judah's King Asa.

#### Suggestion:

Both - He built Ramah in order to keep anyone from going out or coming in to Judah's King Asa.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

There are two issues in these two verses. First of all, the Hebrew in the portions listed above is identical, but the HCSB gives a different translation in the two verses, and we suggest as a bare minimum that they be made to read the same.

On a more substantive level, these verses are difficult to translate. The majority of the translations we reviewed left them literal so that the reader/interpreter can determine what they mean. We think this is wise. Several translations seem to take ROR as a possessive idea, generally referring to the territory that belongs to Asa, and gave some idea of preventing people from entering or leaving his territory (the actual translations varied considerably). A few, like the HCSB, seemed to take the expression as referring more specifically to Asa, but even then, they said things like "blockading Asa" which seem to imply his territory. Our problem with HCSB rendering is that it sounds like no one could get in to see Asa at all. That seems highly unlikely, since his capital was in Jerusalem and fortifying Ramah would not imprison him or keep people in Jerusalem from having access to him. We suggest the more literal rendering or, if you feel a need to clarify the idiom, something like "to keep anyone from entering or leaving the territory of Asa."

#### Bible References:

1 Kings 17:1 2 Kings 3:14; 5:16

## Original text:

1 Kgs 17:1 - חַי־יְהוָה אֶלֹהֵי יִשְׂרָאַל אֲשֶׁר עָמַדְתִּי לְפָנְיו 2 Kgs 3:14 - חַי־יְהוֶה אֲשֵׁר עָמַדְתִי לְפָנָיו 2 Kgs 5:16 - חַי־יְהוֶה אֲשֶׁר־עָמַדְתִי לְפָנָיו

# HCSB rendering:

1 Kgs 17:1 – As the LORD God of Israel lives, <u>I stand before Him</u>, 2 Kgs 3:14 – As the LORD of Hosts lives, <u>I stand before Him</u>.

2 Kgs 5:16 – As the LORD lives, I stand before Him.

## Suggestion:

1 Kgs 17:1 - As the LORD God of Israel lives, before whom I stand,

2 Kgs 3:14 – As the LORD of Hosts lives, before whom I stand,

2 Kgs 5:16 – As the LORD lives, before whom I stand,

## <u>Rationale:</u>

Three times Elijah or Elisha introduces an oath formula by modifying the common "as surely as the LORD lives" with the expression אָשֶׁר־עָמָדְרָזִי לְמָנֵין. Our concern with the HCSB translation is that it breaks the sentence up in such a way as to lose the train of thought and even to make it a little difficult for the hearer to understand what the prophet is saying. This is especially true in the two references from 2 Kings where a period is added and the sense the oath become, "As surely as the LORD lives, I do indeed stand before him" rather than "As surely as the Lord lives," I am going to do or not do the action in the next clause. The point of the oath formula is the action, and God is invoked to testify to the certainty of the action or inaction in the oath. We feel that would come out much better if a relative clause were retained. In the two 2 Kings references, that will necessitate combining the sentences back into one.

## Bible Reference:

1 Kings 17:10

Original text:

וְהַנֵּה־שָׁם אִשָּׁה אַלְמָנָה מְקֹשָׁשֶׁת עֵצֵים

## HCSB rendering:

there was a widow woman gathering wood

## Suggestion:

there was a widow gathering wood

## Rationale:

"Widow woman" strikes us as outdated. In other places the HCSB translates אָשֶׁה אַלְמָנָה simply as "widow" (see 2 Sam 14:5, 1 Kgs 7:14), as is recommended by BDB (p. 61) and JM (131b).

## Bible Reference:

1 Kings 17:17

# Original text:

וַיְהָי חָלְיוֹ חָזָק מְאֹׁד עֵד אֲשֶׁר לֹא־נְוֹתְרָה־בּוָ נְשָׁמָה

# HCSB rendering:

His illness became very severe until no breath remained in him.

## Suggestion:

His illness got worse until he stopped breathing.

## Rationale:

While the HCSB is understandable and a literal rendering of the Hebrew, it does not sound at all natural in contemporary American English. We suggest a smoother rendering.

## **Bible Reference:**

1 Kings 18:26

Original text:

וְאֵין קוֹל וְאֵין עֹנֶה וַיְפַּסְחוּ עַל־הַמִּזְבָח אֲשֶׁר עָשֶׂה

# HCSB rendering:

But there was no sound; no one answered. Then they danced, hobbling around the altar they had made.

#### Suggestion:

But there was no sound; no one answered. Then they <u>danced</u> around the altar they had made.

## Rationale:

This verse presents something of a challenge to the translator. The Qal form of TOD has the meaning to limp (and is used in verse 21 in a very picturesque image that is rarely preserved in English translations). This is the only verse that HALOT references as using the Piel form, which it defines as "to **limp about** in a cultic ceremony, perform a hobbling dance." At least one other translation (the NLT) renders the verb as the HCSB does and a few others adopt a reading along the lines of "performed a hobbling/hopping dance" (NJB, JPS). Other options currently in print include "they leaped/hopped/jumped around the altar" (NABRE, NKJV, NET); "they limped about the altar" (ESV, NRSV, Lexham); and "they danced around the altar" (NIV, NASB95, GNT, GW, NCV). On balance, we prefer the last option for two reasons. First of all, it is simpler and easier for the reader. Secondly, modern readers are familiar with images of preindustrial societies engaging in ritual dances that might be characterized as "leaping" or "hopping" and so in this context, we don't think that dancing will lead them in a different (erroneous) direction. Finally, it is by no means certain that the idea of "limping" or "hopping" present in the Qal form needs to be preserved in this rare Piel form.

#### Bible References:

Exodus 14:6 1 Kings 18:44 2 Kings 9:21

#### Original text:

Exod 14:6 – נּיֶאָסָר אָת־רְכְבֵּוֹ וְאֶת־עַמָּוֹ לְקָח עִמּוֹ: 1 Kgs 18:44 – אָפָר וָלָא יַעַצְרְכָה הַגֲשָׁם 2 Kgs 9:21 – נִיָּאָסָר רִכְבָּוֹ

#### HCSB rendering:

Exod 14:6 – So <u>he got his chariot ready</u> and took his troops with him 1 Kgs 18:44 – "<u>Get your chariot ready</u> and go down so the rain doesn't stop you." 2 Kgs 9:21 – "<u>Harness</u>!" Joram shouted, and they <u>harnessed</u> his chariot.

#### Suggestion:

Exod 14:6 – So <u>he hitched up his chariot</u> and took his troops with him 1 Kgs 18:44 – "<u>Hitch up your chariot</u> and go down so the rain doesn't stop you." 2 Kgs 9:21 – "<u>Hitch it up</u>!" Joram shouted, and they <u>hitched up</u> his chariot.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

The HCSB uses a number of different options to translate the Hebrew word vehicles, when it refers to tying horses or cows to chariots or wagons. Few Americans today deal with horses and chariots. If there is a significant difference between "harnessing" and "hitching" animals to these vehicles, it is lost on us and we don't really have a problem with using "harness' in Jeremiah 46:4 and "hitch" in 1 Samuel 6:7 and 10, even though it doesn't provide lexical concordance. Both words seem like they could be appropriate renderings of the Hebrew word whose basic meaning is "to tie" or "to bind."

However, 2 Kings 9:21 does present some difficulty. The command, "Harness!" seems awkward and doesn't communicate very well. The reader/hearer might think that "harness" is a noun and not a verb. "Hitch it up!" seems to provide a simpler and clearer solution.

In Exodus 14:6 and 1 Kings 18:44, the HCSB chooses "get the chariot ready," which is obviously the end result of attaching the horses. In these two verses, it seems to us that "hitch up the chariot" is a better way of rendering the Hebrew idiom into English. It retains the idea of "tying," and it is similar to the HCSB translation of "tying," in other places.
## Bible Reference:

1 Kings 21:20

## Original text:

וַיָּאמֶר אַחְאָב אֶל־אֵלִיֶהוּ הַמְצָאתַנִי אֹיְבִי וַיָּאמֶר מְצָאתִי יִיַען הִתְמַכֶּרְדֶ לַעֲשִׂוֹת הָרָע בְּעֵינֵי יְהוֶה

# HCSB rendering:

Ahab said to Elijah, "So, you have <u>caught</u> me, my enemy." He replied, "I have <u>caught</u> you because you devoted yourself to do what is evil in the LORD's sight.

## Suggestion:

Ahab said to Elijah, "So, you have <u>found</u> me, my enemy." He replied, "I have <u>found</u> you because you devoted yourself to do what is evil in the LORD's sight.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

While "catch/caught" is a conceivable translation for **N2D**, the vast majority of the translations in print render it here with the more basic gloss of "find/found." (The exceptions we found being the NJB, which agrees with the HCSB and the GNT which reads "caught up with me.") We agree with the way most translations read in this verse because it seems unnatural for King Ahab to say that Elijah has caught him. It is much more likely that his point would be that he found him in all his comings and goings as the ruler of Israel. We suggest that the HCSB adopt a similar reading here.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Kings 22:3

# Original text:

# הַיְדַשְׁהֶם כִּי־לָנוּ רָאַנ וְאַנַחְנוּ מַחְשִּׁים מִקַּחַת אֹתָה מִיָּד מֶלֶך אֲרָם

# HCSB rendering:

"Don't you know that Ramoth-gilead is ours, but <u>we have failed</u> to take it from the hand of the king of Aram?"

# Suggestion:

"Don't you know that Ramoth-gilead is ours, but we are doing nothing to take it from the hand of the king of Aram?"

# <u>Rationale:</u>

All the published translations we consulted render  $\neg \Box \Box \neg \Box$  with a different nuance than the HCSB chooses. HALOT suggests "hesitate" for the Hiphil of this verb in this verse. It does not suggest "fail" as a meaning. Most of the published translations read as we suggest. A couple use "hesitate." To do nothing or hesitate to take Ramoth Gilead is a different idea than to fail to take it, at least in terms of the first idea that will come to the minds of most readers. It is true that several translations read "have done nothing" but that is still not the same idea as "fail" which usually implies making an attempt and not succeeding.

# <u>Bible Reference:</u>

1 Kings 22:27

Original text:

ַכּה אָמַר הַמֶּלֶך שִׁימוּ אֶת־זֶה בֵּית הַכָּלָא

HCSB rendering:

This is what the king says: Put this guy in prison

# Suggestion:

This is what the king says: Put this man in prison

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The HCSB choice is very colloquial and no doubt relatable to the common man. The problem is that it makes an assumption about the register of this verse that simply cannot be demonstrated from the context. Since the speaker is the king himself, it seems unlikely that he would use this kind of language about his own command.

#### Bible References:

2 Kings 1:9, 10, 11, 13, 14

## Original text:

2 Kings 1:9 – וַהַמְשֵׁים וַחַמְשֵׁיו

- 2 Kings 1:10 <u>וַיִּשְׁנ</u>ָה אָלֹ־שָׁר הָחֲמָשִׁים
- 2 Kings 1:11 וַיָּשֶׁב וַיִשְׁלֵח אֶלֶיו שַר־חֲמִשִׁים אָחֵר וַחְמִשְׁיו
- 2 Kings 1:13a וַהָּשָׁים שְׁלִשִׁים שָׁלִשִׁים וַחַמָשָׁיו
- 2 Kings 1:13b וַיַּבַע עַל־בִּרְקָישִׁי ווִיכְרָע עַל־בִּרְקָיו
- 2 Kings 1:14 הַאָּשׁ מָן־הַשָּׁמִיָם וַתּאֹכַל אָת־שָׁנֵי שַׁרֵי הָחֵמִשֵּׁים הַרָאשׁנִים וְאָת־חַמָשֵׁיהָם

# HCSB rendering:

- 2 Kings 1: 9 So King Ahaziah sent a captain of 50 with his 50 men
- 2 Kings 1:10 Elijah responded to the captain of the 50,
- 2 Kings 1:11 So the king sent another captain of 50 with his 50 men to Elijah
- 2 Kings 1:13a Then the king sent a third captain of 50 with his 50 men.
- 2 Kings 1:13b The <u>third captain of 50</u> went up and fell on his knees
- 2 Kings 1:14 Already fire has come down from heaven and consumed the <u>first two captains of 50 with</u> their fifties,

#### Suggestion:

- 2 Kings 1: 9 So King Ahaziah sent a captain with his 50 men
- 2 Kings 1:10 Elijah responded to the captain,
- 2 Kings 1:11 So the king sent another captain with his 50 men to Elijah
- 2 Kings 1:13a Then the king sent a third captain with his 50 men.
- 2 Kings 1:13b The <u>third captain</u> went up and fell on his knees
- 2 Kings 1:14 Already fire has come down from heaven and consumed the <u>first two captains</u> with their <u>companies</u>,

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

While the HCSB translation is literally correct, it is very awkward to read, especially given the repetition in this chapter. In reality, the term "captain of fifty" (שֶׁר־חֲמִשֶׁרם) probably was a recognized rank, so it was necessary to repeat the number in Hebrew. That function is fulfilled by the English word "captain," which in modern military parlance designates the commander of a company, usually of about fifty to one hundred men.

A related issue in verse 14 is the omitting of the noun for the cardinal number, which the HCSB included in all the previous verses. It is redundant to keep saying "his fifty men" and since two companies are in play here and "his two fifties of men" would be very awkward English, we suggest a smoother solution is to use the word "company." This seems to be what the "fifty" really implies here, a body of troops. In reality, it is entirely possible that the unit was called a "fifty" even if some of the men were not available for service due to illness or leave, and that the text is not intended to specify that there were exactly fifty men in each group that came to Elijah. If the number really needs to be preserved, then we would suggest "with their companies of fifty men."

Bible Reference:

2 Kings 1:11

Original text:

וַיַּשָׂן וִיְדַבֵּר אֵלָיו

HCSB rendering:

He took in the situation<sup>a</sup> and announced,  $\underline{^{a}$  Lit *He answered* 

Suggestion:

He announced,

# Rationale:

The HCSB translation is overly interpretive. Hebrew constantly uses the verb ענה not just to answer questions, but to respond to a situation. Very rarely does it fit well into a smooth English translation. If the HCSB footnoted every time that it left it out or used a less than literal translation, we suspect that many new footnotes would need to be written.

# Bible Reference:

2 Kings 3:23

Original text:

וּיָאמְרוּ הֵם זֶה הֶחֵרֵב נֶחֶרְבוּ הַמְּלָכִים וַיַּכָּוּ אֵישׁ אֶת־רֵאֵהוּ

# HCSB rendering:

"This is blood!" they exclaimed. "The kings have clashed swords and killed each other.

# Suggestion:

"This is blood!" they exclaimed. "The kings have fought, and their men have killed one another.

# Rationale:

1) The only translation that we could find that has a similar reading to the HCSB's "clashed swords" is the NKJV, which reads, "The kings have surely struck swords and have killed one another." But even the KJV did not read like this. This reading does not at all sound like contemporary English nor is it a particularly literal rendering. The only explanation we can find for translating it like this is the similarity in the verb root with the Hebrew word for sword. We suggest that the HCSB provide a much more natural reading, as does almost every other translation in print.

2) English grammarians point out that "each other" implies two people; if more than two people are involved, the proper English expression is "one another." We fear that the HCSB could easily give the impression that the two kings killed each other, but they were the only two casualties. This is certainly not the meaning of the Hebrew. We recommend making it clear that it was the kings' men who killed one another.

## Bible Reference:

2 Kings 3:27

## Original text:

# וַיִּפַּח אֶת־בְּגוֹ הַבְּכוֹר אֲשֶׁר־יִמְלֵך תַּחְתָּיו וַיַּצְלֵהוּ שֹׁלָה עַל־תַּחֹמֶה וַיְהֵי מֶצֶף־נָּדוֹל עַל־יִשְׂרָאֵל וַיִּסְעוּ מֵעָלָיו וַיָּשֶׁבוּ לָאָרָץ

# HCSB rendering:

So he took his firstborn son, who was to become king in his place, and offered him as a burnt offering on the city wall. <u>Great wrath was on</u> the Israelites, and they withdrew from him and returned to their land.

#### Suggestion:

So he took his firstborn son, who was to become king in his place, and offered him as a burnt offering on the city wall. <u>There was great wrath against</u> the Israelites, and they withdrew from him and returned to their land.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

This section presents a difficulty not so much with translation but with interpretation. The vast majority of the published translations render it with some variation of "wrath/anger was *against* Israel." This interpretation makes Israel the object rather than the subject of the wrath. A couple even specify that it was God's wrath, but most leave the verse as ambiguous about the subject as the Hebrew is. The other possibility, which the HCSB may be following here, makes Israel the subject—that is, the wrath was theirs. We could only find a few published translation that go this route (JPS, NRSV, Lexham, and with a more interpretive rendering, NJB and GNB). We suggest that the HCSB follow the majority procedure, first of all, because it is the most natural reading of the Hebrew. Secondly, it is difficult to understand the actions of the Israelites if they are the subject. The king of Moab sacrifices the crown prince on the wall because he's losing the battle, so the Israelites become infuriated—and march away? But the opposite reading is easily understandable: when the king sacrificed his son, the Moabite army was enraged and beat the Israelites back. Rather than pursuing the campaign, they went home.

# Bible Reference:

2 Kings 4:28

Original text:

וַתאמֶר הַשָּׁאַלְתִי בָן מֵאָת אֲדֹנֵי הַלָּא אָמַׁרְתִי לָא תַשְׁלֶה אֹתִי

# HCSB rendering:

Then she said, "Did I ask my lord for a son? Didn't I say, 'Do not deceive me?""

# Suggestion:

Then she said, "Did I ask my lord for a son? Didn't I say, 'Don't raise my hopes'?"

# Rationale:

We are aware that the majority of published translations that we consulted give a reading similar to the HCSB's ("deceive" or more often "mislead"). However, we are suggesting the HCSB follow several other published translations (NIV, GNB, GW) for two reasons. First of all, this is apparently the only occurrence of this verb in the Hiphil stem. The connection with the Qal meaning is difficult to assess with only one usage, but HALOT offers "to set at ease, lead to a false hope." It does not mention "deceive" or "mislead" as a possible meaning for any stem. Secondly, "to give false hope" seems to make more sense in the context. A barren woman is promised a son by the prophet and later he dies. In her grief, she looks back to the earlier conversation. It seems more likely to us, as she discusses the matter with the prophet Elisha, that she would have conveyed the idea of not giving her false hope than deceiving her.

Incidentally, we also think that the quotation mark should go after the single quotation mark.

## Bible Reference:

2 Kings 4:38

Original text:

וָאֶלִישָׁע שָׁב הַגּּלְגָּלָה וְהָרָעָב בָּאֶֶׁרֶץ וּבְנִי הַגְּבִיאִים ישְׁבִים לְפָנָיו

# HCSB rendering:

The sons of the prophets were sitting <u>at his feet.</u><sup>a</sup> <u><sup>a</sup>Lit sitting before him</u>

## Suggestion:

The sons of the prophets were sitting before him.

## <u>Rationale:</u>

We see no reason for the HCSB to translate this verse the way it does. We suggest that the footnote, which is accurate and literal, and which is quite easy to understand in English, be put into the main text.

#### Bible Reference:

2 Kings 14:8 2 Chronicles 25:17

# Original text:

2 Kgs 14:8 – אָז שָׁלַח אַמַצְיָה מַלְאָלִים אָל־יְהוֹאָשׁ בָּן־יְהוֹאָחָז בָּן־יֵהוּא מֵעָך יִשְׂרָאָל לֵאמָר לְכֶה נִתְרָאָה פִנְים 2 Chr 25:17 – יוּדָה מֵעָך יִשְׂרָאָל לֵאמָר – 25:17 לְך נִתְרָאָה פָנִים

# HCSB rendering:

2 Kgs 14:8 – Amaziah then sent messengers to Jehoash son of Jehoahaz, son of Jehu, king of Israel, saying, "Come, let us <u>meet face to face</u>."

2 Chr 25:17 – King Amaziah of Judah took counsel and sent word to Jehoash son of Jehoahaz, son of Jehu, king of Israel, saying, "Come, let us meet face to face."

# Suggestion:

2 Kgs 14:8 – Amaziah then sent messengers to Jehoash son of Jehoahaz, son of Jehu, king of Israel, saying, "Come, let us <u>face each other in battle</u>."

2 Chr 25:17 – King Amaziah of Judah took counsel and sent word to Jehoash son of Jehoahaz, son of Jehu, king of Israel, saying, "Come, let us <u>face each other in battle</u>."

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The HCSB translation of these verses is certainly possible, but we think it is open to misunderstanding as if Amaziah wanted to meet in person for conversation. We note that in 2 Kings 14:11 and 2 Chronicles 25:21, the same idiom is translated by the HCSB as "He and King Amaziah of Judah faced off at Bethshemesh." While this is a little free, it does fit the context. The response of Jehoash to the request of Amaziah (2 Kings 14:9-10/2 Chronicles 25:18-19) certainly implies that he understood Amaziah's words as a challenge. Since "Come, let us face off against each other" seems a little awkward to us, we suggest "come, let us face each other in battle" which carries the same meaning. The majority of the translations we consulted see all these verses as referring to meeting in battle, although the exact translations vary considerably.

## **Bible Reference:**

2 Kings 14:26

## Original text:

כּי־רָאָה יְהוֶה אֶת־עֲנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל מֹרֶה מְאֵׁד וְאֶפֶס עָצוּר וְאָפֶס עָזוּב וְאֵין עֹזֵר לְיִשְׂרָאֵל

# HCSB rendering:

For the LORD saw that the affliction of Israel was very bitter. There was no one to help Israel, neither <u>bond</u> nor free.

## Suggestion:

For the LORD saw that the affliction of Israel was very bitter. There was no one to help Israel, neither <u>slave</u> nor free.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

## Bible Reference:

2 Kings 17:34

## Original text:

אֵינָם יְרַאִים אֶת־יְהוָה וְאֵינָם עֹשִׁים כְּחֻּקֹתָם וּכְמִשְׁפָּטָם וְכַתּוֹרָה וְכַמִּצְוָה אֲשֶׁר צְוָה יְהוָה אֶת־בְּנֵי יַאֲקֶׁב אֲשֶׁר־שֵׁם שְׁמוֹ יִשְׂרָאֵל

# HCSB rendering:

None of them fear the LORD or observe their statutes and ordinances, the law and commandments the LORD commanded the descendants of <u>Jacob. He had renamed him</u> Israel.

#### Suggestion:

None of them fear the LORD or observe their statutes and ordinances, the law and commandments the LORD commanded the descendants of <u>Jacob</u>, whom he had renamed Israel.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

This verse illustrates a tendency that we have noted in many contexts in the HCSB. There seems to be a preference to avoid subordinate clauses, especially relative clauses. Certainly, all modern English Bible translations break up long Greek and Hebrew sentences into shorter English sentences because modern style preferences demand that. Still, subordinate clauses are regularly used in English. In this case (and in many others) taking a subordinate clause and making it an independent statement changes the relationship of the information contained in the two clauses. The subordinate clause construction makes it clear why the information is there. An independent statement creates a break in the line of thought. In this case, the Hebrew simply adds a descriptive historical reminder modifying Jacob. But the independent sentence throws that statement out in a way that it interrupts the argument rather than simply rounding it out. We recommend that this verse be changed and that this issue be kept in mind during this review process.

# Bible Reference:

2 Kings 18:4

# Original text:

וְכִתַּת גְּחַשׁ הַגְּחֹשׁת אֲשֶׁר־עָשָׁה מֹשֶׁה פֵּי עַד־הַיָּמָים הָהֵמָּה הָיָוּ בְנֵי־יִשְׂרָאֵל מְקַמְּרִים לוֹ וַיִּקְרָא־לוֹ נְחָשְׁתֵּן

# HCSB rendering:

He broke into pieces the bronze snake that Moses made, for the Israelites burned incense to it up to that time. <u>He called it</u> Nehushtan.

# Suggestion:

He broke into pieces the bronze snake that Moses made, for the Israelites burned incense to it up to that time. <u>It was called</u> Nehushtan.

# Rationale:

Nearly all the translations we consulted take the Qal third singular יָיקרָא־לָן as an impersonal construction and translate "It was called Nehushtan." We suggest that the HCSB do the same, rather than stating that Hezekiah called it that.

#### Bible Reference:

2 Kings 18:17 Isaiah 20:1 Jeremiah 39:3, 13

## Original text:

2 Kgs 18:17 – אַשֿוּר אָת־תַב־סָרַיסוּ וְאָת־רַב־סָרָיסוּ וְאָת־רַב־שָׁלָה Isa 20:1 – בּשְׁנַת בָּא תַרְתָּן אַשְׁרּוֹדָה בִּשְׁלָח אֹתוֹ סַרְגוּן מָלֶך אַשִּׁוּר Jer 39:3 – נַיָּבֹאוּ כַּל שָׁר־אָצָר סַמְנַר־נְבֿוּ שַׁר־סְכָים רַב־ גַיָּבֹאוּ כָּל שָׁרַי אָצָר הַקַאָרָית שָׂרָי מָאָרָית שָׁרָי מָאָרָית שָׁרָי מָאָרָית שָׁרָי מָזַנָּר־נָבֿי גַרָגַל שַׂר־אָצָר רַב־לָג וְכָל־ שְׁאָרִית שָׂרֵי מֶאָרָית שָׁרֵי מָאָרָ

Jer 39:13 – אָבָר רַב־מָג וְכָל רַבֵּי מֶלֶך - אָדָן רַב־מַבָּחִים וּנְרְוּשַׁזְבָּן רַב־סָרִיס וְנִרְגַל שַׁר־אָצֶר רַב־מָג וְכָל רַבֵּי מֶלֶך - Jer 39:13 – נִישִׁלַח נְרָבוּשַׁזְבָן רַב־מָג וְכָל רַבֵּי מֶלֶ

# HCSB rendering:

- 2 Kgs 18:17 Then the king of Assyria sent the Tartan, the Rab-saris, and the Rabshakeh
- Isa 20:1 In the year that the chief commander, sent by Sargon king of Assyria, came to Ashdod
- Jer 39:3 All the officials of the king of Babylon entered and sat at the Middle Gate: Nergal-sharezer, Samgar, Nebusarsechim <u>the Rab-saris</u>, Nergal-sharezer <u>the Rab-mag</u>, and all the rest of the officials of Babylon's king.
- Jer 39:13 Nebuzaradan, captain of the guard, Nebushazban <u>the Rab-saris</u>, Nergal-sharezer <u>the Rab-mag</u>, and all the captains of the king of Babylon

#### Suggestion:

2 Kings 18:17 – Then the king of Assyria sent <u>the chief commander</u>, the Rab-saris, and the Rabshakeh Isaiah 20:1 – In the year that <u>the chief commander</u>, sent by Sargon king of Assyria, came to Ashdod

Jeremiah 39:3 – All the officials of the king of Babylon entered and sat at the Middle Gate: Nergalsharezer, Samgar, Nebusarsechim <u>the Rab-saris</u>,<sup>a</sup> Nergal-sharezer <u>the Rab-mag</u>,<sup>a</sup> and all the rest of the officials of Babylon's king.

<sup>a</sup>The title of a high ranking Babylonian official, also in verse 13

Jeremiah 39:13 – Nebuzaradan, captain of the guard, Nebushazban <u>the Rab-saris</u>,<sup>a</sup> Nergal-sharezer<u>the</u> <u>Rab-mag</u>,<sup>a</sup> and all the captains of the king of Babylon

<sup>a</sup> The title of a high ranking Babylonian official, also in verse 3

# <u>Rationale:</u>

In general, we think that it would be more helpful for the modern American reader if the HSCB employed English terms to give a sense of what these Assyrian or Babylonian loan words conveyed. However, we note that modern English translations are divided on the issue and that all Bible translations utilize the convention of transliterating some Hebrew words into English. How frequently to do it is a matter of judgment and philosophy. However, we note that in 2 Kings 18 and 19 and in the synoptic chapters of Isaiah (36 and 37), the loan word Rabshekah is transliterated sixteen times. In both contexts, the HCSB

supplies bullet notes explaining this word. But Tartan, Rab-saris and Rab-mag do not even have an explanatory footnote. Further, Tartan occurs only twice in the OT. In 2 Kings 18:17, the HCSB transliterates it while in Isaiah 20:1, it gives an English equivalent ("the chief commander"). We feel that since Tartan is so rare, the two instances should be handled in the same way, and our preference is to use "field commander" both times. If Rab-saris and Rab-mag are going to be transliterated, we suggest that an explanatory footnote be added.

#### Bible References:

2 Kings 18:21 Isaiah 36:6

# Original text:

- 2 Kgs 18:21 עַל־מָשָׁעֶנֶת הָנָאָ דָאָשׁר יִסָּמֵך אָישׁ עָלָיו וּבָא בְכַפָּוֹ וּנְקָבָה
- Isa אַשָּׁר יִפְּמֵד אִישׁ עָלֶיו וּבָא בְכַפּוֹ וּבָא בְכַפּוֹ וּנְקָבָה

# HCSB rendering:

2 Kgs 18:21 – Look, you are now trusting in Egypt, that splintered reed of a staff that will <u>enter</u> and pierce the hand of anyone who leans on it.

Isa 36:6 – Look, you are trusting in Egypt, that splintered reed of a staff that will <u>enter</u> and pierce the hand of anyone who leans on it.

## Suggestion:

2 Kgs 18:21 – Look, you are now trusting in Egypt, that splintered reed of a staff that will <u>puncture</u> and pierce the hand of anyone who leans on it.

Isa 36:6 – Look, you are trusting in Egypt, that splintered reed of a staff that will <u>puncture</u> and pierce the hand of anyone who leans on it.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

How to render "and it goes into his hand and bores through it" in readable English? We appreciate that HCSB is trying to find a smooth way to do that here, but we feel that you have not succeeded. We notice two general tendencies among the published translations. A slight majority favor taking the two verbs as a hendiadys, and so use only one English verb, usually "that will pierce the hand." We would be OK with that choice. The other option is to try and find a way to represent both verbs in the English translation. A couple do something like what we suggest here, which seems to us to be the most readable way to preserve them both.

# Bible Reference:

2 Kings 18:28

Original text:

וַיַּשְׁמֹד' רַב־שָׁלֵה וַיִּקְרָא בְקוֹל־נָּדוֹל יְהוּדֵית ויִדַבֵּר וַיֹּאמֶר

# HCSB rendering:

The Rabshakeh stood and called out loudly in Hebrew. Then he spoke:

## Suggestion:

The Rabshakeh stood and called out loudly in Hebrew:

## <u>Rationale:</u>

In the verse, the Hebrew piles up words for speaking, in part to make the point that this Babylonian officer was speaking Hebrew. The HCSB rendering almost makes it sound like he called out something in Hebrew, and then after that, he spoke the words that are in the quotation that follows. In a contemporary English translation we can dispense with the repeated words for speaking, as many published translations do, without doing violence to the text.

# Bible Reference:

2 Kings 21:16

# Original text:

וְנַם ֶּרָם נָקִׁי שָׁפָּך מְנַשֶּׁה הַרְבֵּה מְאֹד עָד אֲשֶׁר־מִלֵּא אֶת־יְרוּשָׁלָם פָּה לָפָּה לְבָר מֵחַטָּאתוֹ אֲשֶׁר הֶחֶטֵיא אֶת־יְהוּדָה לַעֲשָׂוֹת הָרָע בְּעֵינֵי יְהוֶה

# HCSB rendering:

Manasseh also shed so much innocent blood that he filled Jerusalem with it from one end to another. This was in addition to his sin that he caused Judah to <u>commit. Consequently, they</u> did what was evil in the LORD's sight.

# Suggestion:

Manasseh also shed so much innocent blood that he filled Jerusalem with it from one end to another. This was in addition to his sin that he caused Judah to <u>commit</u>, so that they did what was evil in the LORD's sight.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

This is an example of the HCSB's tendency to break longer sentences up into shorter English ones and then to confuse the meaning in the process. In Hebrew, the clause which is made into an independent sentence clearly depends on the verb אָהָהֶטָיָא, most likely serving as a result clause. But by making it an independent sentence, it is no longer clear if it refers only to the clause before it or to the other actions mentioned in the verse. We suggest restoring the grammatical relationship between the ideas that is presented in the Hebrew.

#### Bible References:

2 Kings 21:24; 23:30,35

2 Chronicles 33:25; 36:1

# Original text:

- 2 Kgs 21:24 וַיַדְעָם־הָאֶֶרֶץ אֶת־יאׁשִׁיֶהוּ בְנִוֹ וַיַּמְלֶיכוּ עַם־הָאֶֶרֶץ אֶת־יאׁשִׁיֶהוּ בְנִוֹ אַ גַיַ תַּחְתָּיו
- ַנַיַּדְ' עַם־הָאָָרֶץ אָת־יאשִׁיָהוּ בְנִוֹ 2 Chr 33:25 נַיַּדְ'עַם־הָאָָרֶץ אֶת־יאשִׁיָהוּ בְנִוֹ 2 Chr מַזָּלֶך אָמָוֹן נַיַּמְלְיכוּ עַם־הָאָָרֶץ אֶת־יאשִׁיָהוּ בְנוֹ נַיַּק תַחַתֵּיו
- 2 Kgs 23:30 וַיָּאָרֵץ אָת־יִהְוֹאָחָז בּן־יָאשִיָּהוּ וַיִּמִשְׁחָוּ אֹתוָ וַיַמִלֵיכוּ אֹתוֹ תַחַת אָבֶיו
- 2 Chr 36:1 וַיָּקָחוֹ עַם־הָאֶֶרֵץ אֶת־יִהוֹאָחָז בֶּן־יאשִׁיָהוּ וַיַּמְלִיכֵהוּ תַחַת־אָבֵיו בִּירוּשֶׁלָם:
- 2 Kgs 23:35 נְגַשׁ אֶת־הַכֶּסֶף וְאֶת־הַזָּהָב אֶת־עֵם הָאָָרֶץ לְחֵת לְפַּרְעָה נְכָה

# HCSB rendering:

2 Kgs 21:24 – Then the <u>common people<sup>a</sup></u> executed all those who had conspired against King Amon and made his son Josiah king in his place.

<sup>a</sup>Lit the people of the land

- 2 Chr 33:25 Then <u>the common people</u><sup>a</sup> executed all those who conspired against King Amon and made his son Josiah king in his place.
  <sup>a</sup> Lit *the people of the land*
- 2 Kgs 23:30 Then the <u>common people</u><sup>a</sup> took Jehoahaz son of Josiah, anointed him, and made him king in place of his father.

<sup>a</sup>Lit the people of the land

2 Chr 36:1 – Then <u>the common people<sup>a</sup></u> took Jehoahaz son of Josiah and made him king in Jerusalem in place of his father.

<sup>a</sup>Lit the people of the land

2 Kgs 23:35 – He exacted the silver and the gold from the <u>common people</u>,<sup>a</sup> each man according to his assessment, to give it to Pharaoh Neco.
 <u>a Lit the people of the land</u>

# Suggestion:

- 2 Kgs 21:24 Then the people of the land executed all those who had conspired against King Amon and made his son Josiah king in his place.
- 2 Chr 33:25 Then <u>the people of the land</u> executed all those who conspired against King Amon and made his son Josiah king in his place.
- 2 Kgs 23:30 Then the people of the land took Jehoahaz son of Josiah, anointed him, and made him king in place of his father.
- 2 Chr 36:1 Then <u>the people of the land</u> took Jehoahaz son of Josiah and made him king in Jerusalem in place of his father.
- 2 Kgs 23:35 He exacted the silver and the gold from the people of the land, each man according to his assessment, to give it to Pharaoh Neco.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

In these verses, the HCSB translates 2 as "the common people." We assume that with translation, the HCSB is excluding the upper level of society leaders. While we would not categorically deny that this expression can have that meaning (for that reason we have not recommended changing 2 Kings 25:19/Jeremiah 52:25 where it occurs twice, once rendered "the common people" and once "the people of the land"), we see nothing in the context of these verses to indicate that translation is preferable. We note that many times, the HCSB does render this expression as "the people of the land" (2 Kings 11:14, 18, 19,20; 15:5; 16;15; 24:14 – just to give examples). Further, it also translates the expression  $\overline{z}$  as "common people" in 2 Kings 23:6 and Jeremiah 26:23. We also note that in each of these verses, the HCSB felt the need to footnote the expression. All of this leads us to suggest that in these verses, "people of the land" be retained. This would allow all strata of society to be included. The commentator can make his case for "common people" from that translation if he wishes to. But he is not bound to do so.

## Bible Reference:

2 Kings 23:12

## Original text:

# ַוְאֶת־הַמִּזְבְּחוֹת אֲשֶׁר עַל־הַגָּג אֲלָיַת אָחָז אֲשֶׁר־עָשָׂוּ מַלְכֵי יְהוּדָה וְאֶת־הַמִּזְבְּחוֹת אֲשֶׁר־עָשָׂה מְנַשֶּׁה בִּשְׁתֵּי חַצְרוֹת בֵּית־יְהוָה נְתַץ הַמֶּלֶך

# HCSB rendering:

The king tore down the altars that <u>were on the roof — Ahaz's upper chamber that the kings of Judah had</u> <u>made—and</u> the altars that Manasseh had made in the two courtyards of the LORD's temple.

## Suggestion:

The king tore down the altars <u>that the kings of Judah had made on the roof of Ahaz's upper chamber</u>, and the altars that Manasseh had made in the two courtyards of the LORD's temple.

## <u>Rationale:</u>

All the published translations that we checked either make it clear that it was the altars that the kings of Judah had made (as our suggestion does), or they leave it ambiguous by keeping the Hebrew word order and only setting it off with a single comma ("the altars that were on the roof, Ahaz's upper chambers, that the kings of Judah had made"). The HCSB's word order and punctuation can only be taken to mean that the roof was made by the kings of Judah, which seems a very unnatural sense for the passage. Why would it be Ahaz's chamber if the kings of Judah had made it?

We also see no purpose in the dashes, and we wonder what English readers will make of them. Even though is not in the construct state, we assume that the roof must be the roof of the "upper chamber."