### Bible Reference:

Hosea 1:2

### **Original** text:

תְּחַלֵּת דִּבֶּר־יְהוָה בְּהוֹשֻׁע

## **HCSB rendering:**

When the LORD first spoke to Hosea,

#### Suggestion:

When the LORD first spoke through Hosea,

## Rationale:

The Hebrew has a  $\begin{cases}{l}\begin{cases}{l}\begin{cases}\begin{$ 

- Numbers 12:2 הֲבֶר הַלְאׁ נִם־בְּנוּ דִבֵּר
   HCSB Does the LORD speak only through Moses? Does He not also speak through us?
- 2 Samuel 23:2 בֶּר־בֵּר HCSB The Spirit of the LORD spoke <u>through</u> me,

#### Bible Reference:

Hosea 5:8

#### Original text:

ּהָקְעָוּ שׁוֹפָר בַּנִּבְעָה חֲצֹצְרָה בָּרָמָה הָרֹיעוּ בֵּית אָנֵן אַחֲרֶיךּ בִּנְיִמִין:

#### **HCSB** rendering:

Blow the horn in Gibeah, the trumpet in Ramah; raise the war cry in Beth-aven: After you, Benjamin!

#### Suggestion:

Blow the horn in Gibeah, the trumpet in Ramah; raise the war cry in Beth-aven: Look behind you, Benjamin!

#### Rationale:

It seems there are two ways that אַבְּיָרְ בּּנְיָמִין is understood: 1) Possibly it is a call for people to follow the lead of Benjamin into battle (cf. NIV, NLT, ESV); or 2) It is a call of warning for Benjamin to look out for the enemy coming behind him (BDB, HALOT, NKJV, NRSV, LEB). Since the context is the announcement of Ephraim's destruction (cf. verse 9), a case could be made that that it is best understood not as a rousing call to offensive military action by Benjamin. Rather the phrase is reflecting the panic of being surrounded.

At any rate, the current HCSB translation is so cryptic that it may not communicate anything clear to the English reader. What does it mean: "After you"? We prefer a rendering that will communicate clearly, and in this context we prefer the meaning offered by BDB and HALOT.

#### Bible Reference:

Hosea 6:4,6

#### Original text:

Hos 6:4 – :קה אֶצֶשֶׁה־לְּךֹּ אֶפְרַיִם מֶה אֶצֶשֶׁה־לְּדָּ יְהוּדָה וְחַסְּדְכֶם בַּעֲנַן־בַּקְר וְכַשֵּל מַשְׁבִּים הֹלֵף: – Hos 6:6 – בַּי חֵסֶר חָפַצִּתִּי וּלֹא־זָבַח וְדַעַת אֵלֹהִים מַעֹּלְוֹת: – Hos 6:6 – בַּי חֵסֶר חָפַצִּתִּי וּלֹא־זָבַח וְדַעַת אֵלֹהִים מַעֹּלְוֹת:

### HCSB rendering:

Hos 6:4 – What am I going to do with you, Ephraim?
What am I going to do with you, Judah?
Your loyalty is like the morning mist
and like the early dew that vanishes.
Hos 6:6 – For I desire loyalty and not sacrifice,
the knowledge of God rather than burnt offerings.

#### Suggestion:

Hos 6:4 – What am I going to do with you, Ephraim?
What am I going to do with you, Judah?
Your <u>love</u> is like the morning mist
and like the early dew that vanishes.
Hos 6:6 – For I desire <u>love</u> and not sacrifice,
the knowledge of God rather than burnt offerings.

#### Rationale:

In our comments on Micah 6:8, we stated that we find much to commend in Harris' article on TOT. We are not convinced that "faithfulness" or "loyalty" is best, but rather "mercy," "kindness," or "love."

We notice that in the four other occurrences of ¬¬¬¬ in Hosea, the HCSB always translates "love" or "faithful love."

- Hos 2:19 (H21) − I will take you to be My wife in righteousness, justice, love (¬מֶבֶּהֶ), and compassion.
- Hos 4:1 There is no truth, no faithful love (קְאֵרוֹן־הַחָבּ), and no knowledge of God in the land!
- Hos 10:12 So righteousness for yourselves and reap faithful love (קַצְרָוֹּ לְפִי־הֶּטֶּׁכֶד).
- Hos 12:6 (H7) Maintain love and justice (הַטֶּב וֹמִשְׁפְּטֹ שְׁמִׂר), and always put your hope in God.

## Bible Reference:

Hosea 6:11

#### Original text:

נַם־יְהוּדָּה שָׁת קָצִיר לָךְ בְּשׁוּבִי שְׁבְוּת עַמִּי:

## **HCSB** rendering:

A harvest is also appointed for you, Judah.

When <u>I</u><sup>a</sup> return My people from captivity, <u>a</u> Or *you. Judah, when I* 

## Suggestion:

A harvest is also appointed for you, Judah.

When <u>I</u> return My people from captivity,

[Omit footnote.]

## Rationale:

We do not see that what is offered in the footnote is a legitimate alternative. The word הַלֶּהֶׁה in Hebrew is very definitely associated with "a harvest is appointed for you," and not with the subsequent phrase.

Hosea 7:6

## Original text:

בִּי־קַרְבָּוּ כַתַּנָּוּר לְבֶּם בְּאָרְבָּם

## **HCSB** rendering:

For they—their hearts like an oven—draw him into their <u>oven</u>.

## Suggestion:

For they—their hearts like an oven—draw him into their <u>ambush</u>.

## Rationale:

We are not sure how the HCSB came up with the meaning "oven" for אֶּרֶבּ. We think the meaning suggested by HALOT for the noun כשׁלֵג could work: "ambush." This is a meaning in harmony with the normal usage of the verbal root.

## Bible Reference:

Hosea 7:16

## Original text:

יִפְּלוּ בַחֶנֶרב שְׂרֵיהֶם מִזַּעַם לְשׁוֹנֶחַ

## **HCSB** rendering:

Their leaders will fall by the sword because of the <u>cursing</u> of their tongue.

## Suggestion:

Their leaders will fall by the sword because of the <u>raging</u> of their tongue.

## Rationale:

Hebrew has several words for "cursing," but מַשְׁב is not one of them. Words that could work for שׁבּוֹ besides "raging" would be "insolence" or "indignation."

#### Bible Reference:

Hosea 9:8

#### Original text:

צֹפֶה אֶפְרָיִם עם־אֱלֹהָי נָבִיא פַּח יָקוֹשׁ עַל־כָּל־דְּרָכָיו מַשְּׁמֵמֶה בְּבִית אֱלֹהָיו:

## HCSB rendering:

Ephraim's watchman is with my God. The prophet encounters a fowler's snare on all his ways.
Hostility is in the house of his God!

#### Suggestion:

Ephraim's watchman is with my God. Yet the prophet encounters a fowler's snare on all his ways.

Hostility is in the house of his God!

#### Rationale:

The flow of thought here is difficult, but the HCSB seems to understand it as many translations do—that the first clause is a positive statement, followed by statements of judgment and trouble. The English reader in this case will be greatly helped by the insertion of "yet" to begin the statements of judgment and trouble. Translations that insert "yet" at this point include: CEB, ESV, GW, MEV, NASB, NET, NIV, NRSV, and NLT.

### Bible Reference:

Hosea 9:11

## Original text:

אָפְלַיִם כָּעָוֹף יִתְעוֹפֵּף כְּבוֹדֶם מִלֵּדֶה וּמִבֶּטֶן וּמֵהַרְיְוֹן:

## **HCSB rendering:**

Ephraim's glory will fly away like a bird: no birth, no gestation, no conception.

## Suggestion:

Ephraim's glory will fly away like a bird: no birth, no pregnancy, no conception.

## Rationale:

The HCSB has chosen the difficult word "gestation"—a word commonly used in connection with the animal kingdom—when the word "pregnancy" would work fine.

#### Bible Reference:

Hosea 9:15

### Original text:

בָּל־רָעָתָם בַּנִּלְנָל' בִּי־שָׁם שְׂנֵאתִׁים

#### **HCSB** rendering:

All their evil appears at Gilgal, for there I came to hate them.

## Suggestion:

All their evil appears at Gilgal, for there I <u>began</u> to hate them.

#### Rationale:

We assume that the HCSB intends to present שֵׁנֵאלִים as inchoative, as suggested by McComiskey (*Minor Prophets*, p. 155) and others. However, when an English reader sees "there I came to hate," he could very easily assume that the verb "came" means "went" implying motion, especially since it is preceded by a place name (Gilgal). If you are intending an inchoative meaning here, then we think you should make your intention clear by using the verb "began."

#### Bible Reference:

Hosea 11:12 (H 12:1)

# Original text:

ּ וִיהוּדָה עָר רֶר עִם־אֵל וְעִם־קְרוֹשֵים נָאֱמֶן:

#### **HCSB** rendering:

Judah still wanders with God and is faithful to the <u>holy ones</u>. ab

- <sup>a</sup> Or to the <u>Holy One</u>; Hb obscure
- <sup>b</sup> Possibly angels, or less likely, pagan gods or idols

#### Suggestion:

Judah still wanders with God and is faithful to the <u>Holy One</u>.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Hb obscure

#### Rationale:

It seems unlikely to us that Hosea would commend Judah for being faithful to "angels." Are OT believers ever commended for faithfulness to angels? We prefer to understand the word קרוֹשֶׁים as parallel to in this verse, with both words referring to God. Both BDB and HALOT recommend that קרוֹשֶׁים in Hosea 12:1 be taken as a divine name (a plural of majesty), similar to Proverbs 9:10 and 30:3. We notice that the HCSB translates קרוֹשֵׁים as the "Holy One" in Proverbs 9:10 and 30:3.

## Bible Reference:

Hosea 13:9

#### Original text:

שַׁחַתָּדָּ יִשְּׂרָאֵל כֵּי־בֵי בִעַזְרֵדְ:

#### HCSB rendering:

I will destroy you, <u>Israel;</u> You have no help but Me.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> LXX reads At your destruction, Israel, who will help you?

#### Suggestion:

I will destroy you, <u>Israel</u>, <u>because you are against me, your helper</u>.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> LXX reads *At your destruction, Israel, who will help you?* 

#### Rationale:

The phrase בְּיבֵי בְּעֶּיְבֶרְ is admittedly difficult, and there are numerous explanations. The problem with HCSB's choice is that it is hard to make it fit with the context. The immediate context is a fierce message of God's wrath and judgment on Israel (read verses 7-13). The HCSB rendering of this phrase can easily be understood to be a statement of confidence in the Lord as Israel's helper. Given the immediate context, we recommend a rendering that is a message of rebuke or judgment. Our suggestion is much like the ESV, NIV, GW, and NASB. BDB indicates that the preposition can mean "against" (II4a), and it does so elsewhere in Hosea (cf. 7:14).

Hosea 13:10

Original text:

אֶהֵי מַלְכְדֹּ אֵפֿוֹא

## **HCSB rendering:**

Where now is your <u>king</u>, a LXX,Syr,Vg; MT reads *I will be your king* 

## Suggestion:

Where now is your king,

[Omit footnote.]

#### Rationale:

There is no reason for this footnote, and it could be misleading. Both BDB and HALOT understand the Hebrew word לאָדֶּל to be an interrogative adverb meaning "where?" in Hosea 13:10 and 13:14. The HCSB itself translates מוֹל as "where" four verses later in Hosea 13:14. In other words, the HCSB translation as it stands in Hosea 13:10 is a good representation of the Masoretic Text, as well as the LXX, Syriac, and Vulgate. Therefore, the footnote should be removed.

#### Bible Reference:

Joel 2:3

#### Original text:

לְפָנֶיוֹ אָכְלָה אֵשׁ וְאַחֲרָיו תְּלַהֵט לֶהָבָה

### **HCSB** rendering:

A fire <u>destroys</u><sup>a</sup> in front of them, and behind them a flame <u>devours</u>.

<sup>a</sup> Lit *consumes* 

### Suggestion:

A fire <u>devours</u> in front of them, and behind them a flame <u>blazes</u>.

#### Rationale:

The HCSB handles the verbs in this verse in a very unusual and unexpected way. With the Hebrew verb אָּבְּלְהָּ, which implies "devouring" or "consuming," the HCSB removes the eating idea in the text ("destroys"), but feels compelled to include it a footnote. Then with the Hebrew verb מְּלַהָּלָּה, which does not imply "eating," the HCSB inserts the eating idea ("devours"). We recommend that HCSB put the eating idea with מְּלֵלָה.

## Bible Reference:

Joel 2:8

#### Original text:

וְאָישׁ אָחִיוֹ לָאׁ יִדְחָלְּוּן גָּבֶר בִּמְסִלְּתוֹ יֵלֵכֵוּ

## **HCSB** rendering:

They do not push each other; each man proceeds on his own path.

## Suggestion:

They do not push each other; each one proceeds on his own path.

## Rationale:

The major lexicons (BDB, HALOT, DCH) all recommend "each" or "each one" for and in this verse, and we don't see other published translations that use "man." The description of the enemy in Joel uses the imagery of a locust horde, which makes "man" inappropriate.

## Bible Reference:

Joel 2:20

#### Original text:

Joel 2:20 – וְעָלֶה בָאְשׁׁוֹ וְתַעַל צַחֲנָתוֹ כֵּי הִנְהִיל לַעֲשְׁוֹת: Joel 2:21 – אַל־תִּירְאָי אֲדָנָאָה נְּיַלִי וּשְׂטָּחִי כִּי־הִנְדִיל יְהָוָה לַעֲשְׂוֹת:

### HCSB rendering:

Joel 2:20 – His stench will rise; yes, his rotten smell will rise, for he has done <u>catastrophic</u> things. Joel 2:21 – Don't be afraid, land; rejoice and be glad, for the LORD has done great things.

#### Suggestion:

Joel 2:20 – His stench will rise; yes, his rotten smell will rise, for he has done great things. Joel 2:21 – Don't be afraid, land; rejoice and be glad, for the LORD has done great things.

#### Rationale:

Without a doubt the prophet Joel put the identical phrase מֵל הַּנְּהֶיל לְשִׁשְׂוֹת into two consecutive verses for effect. The HCSB, however, translates the phrase differently, assuming "catastrophic" is appropriate for the northern enemy, and "great" for the Lord. We think that there is an advantage in translating the phrase identically to show readers the connection between the two verses.

#### Bible Reference:

Joel 2:32 Obadiah 16 (H17)

## Original text:

Joel 2:32 – הָּנִים הְּהֶנֶה פְּלֵישָׁה וּבִירוּשֶׁלַם הְּהָנֶה פְּלֵישָׁה Obad 16 – הָּבֶר צִּיָּוֹן הִּהְנֶה פְלֵישָה

## **HCSB** rendering:

Joel 2:32 – for there will be <u>an escape for those</u> on Mount Zion and in Jerusalem, Obad 16 – But there will be <u>a deliverance</u> on Mount Zion,

## Suggestion:

Joel 2:32 – for there will be <u>deliverance</u> on Mount Zion and in Jerusalem, Obad 16 – But there will be <u>deliverance</u> on Mount Zion,

## Rationale:

The amount of vocabulary that these two texts have in common makes it likely that one is alluding to the other. In a case like this, it is ideal if the allusion can also be seen in English with identical translations.

Amos 1:3 and passim
Original text:
כָּה אָמַר יְהוָה
HCSB rendering:
The LORD says:
Suggestion:
This is what the LORD says:

Bible Reference:

## Rationale:

The formula הַּבְּלֵה יְהַלְּכֵּה occurs in about 375 verses in the OT. In Jeremiah and Ezekiel, where the formula is ubiquitous, we notice that the HCSB uniformly translates "This is what the LORD says." When a reader gets to the Minor Prophets in the HCSB, however, one notices variation. In the book of Amos, for example, the formula occurs 12 times, with the HCSB translating "the LORD says" 11 times, and "this is what the LORD says" once (7:17). We don't know why such a standard formula needs to be rendered differently from book to book. We recommend that this stock expression be checked and made uniform throughout the HCSB wherever possible.

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 2:13

## Original text:

הַנָּה אָנֹכִי מֵעֶיק תַּחְתִּיכֶם כַּאֲשֶׁר חָעִיק הָעֲנָלָה הַמְלֵאָה לָה עָמְיר:

## **HCSB rendering:**

Look, I am about to crush you in your place as a wagon <u>full of sheaves crushes grain</u>.

## Suggestion:

Look, I am about to crush you in your place as a wagon <u>crushes when full of grain</u>.

## Rationale:

There is one word for "sheaves" or "grain" in this verse (עָּמְיר). We are not sure why the HCSB has two — "sheaves" and "grain." It seems most likely to us that עָּמִיר is an object for the verbal adjective הַּמְלֵּאָד, indicating what the wagon is full of. We prefer the common word "grain" over the archaic word "sheaves."

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 2:14

### **Original** text:

וְאָבֶד מָנוֹס מִלֶּל וְחָזֶק לֹא־יְאַמֵּץ כֹחֵוֹ וְנִבָּוֹר לֹא־יְמַלֵּט נַפְּשְׁוֹ:

# **HCSB rendering:**

Escape will fail the swift, the strong one will not <u>prevail by</u> his strength, and the <u>brave</u> will not save his life.

#### Suggestion:

Escape will fail the swift, the strong one will not <u>maintain</u> his strength, and the <u>warrior</u> will not save his life.

#### Rationale:

As far as we can tell, the Piel of מָלֵייִ does not carry the meaning of "prevail" elsewhere. It is a transitive verb meaning "to make strong," followed by a direct object. We recommend construing מְלֵייִ in that way here. We notice that when לְּבָּיִ follows מְלֵייִ in Nahum 2:2, the HCSB translates "summon all your strength."

Also, we notice that in Amos 2:16, just several lines later, the HCSB translates מַבְּבּוֹרֶים as "warriors." We see no reason why the HCSB can't be consistent and translate "warrior" in 2:14.

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 3:3

#### Original text:

הַיַלְכִּוּ שָׁנַיִם יַחְדֶּן בִּלְתִּי אִם־נוֹעֶדוּ:

LXX – εἰ πορεύσονται δύο ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ καθόλου ἐὰν μὴ γνωρίσωσιν ἑαυτούς;

## **HCSB** rendering:

Can two walk together
Without agreeing to meet?<sup>a</sup>

a LXX reads without meeting

#### Suggestion:

Can two walk together Without agreeing to meet?

[Omit footnote.]

#### Rationale:

The footnote does not seem to be accurate. The LXX phrase in questions, ἐὰν μὴ γνωρίσωσιν ἑαυτούς, would properly be translated: "without knowing each other." LEH presumes that the LXX was reading the Hebrew root ישד rather than ישד, perhaps through metathesis. Here are published translations of the LXX phrase in question:

- Brenton if they do not know one another
- NETS unless they be acquainted with each other.

The footnote could be corrected to: "LXX reads without knowing each other." Due to the limited value of the footnote, we suggest that it simply be omitted.

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 3:10

## Original text:

וְלְאֹ־יָרְעִוּ עֲשׁוֹת־נְכֹחָה נְאָם־יְהוָה

#### **HCSB** rendering:

The people <u>are incapable of doing right</u>—this is the LORD's declaration—

## Suggestion:

The people <u>do not know how to do right</u>—this is the LORD's declaration—

## Rationale:

The Hebrew has ירע ("know how") rather than יכל ("be able"), and the concepts are not interchangeable. A close parallel is Jeremiah 4:22: וְלֵשִׁיב לְאֹ יָדֶעוֹ, where the HCSB translates: "they do not know how to do what is good."

## Bible Reference:

Amos 4:5

## **Original** text:

פָּי כֵן אֲהַבְתֶּם בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵׁל נְאֶם אֲדֹנֵי יְהוְה

## **HCSB rendering:**

for that is what you Israelites love to do! This is the <u>LORD's declaration</u>.

## Suggestion:

for that is what you Israelites love to do! This is the <u>declaration of the Lord GOD</u>.

## Rationale:

The HCSB slipped here by not representing אַדֹּבֶּי. Our suggestion is the usual way that this formula is translated in the HCSB (cf. Amos 3:13; 8:9,11).

## Bible Reference:

Amos 5:7

#### Original text:

הַהֹפְּכִים לְלַעֲנָה מִשְׁפָּט וּצְדָקָה לָאֲרֶץ הִנְּיחוּ:

#### HCSB rendering:

Those who turn justice into wormwood throw righteousness to the ground.

## Suggestion:

They turn justice into wormwood and throw righteousness to the ground.

#### Rationale:

The HCSB translation ignores the vav conjunction on לְּבֶּרָהָא, and thereby winds up saying something different from the Hebrew.

It is not easy to know exactly what to do with this verse. Many translations take it as a vocative ("You who..."), which makes sense in the context and is a good option.

We notice that a few verses later in Amos 5:12 there is a similar construction—participles followed by a vav and a finite verb (: צֹרְהֵי בַּשִּׁעַר הִשְּׁר). The HCSB translates: "They oppress the righteous, take a bribe, and deprive the poor of justice at the gates." The translation we are suggesting for verse 7 is similar to the HCSB translation of verse 12.

We also find GKC §126b (b) supporting us in this recommendation.

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 5:26

#### Original text:

וּנְשָׂאהָם אֵת סִבְּוּת מַלְבְּבֶּם וְאֵת בִּיָּון צַלְמִיכֶם כּוֹכַבֹ אֱלְהֵיבֶׁם אֲשֶׁר עֲשִׂיתֶם לָכֶם:

LXX – καὶ ἀνελάβετε τὴν σκηνὴν τοῦ Μολοχ καὶ τὸ ἄστρον τοῦ θεοῦ ὑμῶν Ραιφαν, τοὺς τύπους αὐτῶν, οῦς ἐποιήσατε ἑαυτοῖς.

#### **HCSB** rendering:

But you have taken up Sakkuth your king<sup>a</sup> and Kaiwan your star god, images you have made for yourselves.

<sup>a</sup>LXX reads up the tent of <u>Molech and Rephan</u>; Ac 7:43

#### Suggestion:

But you have taken up Sakkuth your king<sup>a</sup> and Kaiwan your star god, images you have made for yourselves.

<sup>a</sup> LXX reads up the tent of *Moloch and the star of your god Rephan*; Ac 7:43

#### Rationale:

We are only concerned with improving one footnote in this complicated verse.

- 1) We suggest that you make the spelling of Moloch consistent with Acts 7:43 (Moloch, not Molech).
- 2) The footnote is missing some words from the LXX. When these words are added, the footnote becomes identical to Acts 7:43.

## Bible Reference:

Amos 5:27

#### Original text:

יָהְגַלִיתִי אֶּתְכֶם מַהָּלְאָה לְדַנָּגָשֶׂק אָמֵר יְהוָה אֱלֹהֵי־צְּבָאִוֹת שְׁמְוֹ:

## **HCSB** rendering:

So I will send you into exile beyond <u>Damascus</u>." Yahweh, the God of Hosts, is His name. He has spoken.

## Suggestion:

So I will send you into exile beyond <u>Damascus</u>," says Yahweh, whose name is the God of Hosts.

## Rationale:

We see no reason for the radical restructuring of the end of this verse. It seems to put an emphasis on "He has spoken" beyond what is in the Hebrew. It also makes the phrase "Yahweh, the God of Hosts, is his name" hang there awkwardly.

If you agree with this suggestion, you may also want to look at Amos 9:15: "Yahweh your God has spoken."

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 6:10

#### Original text:

וּנִשָּאוֹ דּוֹדָוֹ וּמָסָרָפֿוֹ לְהוֹצֵיא עֲצָמִים ֹמִן־הַבַּיִת

#### HCSB rendering:

A close relative <u>and burner</u><sup>a</sup> will remove his corpse from the house.

<sup>a</sup> A burner of incense, a memorial fire, or a body; Hb obscure

#### Suggestion:

A close relative responsible for the funeral<sup>a</sup> will remove his corpse from the house.

<sup>a</sup> Lit and the one who burns him, perhaps one who burns incense, a memorial fire, or a body; Hb obscure

#### Rationale:

We have never seen the word "burner" used for a human being who burns something, and we don't find that meaning in English dictionaries. A "burner" is a device that burns, as is present on a furnace or a stove. This is a difficult phrases, and as the HCSB indicates, there are various possible explanations. We put forward our suggestion as a way around the difficulty, with a more general term in the text, allowing the footnote to explicate it more.

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 7:7

#### Original text:

בָּה הִרְאַנִי וְהִנֶּה אֲדֹנֵי נִצֶּב עַלֹּ־חוֹמַת אָנֶדְ וּבְיָדוֹ אָנֶדְ:

#### **HCSB** rendering:

He showed me this: the Lord was standing there by a vertical wall with a plumb line in His hand.

## Suggestion:

He showed me this: the Lord was standing there by a <u>wall built with a plumb line</u>, and in his hand was a <u>plumb line</u>.

#### Rationale:

Is it possible to have a wall that is not "vertical?" "A vertical wall" seems like a strange combination of words in English, a combination that does not necessarily capture the idea that the wall was straight, built true to plumb.

We think that it is very workable in English to represent the repetition of جيّة, as many translations do, and the repetition adds some stylistic vigor, mimicking the Hebrew. The Hebrew has: "wall of جيّة, and in his hand ""." Why not present something similar in English: "wall ... plumb line, and ...hand...plumb line"?

## Bible Reference:

Amos 8:1

## Original text:

בָּה הָרְאַנִי אֲדֹנֵי יְהוֹגָה וְהִנֵּה כְּלוּב קֵיץ:

## **HCSB rendering:**

The Lord GOD showed me this: A basket of summer fruit.

# Suggestion:

The Lord GOD showed me this: a basket of summer fruit.

## Rationale:

According to the punctuation rules that we know, a capital letter does not introduce a simple phrase following a colon.

#### Bible Reference:

Amos 8:2

#### Original text:

ַנּיֹאמֶר מֶה־אַהֶּת רֹאֶה עָמוֹס נָאמָר כְּלַיּב קָיִץ נַיּאמֶר יְהנָה אֵלַי בָּא הַמֶּץ אֶל־עַמַּי יִשְּׂרָאֵל לֹא־אוֹסְיף יִנִּד עַבְּוֹר לֹיִ:

#### HCSB rendering:

He asked me, "What do you see, Amos?" I replied, "A basket of summer fruit." The LORD said to me, "The <u>end</u> has come for My people Israel; I will no longer spare them."

## Suggestion:

He asked me, "What do you see, Amos?"
I replied, "A basket of summer fruit."
The LORD said to me, "The enda has come for My people Israel; I will no longer spare them."

a In Hb, the word for summer fruit sounds like "end"

#### Rationale:

Without a footnote indicating that there is a play on words in Hebrew, the English reader would have no clue why the Lord showed Amos a "basket of summer fruit."

The proposed footnote is written in a manner similar to the following HCSB footnotes:

- 1 Chr  $4:9 {}^{a}$  In Hb, the name Jabez sounds like "he causes pain"
- 1 Chr  $7:23 {}^{a}$  In Hb, the name Beriah sounds like "in misfortune"
- 1 Chr 22:9 <sup>a</sup> In Hb, the name Solomon sounds like "peace"

## Bible Reference:

Jonah 1:11-12

#### Original text:

Jonah 1:11 – נַיּאמְרָוּ אֵלָיוּ מַה־נַּעֲשֶּה לֶּךְ וְיִשְׁתְּק הַיָּם מֵעְלֵינוּ Jonah 1:12 – מַעֲלֵיכֶם מָאֲלִיכֶם נָיָם מִעְלֵינִי אֶל־הַיָּם וְיִשְׁתִּק הַיָּם מֵעֲלֵיכֶם

### HCSB rendering:

So they said to him, "What should we do to you to calm this sea that's against us? ... <sup>12</sup> He answered them, "Pick me up and throw me into the sea<sup>a</sup> so it may quiet down for you."

<sup>a</sup> Lit sea that's against you

## Suggestion:

So they said to him, "What should we do to you so the sea may quiet down for us? . . . <sup>12</sup> He answered them, "Pick me up and throw me into the sea so it may quiet down for you."

### Rationale:

These two verses have the same expression: וְישֶׁתִּלְ הַיָּם מֵעל. The HCSB seemingly understands the as if it is in an relative clause without a relative marker. That seems highly unlikely to us, and does not seem to be evidenced in any English translation that we consulted. The מֵעל preposition ("from upon...") rather suggests that the מֵעל prepositional phrase should be taken with the verb יְשְׁתִּל ("quiet down from upon..."). The best way to represent it in English is to say: "quiet down for..." We suggest translating the two phrases in the same way, with this handling of the

#### Bible Reference:

Jonah 2:4 (5)

#### Original text:

:נְאָנֵי אָמַּיְרִתִּי נִגְרַשְׁתִּי מִנֶּנֶד עֵינֵיך אַד אוֹסֵיף לְהַבִּּיט אֶל־הֵיכֵל קְּרְשֶׁדְ

LXX – καὶ ἐγὼ εἶπα Ἀπῶσμαι ἐξ ὀφθαλμῶν σου, ἆρα προσθήσω τοῦ ἐπιβλέψαι πρὸς τὸν ναὸν τὸν ἄγιόν σου;

# **HCSB rendering:**

But I said: I have been banished from Your sight, yet I will look once more toward Your holy temple LXX reads <u>said</u>: Indeed, will I look . . .?

#### Suggestion:

But I said: I have been banished from Your sight, yet I will look once more<sup>a</sup> toward Your holy temple

<sup>a</sup> LXX reads *sight*. *Indeed*, *will I look*...?

## Rationale:

The LXX footnote overlooks the extra phrase between "said" and "Indeed, will I look ...?" Here is the rendering of the *New English Translation of the Septuagint* for Jonah 2:4: "And I said, "I have been driven away from your eyes; shall I add to look at your holy shrine?"

## Bible Reference:

Jonah 2:5

Original text:

אַפָּפִוּנִי מַיִם עַד־נָּפֵשׁ

# **HCSB** rendering:

The waters engulfed me <u>up to the neck;</u> a Or <u>me</u>, <u>threatening my life</u>

## Suggestion:

The waters engulfed me; threatening my life;<sup>a</sup>

or me up to the neck

## Rationale:

In his prayer from the belly of the fish, Jonah is describing what it was like to be sinking in the sea, drowning. In this context, it doesn't seem best to us to say that the waters were "up to the neck." His entire body was below the waves, with his entire head. We suggest switching and putting the rendering of the footnote into the text.

#### Bible Reference:

Jonah 2:6 (H7)

#### Original text:

לְקִצְבֵי הָרִים יָרַדְתִּי הָאָרֵץ בִּרְחֵיהָ בַעַּדָי לְעוֹלֶם

#### **HCSB** rendering:

I sank to the foundations of the mountains; the earth with its prison bars closed behind me forever!

#### Suggestion:

I sank to the foundations of the mountains; the earth was barred against me forever!

#### Rationale:

We are comfortable with the interpretation of these lines as offered by the HCSB. When Jonah sank to the bottom of the sea, he sensed that the earth was barred against him; he could never return to dry ground. We also appreciate the HCSB's effort to explain the "bars," because to simply translate "bars" could result in a lack of understanding for the modern reader.

Our difficulty is with the translation "<u>prison</u> bars" for בְּרִים. We wonder: were the ancient Israelites familiar with "prisons?" If so, did the prisons have metal "bars" like our modern prisons? We don't see that the word בְּרִים ever means that in any other setting. Rather, the word בְּרִים regularly is used for the "bar" that would be put on a gate or door to secure it.

We think that our suggestion would capture the meaning in a concise way. Alternately, one could also translate "the earth closed its gates behind me forever!"—translating בְּרִים the same way the HCSB does in Amos 1:5.

## Bible Reference:

Jonah 3:5

#### Original text:

וַיַּאָכוֶינוּ אַנְשֵׁי נִינַוָה בַּאלֹהַים

#### HCSB rendering:

The men of Nineveh <u>believed in God</u>. a Or *believed God* 

#### Suggestion:

The men of Nineveh <u>believed God</u>. a Or *believed in God* 

#### Rationale:

We know that there is discussion about this phrase. Did the Ninevites have saving faith in God, or did they just believe the message of judgment spoken by the prophet? There are many interpreters who think the Ninevites believed that Jonah's words were the words of God, but did not have faith in God (cf. Baldwin in *The Minor Prophets*, ed. McComiskey, p. 579). Therefore, we think it is best to translate in such a way that both options remain open. The way to do that, in our opinion, is to translate: "the men of Nineveh believed God." If you translate "the men of Nineveh believed in God," it demands the interpretation that they had faith in God.

We notice that the same idiom occurs in Genesis 15:6 in regard to Abraham (וְהֶאֶמֶן בְּיהוָה), and the HCSB translates: "Abraham believed the LORD." The idiom with הַאָּמֶן and ב, in other words, does not need to be translated "believe in."

Since "they <u>believed in God</u>" is a possible translation and interpretation, we are fine with it remaining in the footnote. We simply recommend that the reading in the text and the footnote be switched.

## Bible Reference:

Jonah 4:1

#### Original text:

וַיָּרַע אֶל־יוֹנֶה רָעָה נְרוֹלֶגָה וַיִּחַר לוְ:

## **HCSB** rendering:

But Jonah was greatly displeased and became furious.

## Suggestion:

But Jonah was greatly displeased and became angry.

## Rationale:

Jonah chapter 4 has the verb  $\overline{\Pi}$  with  $\overline{\phantom{G}}$  three times in addition to verse 1 (cf. v. 4,9). In all cases, the HCSB translates "angry," which is the usual translation also in other books. We don't see a reason for the extra content in verse 1 (= "furious").

#### Bible Reference:

Jonah 4:2

#### Original text:

אַתָּה אֵל־חַנָּוּן וְרַחוּם אֶרֶךְ אַפַּיִם וְרַב־חֶׁטֶר

#### HCSB rendering:

I knew that You are a merciful and compassionate God, slow to become angry, rich in faithful love,

#### Suggestion:

I knew that You are a gracious and compassionate God, slow to anger, rich in faithful love,

### Rationale:

This phrase is an OT commonplace about God, originating in God's revelation to Moses in Exodus 34:6-7. In other places where the word מוֹל סכנור, the word מוֹל is translated "gracious" and not "merciful" in the HCSB (Ex 34:6; Joel 2:13; Ps 103:8; 111:4; 112:4; 145:8; Neh 9:17,31). In other places, the phrase מֵּלֶרְ אַבֶּׁרְ אַבֶּרְ אַבֶּרְ אַבֶּרְ אַבֶּרְ אַבֶּרְ אַבְּרָ אַבְּרָ אַבָּרְ אַבּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרָ אַבְּרְ אָבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אָבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרָ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרָ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אַבְּרָ אָבְּרְ אַבְּרְ אָבְיּבְיּ אַבּרְ אַבְּרְ אָבְיּבְיּ אַבּרְ אַבְּבְּיִים אוּבּר אַבּרְ אַבְּבְּרְ אַבְּבְּרְ אַבְּבְּרְ אַבְּרָ אַבְּבְּרְ אָבְּרְ אָבְיִים אַבּיּבְּעָם אַבּרְ אַבְּבְּרָ אַבְּבְּיִים אָבְּיִים אָבְּעָר אָבְּבְּיִים אָבְּיִים אָבְּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְּיִים אָבְיּבְיּים אָבְּיִים אָבְּיִים אָבְּיִים אָבְיּבְיִים אָבְיּבְיּבְיּבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּבְיּבְיּבְיּים אָבְיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּבְיּבְיִים אָבְיִים אָבִייִים אָבְייִים אָבְיּיִבְיּים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיִיבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְייִים אָבְייִבְיּיְיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְייִים אָבְיּיִים אָבְייִים אָבְייִים

### Bible Reference:

Jonah 4:11

### Original text:

ַוְאָנִי לָא אָחׄוּס עַל־נִינְוֶה הָעַיר הַנְּרוֹלֶגֶה אֲשֶׁר יֶשׁ־בָּה הַרְבֵּה מְשְׁתִּים־עֶשְׂבֹה רְבֹּוֹ אָדָׁם אֲשֶׁר לְא־יָדַע בּין־יְמִינַוֹ לִשְּׁמֹאלוֹ וּבְהֵמֶה רַבְּּה:

### **HCSB** rendering:

Should I not care about the great city of Nineveh, which has more than 120,000 <u>people</u> who cannot distinguish between their right and their left, as well as many animals?

<u>a Or men</u>

### Suggestion:

Should I not care about the great city of Nineveh, which has more than 120,000 <u>people</u> who cannot distinguish between their right and their left, as well as many animals?

# Rationale:

We see no reason for the footnote. The English word "men" in a context like this will imply "adult males," and the Hebrew word is 草菜, which is the common generic word for people and is usually not gender specific.

# Bible Reference:

Micah 2:5

# **Original** text:

לָבֵן לְאֹ־יִהְיֶה לְדָּׁ מַשְׁלִידְ חֶבֶּל בְּגוֹרֶל בִּקְהַל יְהוָה:

# **HCSB rendering:**

Therefore, there will be no one in the assembly of the LORD to divide the land by casting lots.

# Suggestion:

Therefore, you will have no one in the assembly of the LORD to divide the land by casting lots.

# Rationale:

We see no reason why the HCSB can't represent  $\frac{1}{2}$  in its translation, since it can easily be included.

#### Bible Reference:

Micah 2:11

#### Original text:

לו־אָישׁ הֹלֶךְ רֹוַתְ וָשֶׁקֶר כְּזָב אַטֶּף לִדְּ לַיַוֹן וִלַשֶּׁכֶר וְהָנָה מַטֵּיף הָעָם הַזָּה:

### **HCSB** rendering:

If a man of wind<sup>a</sup> comes and invents lies: "I will preach to you about wine and beer," he would be just the preacher for this people!

<sup>a</sup> Or *spirit* 

### Suggestion:

If a <u>man comes</u> and <u>utters empty lies</u>:
"I will preach to you about wine and beer," he would be just the preacher for this people!

#### Rationale:

The phrase "man of wind" seems so unnatural and unclear in English that we think it needs to be changed, and we are strengthened in that direction by the fact that the Hebrew does not actually have a construct chain with those two words.

We cannot prove that our rendering is correct, but we think it is grammatically defensible and it makes sense in the context. Commentators readily admit that '' can mean "windy" and could refer to "windy" or "empty" words (Wolff, p. 84; Waltke in McComiskey, p. 649).

Alternately, one could take the hendiadys with it and translate something like: "If a man comes with a spirit of deception and invents lies." This, or any number of other possibilities, would be better than the current HCSB, it seems to us.

#### Bible Reference:

Micah 3:12

#### Original text:

צִיּוֹן שֶּׁבֶרָה תַחָבֶשׁ וִירוּשֶׁלַבִּ עִיֵּין תַהְלֶה וְהַר הַבַּיִת לְבָמִוֹת יָעַר:

#### HCSB rendering:

Zion will be plowed like a field, Jerusalem will become ruins, and the <u>hill of the temple mount</u> will be a thicket.

#### Suggestion:

Zion will be plowed like a field, Jerusalem will become ruins, and the temple mount will be a forested hill.

### Rationale:

The HCSB translation does not seem to do justice to the לְבְּמָוֹת. With the verb היה in a context like this, the לְבְמִוֹת preposition indicates what the subject "becomes" or "turns into." In effect, the HCSB has put the word "hill" in the wrong place.

Even more importantly, this verse is quoted verbatim by the prophet Jeremiah in Jeremiah 26:18. The HCSB translations differ, however. We think the Jeremiah translation is accurate, and recommend that the Micah passage be adjusted to be like the rendering in Jeremiah.

• Jer 26:18 – : בְּיִת לְבָמְוֹת יְעַר הַבָּיִת לְבָמְוֹת יְעַר HCSB – Zion will be plowed like a field,

Jerusalem will become ruins,

and the temple mount
a forested hill.

As a little thing, we think it might be wise to include the words "will be" in the last line in both texts in order to help the English reader, even though the verb is "gapped" in the Hebrew.

This situation brings to mind a comment that we have made previously in connection with other suggestions. We think the HCSB should check all the synoptic passages in the Bible, to make sure that the HCSB rendering is identical when the text of the original is identical.

### Bible Reference:

Micah 4:13

#### Original text:

קַּוּמִי וָרַוֹשִׁי בַת־צִּיּוֹן בִּי־קַרְגֵּךְ אָשִָים בַּרְזֶל וּפַּרְסֹתַיִדְ אָשַּׁים נְחוּשָּׁה וַחֲדְקּוֹת עַמַּים רַבִּים וְהַחֲרַמְתַּי לֵיהנַת בִּצִּלֵּם וְחֵילָם לַאֲדָוֹן כָּל־הַאָּרֵץ:

## HCSB rendering:

Rise and thresh, Daughter Zion, for I will make your horns iron and your hooves bronze, so you can crush many peoples.

Then you will set apart their <u>plunder to the LORD for destruction</u>, their wealth to the Lord of all the earth.

# Suggestion:

Rise and thresh, Daughter Zion, for I will make your horns iron and your hooves bronze, so you can crush many peoples.

Then you will set apart their <u>plunder to the LORD</u>, their wealth to the Lord of all the earth.

## Rationale:

BDB and HALOT recognize that the Hiphil of  $\Box \neg \Box \Box$  does not always carry with it the nuance of "devote *for destruction*." In Leviticus 27:28 the term is used for people, animals, or land that are "set apart" to the Lord in such a way that they cannot be redeemed. There is no thought of destroying them.

In this context there is good reason to remove the phrase "for destruction." We find the ultimate fulfillment of this prophecy is in the New Testament church as it "conquers" people from all nations by converting them to Christ. These new believers then devote themselves and their possessions to the service of the Lord. The nuance of "for destruction" is not fitting in this setting. We notice that it is omitted in almost all other published translations.

#### Bible Reference:

Micah 5:3 (H2)

## Original text:

לָבֵן יִהְנָה עַד־עֵת יוֹלֵדֶה יְלָּדְה

#### HCSB rendering:

Therefore, He will abandon them until the time when she who is in labor has given birth;

#### Suggestion:

Therefore, <u>Israel will be abandoned</u> until the time when she who is in labor has given birth;

### Rationale:

As the HCSB stands now in Micah 5:2-4, it will be very difficult for the reader to come upon a right understanding of the pronouns. Here is the situation, with the traditional understanding of the antecedents given in brackets:

<sup>2</sup>One will come from you to be ruler over Israel for Me [God].

His [Messiah's] origin is from antiquity, from eternity.

3 Therefore, <u>He [God]</u> will abandon them [Israel] until the time when she who is in labor has given birth;

then the rest of His [Messiah's] brothers will return to the people of Israel.

<sup>4</sup> <u>He [Messiah]</u> will stand and shepherd them in the strength of Yahweh, in the majestic name of Yahweh His [Messiah's] God.

The problem is this: It will be natural for readers of the HCSB to assume that the antecedent of all the "he/his" pronouns is the Messiah, but that doesn't work in the first part of verse 3 (בַּוְלֵּבֶּׁב) where the subject must be God, if there is a personal subject.

Perhaps the easiest solution is to render the verb מְּלְבֶּׁל as a passive. Grammarians recognize that this form can be understood as an impersonal subject, and some other translations have taken this approach to make the passage clear in English (NIV, NLT). With מוֹל as a passive, all of the pronouns fall in place naturally as referring to the Messiah.

Alternately, the translation could make explicit the subject of "Tip" by putting in "the LORD" or "God" as the subject. But then the following pronouns would also need to be made explicit, lest the reader think the pronouns refer to God and not the Messiah. The section could be worded like this (similar to NET):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One will come from you to be ruler over Israel for Me. His origin is from antiquity, from eternity.

3 Therefore, God will abandon them until the time when she who is in labor has

given birth; then the rest of the ruler's brothers will return to the people of Israel.

<sup>4</sup> The ruler will stand and shepherd them in the strength of Yahweh, in the majestic name of Yahweh His God.

### Bible Reference:

Micah 6:8

### Original text:

-הַגִּיד לְדֶּ אָדֶם מַה־מָּוֹב וּמֶה־יְהוָה דּוֹרֵשׁ מִמְּּלְּ כִּי אִם־עֲשְׁוֹת מִשְׁפָּטׂ וְאַהֲבַת חֶׁסֶד וְהַצְּגַעַ לֶכֶת עִם־ אֵלֹהֵיד:

## HCSB rendering:

Mankind, He has told you what is good and what it is the LORD requires of you: to act justly, to love <u>faithfulness</u>, and to walk humbly with your God.

### Suggestion:

Mankind, He has told you what is good and what it is the LORD requires of you: to act justly, to love mercy, and to walk humbly with your God.

### Rationale:

We find much to commend in Harris' article on קּיָּם in TWOT. We are not convinced that "faithfulness" or "loyalty" is best, but rather "mercy," "kindness," or "love." We notice that in a similar context in Hosea 12:7, the HCSB does translate קּיִם as "love."

Hosea 12:7 – : וְאַהָּה בָּאלֹהֶיךְ תְשִׁיב הֶסֶר וּמִשְׁפְּטֹ שְׁמֹר וְקְוָה אֶל־אֱלֹהֶיךְ תְּמִיר
 HCSB – "But you must return to your God. Maintain <u>love</u> and justice, and always put your hope in God."

These passages focus on the core virtues that the Lord seeks in people, and we can't help but think of the words of the apostle Paul: "love is the fulfillment of the law" (Rom 13:10), and Jesus: "Love the Lord your God ... Love your neighbor as yourself. All the Law and the Prophets depend on these two commands" (Matt 22:37-39). We also have the translation "mercy" in our heads for Micah 6:8 from the KJV. Perhaps here is a case where there is value in retaining what is familiar and proven.

# Bible Reference:

Nahum 1:4

# Original text:

גּוֹעֵר בַּיָם וַיַּבְּשֵּׁהוּ וְכָל־הַנְּהָרְוֹת הֶחֶרֵיב

# **HCSB rendering:**

He rebukes the sea so that it dries up, and He makes all the rivers run dry.

# Suggestion:

He rebukes the sea <u>and dries it up</u>, and He makes all the rivers run dry.

# Rationale:

The verb בְּיַבְשֵׁהוּ is a Piel with a verbal suffix, and the Lord is the subject. We see no reason why the HCSB can't translate in a way that is closer to the Hebrew.

### Bible Reference:

Nahum 1:15 (H2:1)

#### Original text:

Nahum 1:15 (H2:1) – הַּגָּה עַל־הַהָּרִים רַגְלֵי מִבְשֵּׁר מַשִּׁמֵיעַ שָׁלוֹם

נאר בַּאוֹף עַל־הֶהָרִים רַגְלֵי מְבַשֵּׁר מַשְׁמְיַעַ שֶׁלֶוֹם - Isa בּבוּים עַל־הֶהָרִים רַגְלֵי

## HCSB rendering:

Nahum 1:15 (H2:1) – Look to the mountains— the feet of <u>one bringing good news and proclaiming</u> peace!

Isa 52:7 – How beautiful on the mountains are the feet of the herald, who proclaims peace,

# Suggestion:

Nahum 1:15 (H2:1) – Look to the mountains—the feet of the herald who proclaims peace!

Isa 52:7 – How beautiful on the mountains are the feet of the herald who proclaims peace,

#### Rationale:

The wording of these two passages is identical (apart from אָבּהְבָּאָלוֹי vs. הַבָּא) and an inter-textual connection is obvious. A very slight adjustment to one or the other would make the connection more visible, and adjusting the translation in Nahum would seem to be simpler (although we don't see a need for the comma in the Isaiah passage).

# Bible Reference:

Nahum 2:1

# Original text:

חַזַּק מְתְנַּיִם אַמֵּץ כְּחַ מְאָׁד:

# **HCSB** rendering:

Brace<sup>a</sup> yourself!
Summon all your strength!

<sup>a</sup> Lit <u>Strengthen</u>

# Suggestion:

Brace yourself!<sup>a</sup>
Summon all your strength!

<sup>a</sup> Lit <u>Strengthen waist</u>

# Rationale:

For our part, we could do without a "literal" footnote here. But if it is provided, it should be complete. We elsewhere recommended against using the word "loins" in the HCSB, suggesting either "waist" or "hips" for בְּּחְבַּיִׁם.

#### Bible Reference:

Habakkuk 1:5

#### Original text:

: רְאָוּ בַגּוֹיִם וְהַבִּּישוּ וְהַתִּמְּהָוּ תְּמָּהוּ כִּי־פֹּעַל פֹּעֵל בִּימֵיבֶּם לְא תַאֲמִינוּ כִּי יְסֶבְּּר: הַכְּשִּׁדִּי 6

## HCSB rendering:

Look at the nations and observe—be utterly astounded!
For something is taking place in your days
That you will not believe when you hear about it.
Look! I am raising up the Chaldeans,

#### Suggestion:

Look at the nations and observe—be utterly astounded!
For I am doing something in your days
That you will not believe when you hear about it.
Look! I am raising up the Chaldeans,

## Rationale:

Both JM (154c) and GKC (166s) assume that "I" is the subject of the active participle even though the pronoun is not expressed. It is quite common for participles not to have the pronominal subject expressed, and "I" obviously is the subject of the participle in the next verse. BDB, HALOT, and DCH also concur that God is the subject of this active participle.

Some scholars have suggested emending the participle to a passive form—in Qal, Niphal, or Pual. We suspect that the HCSB is following such an emendation. However, the verbal root מפעל never has any passive forms in the MT, so to create one here, if unnecessary, seems strange.

It can also be noted that the LXX and Paul's quotation in Acts 13:41 support the rendering of an active participle:

- LXX διότι ἔργον ἐγὰ ἐργάζομαι ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις ὑμῶν,
- Acts 13:41 ὅτι ἔργον ἐργάζομαι ἐγὼ ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις ὑμῶν,

The active interpretation with God as the subject makes good sense in answer to Habakkuk's previous questions. God is not tolerating wrongdoing. He is about to do something. We see no reason to hide or downplay the fact that God is the one acting to bring the Chaldeans against Judah.

### Bible Reference:

Habakkuk 1:17

## **Original** text:

הַעַל בָּן יָרֵיק חֶרְמֵוֹ וְתָמֵיִר לַהֲרָג גּוֹיֶם לֹא יַחְמְוֹל:

### **HCSB** rendering:

Will they therefore empty their net<sup>a</sup> and continually slaughter nations without mercy?

<sup>a</sup> DSS read *sword* 

### Suggestion:

Will they therefore empty their net<sup>a</sup> and continually slaughter nations without mercy?

<sup>a</sup> DSS read *draw their sword* 

## Rationale:

Although the only Hebrew word that differs between the MT and the DSS is תוֹל net and אוֹל sword, the variant changes the entire idiom. With the direct object net, the verb יָרֵיק is translated empty. But with the direct object sword, the translation needs to change to draw (cf. Exod 15:9; Lev 26:33; Ezek 5:2; 12:14; 28:7; 30:11). In order to avoid confusing readers, who would not know what it means to "empty their sword," the entire idiom needs to be represented in the footnote.

### Bible Reference:

Habakkuk 2:20

#### Original text:

וַיהוָה בְּהֵיכַל קְדְשֵׁוֹ הַס מִפָּנָיו כָּל־הָאֵרֶץ:

### **HCSB** rendering:

But the LORD is in His holy temple; let everyone on earth be silent in His presence.

#### Suggestion:

But the LORD is in His holy temple; let <u>all the earth</u> be silent in His presence.

### Rationale:

The phrase לְּלִיהָאֶּרֶץ occurs in 72 verses in the OT. In no other passage does the HCSB translate: "everyone on earth," limiting the phrase to just the people on earth. Rather, in contexts like this, the HCSB either translates "the whole earth" (@19 x) or "all the earth" (@22x). We notice that there are a number of Psalm verses that talk about דְּלִיהָאָרֶץ praising God, and the HCSB translates "all the earth" (66:1,4; 96:1; 98:4; 100:1). Psalm 96:9 says, "Tremble before Him, all the earth." Habakkuk 2:20, speaking about בְּלִיהָאֶרֶץ being silent before God, seems comparable. We see no reason to exclude animals, the inanimate creation, and especially idols from those who should be silent in the Lord's presence.

#### Bible Reference:

Haggai 1:6

#### Original text:

זָרַשְּׁמֶּם הַרְבֵּה וְהָבֵּא מָעָּׁט אָכָוֹל וְאֵין־לְשָּׂבְעָה שָׁתַוֹ וְאֵין־לְשָׁכְלָה לָבִוֹשׁ וְאֵין־לְחָׁם לֹוָ

### **HCSB** rendering:

You have planted much but harvested little. You eat but never have enough to be satisfied. You drink but never have enough to become drunk. You put on clothes but never have enough to get warm.

# Suggestion:

You have planted much but harvested little. You eat but never have enough to be satisfied. You drink but never have <u>your fill</u>. You put on clothes but never have enough to get warm.

### Rationale:

The expression "become drunk" in English has an exclusively negative, sinful connotation. For that reason we don't think it is the best English equivalent for בְּשֶׁבְעָה in this context, where Haggai is listing a number of things that one could legitimately hope for as blessings from God: a big harvest, plenty of food, and enough clothes to keep warm.

One could translate like GW, NET and others: "you are still thirsty." What we are suggesting is the recommendation of DCH for Haggai 1:6, it is the rendering of the NRSV and many other translations, and we think it fits nicely in the flow of the HCSB.

#### Bible Reference:

Haggai 1:13

#### Original text:

וַיֹּאמֶר חַנֵּי מַלְאַךְ יָהוָה בִּמַלְאַכִּוּת יִהוָה לָעֶם לֵאמָר אַנֵי אָתִּכֶם נִאָם־יִהוָה:

#### HCSB rendering:

Haggai, the LORD's messenger, delivered the LORD's message to the people, "I am with <u>you"—this</u> is the LORD's declaration.

### Suggestion:

Haggai, the LORD's messenger, delivered the LORD's message to the people, "I am with <u>you—this</u> is the LORD's declaration."

#### Rationale:

We have noticed that the HCSB very often throughout the Old Testament prophets has chosen *not* to put יְהֶוֹהְ into the direct quotations of what prophets say to others. We know that it is impossible from our vantage point to know for sure if this expression was intended to be part of the direct quote, or if it was part of the framework, indicating that the direct quote was given by inspiration from God. But in many passages like this one, it would seem very natural to us and even preferable to understand the expression אַבּוֹהְוֹהָה as part of the direct quotation that the prophet spoke to others.

We also notice that the ESV quite generally never uses quotations marks in connection with the expression בְּאָבּוֹרְהָ, no doubt in part because the expression is so ubiquitous and because in many places it is hard to know if the expression was intended to be part of a direct quote or not. This seems to us to be a wise course of action that should be considered for the HCSB. In many cases this practice eliminates the need for quotation marks within quotation marks, which simplifies the punctuation of the prophets significantly.

In short, we think it could be good for the HCSB to take a look at the way it punctuates all occurrences of בְּאֶבּוֹרְיָהְוָרָ, perhaps with a view toward reducing punctuation and/or putting more of these phrases into direct quotations.

#### Bible Reference:

Haggai 2:4

#### Original text:

ַוְעַשָּׁה חֲזַק זְרֶבָּבֶלוֹ נְאֶם־יְהוֹה וַחֲזַק יְהוֹשֶׁע בֶּן־יְהוֹצְדָק הַכֹּבֵוֹ הַנְּרוֹל וַחֲזַק כָּל־עַם הָאָרֶץ נְאֶם־יְהוֶה וַעַשִּׁה בִּי־אֵנִי אָתִּבֶּם נִאָם יִהוֶה צִבָּאִוֹת:

#### **HCSB** rendering:

[Speak to Zerubbabel..., to the high priest Joshua..., and to the remnant of the people:] "Even so, be strong, <u>Zerubbabel</u>"—this is the LORD's declaration. "<u>Be</u> strong, Joshua son of Jehozadak, high priest. Be strong, all you people of the <u>land</u>"—this is the LORD's declaration. "<u>Work!</u> For I am with you"—the declaration of the LORD of Hosts.

## Suggestion:

"Even so, be strong, <u>Zerubbabel</u>—this is the LORD's declaration. <u>Be</u> strong, Joshua son of Jehozadak, high priest. Be strong, all you people of the <u>land</u>—this is the LORD's declaration. <u>Work!</u> For I am with <u>you</u>—the declaration of the LORD of <u>Hosts."</u>

#### Rationale:

#### Bible Reference:

Haggai 2:15

## Original text:

Hag 2:15 – הָּיֶּה הָאָה וְמָעְלָה מִן־הַיָּוֹם מִן־הַיָּוֹם הָאָה וְמָעְלָה Hag 2:18 – שִּׁימוּ־גַא לְבַבְבֶּם מִן־הַיִּוֹם הָאָה וַמַעְלָה

#### **HCSB** rendering:

Hag 2:15 – "Now, reflect back from this day:"
Hag 2:18 – "Consider carefully from this day forward;"

## Suggestion:

Hag 2:15 – "Now, consider carefully from this day forward:" Hag 2:18 – "Consider carefully from this day forward;"

#### Rationale:

When Haggai repeats the same phrase in the space of a few lines, we are surprised to see that the HCSB has renderings that are strikingly different—even opposite to each other. We think the identical phrase should be translated in an identical way in English.

We agree with the observation of J. A. Motyer about the Hebrew word הַּלֶּעְלֶּה: "Notwithstanding the entry in BDB ..., there is no example in the Bible where the word refers to past time" (McComiskey, Minor Prophets, p. 996). HALOT concurs, recommending "onward" as the translation of הַּלָּעָלֶּה in Haggai 2:15.

About the flow of thought, Motyer says: "2:15b-17 is a parenthetic backward glance before the forward look is resumed in 2:18" (p. 996-997).

### Bible Reference:

Haggai 2:18

# Original text:

־שִׁימוּ־נָאַ לְבַבְבֶּם מִן־הַיָּוֹם הַזֶּה וָמָצְלָה מִיּוֹם שֶׁשְׂרִים וְאַרְבָּעָה לַהְשִׁיעִי לְמִן־הַיָּוֹם אֲשֶׁר־יְפַּד הֵיכַל־ יִהוָה שֵּׁימוּ לְבַבְּכֵם:

# **HCSB** rendering:

"Consider carefully from this day <u>forward</u>; <u>from</u> the twenty-fourth day of the ninth month, from the day the foundation of the LORD's temple was laid; consider it carefully."

# Suggestion:

Consider carefully from this day <u>forward—from</u> the twenty-fourth day of the ninth month, from the day the foundation of the LORD's temple was laid; consider it carefully."

# Rationale:

We think the punctuation could be improved in this verse.

## Bible Reference:

Zechariah 1:8

#### Original text:

ּוְהַוּא עֹמֵּד בֵּין הַהָּדַסָּים אֲשֶׁר בַּמְּצָלָה וְאַחֲרִיוֹ סוּסִים אֲדָמִּים שְׂרָקִים וּלְבָנִים:

### **HCSB** rendering:

He was standing among the myrtle trees in the valley. Behind him were red, sorrel, and white horses.

# Suggestion:

He was standing among the myrtle trees in the valley. Behind him were red, brown, and white horses.

# Rationale:

We know that a horse aficionado will understand the word "sorrel" as an adjective to describe the color of some horses. But we suspect that this word is not in the vocabulary of the average reader of the HCSB, since horses are not part of the daily experience of most people. Perhaps "chestnut" would be a more accessible English equivalent. But if you showed a picture of a "sorrel horse" to a group of average Americans, we think most of them would simply say that it is "brown."

### Bible Reference:

Zechariah 2:13 (H17)

## **Original** text:

הַס כָּל־בָּשֶׂר מִפְּנֵי יְהוָה כִּי נֵעוֹר מִמְעוֹן קְרְשְׁוֹ:

#### **HCSB** rendering:

"Let all people be silent before the LORD, for He is coming from His holy dwelling."

# Suggestion:

"Let all people be silent before the LORD, for He has roused himself from His holy dwelling."

### Rationale:

We can't help but think that the HCSB is losing some of the content of the verb מור in this verse. The root מור conveys the notion of waking up, and the HCSB captures that meaning in its other occurrences in Zechariah (Qal "awake" 13:7 / Hifil "rouse" 4:1; 9:13 / Nifal "awakened" 4:1). To simply translate "is coming" seems bland and seems to miss the central idea of the verb. We notice that the Psalms talk about the Lord "waking up" in several verses, as a way to describe how it looks when the Lord takes action for his people after a period of apparent inaction (Ps 7:6 [H7]; 44:23 [24]; 59:4 [H5]).

#### Bible Reference:

Zechariah 4:2

#### Original text:

ראַשָּׁה וְשִׁבְעָה וְשִׁבְעָה וְשָׁבְעָה וְשָּבְעָה וְשָּבְעָה וְשָּבְעָה וְשִּבְעָה וּ

### **HCSB** rendering:

"I see a solid gold lampstand there with a bowl on its top. It has seven lamps on it and <u>seven channels for each of the lamps</u> on its top."

<sup>a</sup> Or seven lips to

#### Suggestion:

"I see a solid gold lampstand there with a bowl on its top. It has seven lamps on it and <u>seven channels to<sup>a</sup> the lamps</u> on its top."

<sup>a</sup> Or seven spouts for each of

#### Rationale:

The exact nuance of אַבְעָה מְוֹצִּלְהֹת לְבֵּרְוֹת לִבֵּרְוֹת לַבֵּרְוֹת לַבֵּרְוֹת לַבֵּרְוֹת לַבֵּרְוֹת is debated, but it seems very unlikely to us that there were 49 channels on the lampstand with seven channels to each lampstand. What would be the purpose of such a multiplication of channels? How could such a lampstand be conceived? Perhaps the first שֵׁבְעָה implied: "seven lamps and seven channels for the lamps." Then there would be a total of seven channels, one for each lamp.

Alternately, if you insist that שֵׁבְעָה וְשִׁבְּעָה must be distributive ("seven for each of the seven"), then we think conceptually it would fit better to translate מְנִצְּלְוֹת as "lips" or "spouts." We could imagine that each oil lamp could have seven spouts and seven wicks. Of course, this alternate interpretation could be put it a footnote.

### Bible Reference:

Zechariah 6:11,14

### Original text:

ולָקַחְתֵּ כֶסֶף־וְזָהֶב וְעָשֵּׁיתָ עֲטָרֶוֹת וְשַּׁמְהָּ בְּרָאֹשׁ יְהוֹשֻׁעַ בֶּן־יְהוֹצְדֶק הַכּּהֵן הַנְּדוֹל: – Zech 6:14 – יְהוֹבֶר לְזִכְּרוֹן בְּהֵיכֵל יְהוֶה: – 2ech 6:14 – וֹהָעֲטָרֹת תְּהְיֶה לְזִכְּרוֹן בְּהֵיכֵל יְהוֶה: – 2ech 6:14

## HCSB rendering:

Zech 6:11 – Take silver and gold, make <u>crowns and place them</u> on the head of Joshua son of Johozadak, the high priest.

Zech 6:14 – The crown will reside in the LORD's temple as a memorial to Heldai, Tobijah, Jedaiah, and Hen son of Zephaniah.

### Suggestion:

Zech 6:11 – Take silver and gold, make <u>a crown and place it</u> on the head of Joshua son of Johozadak, the high priest.

Zech 6:14 – The crown will reside in the LORD's temple as a memorial to Heldai, Tobijah, Jedaiah, and Hen son of Zephaniah.

#### Rationale:

The MT has the plural noun עַּבְּבֶּרְ in both of these verses. It is likely that only one crown is intended, however. It is set upon one man's head in verse 11, and in verse 14 it is construed with a single verb (תַּבְּרָבֶּה). There are various ways that the plural form could be explained; maybe there were various circlets that were combined into one crown. At any rate, it is strange for the HCSB to have a plural in verse 11 and a singular in verse 14, since the referent is the same. We suggest changing verse 11 to a singular.

### Bible Reference:

Zechariah 8:3

#### Original text:

בּה אָמַר יְהנָּה שַׁבְתִּי אָל־צִּיּוֹן וְשָׁכַנְתִּי בְּתַוֹך יְרוּשָׁלָם וְנִקְרְאָה יְרוּשָׁלַם עֵיר־הָאֶמֶת וְהַר־יְהוֶה צְּבָאִוֹת כָּה בָּקְרשׁ: הַר הַקּּרשׁ:

## **HCSB** rendering:

The LORD says this: "I will return to Zion and live in Jerusalem. Then Jerusalem will be called the Faithful City, the mountain of the LORD of Hosts, and the Holy Mountain.

## Suggestion:

The LORD says this: "I will return to Zion and live in Jerusalem. Then Jerusalem will be called the Faithful City, and the mountain of the LORD of Hosts will be called the Holy Mountain.

# Rationale:

The HCSB seemingly does not recognize the placement of "conjunctions in the last stich. In the Hebrew there is a "lon" the mountain of the LORD of Hosts," but there is *not* a "conjunction on "the Holy Mountain." This suggests that the verse is not giving a series of three new names for Jerusalem. Rather, the last stich assumes the repetition of the verb "will be called," and it indicates that just as Jerusalem will be given a new name, so the mountain of the LORD of Hosts will also receive a new name. What we are suggesting is the approach taken in most published English translations that we have consulted.

### Bible Reference:

Zechariah 10:4

# Original text:

מִמֶנוּ פִנָּה מָמֶנוּ יָתֵׁד מִמֶּנוּ כֻשָּׁת מִלְחָמָה מִמֶנוּ וֵצֵא כָל־נוֹגֵשׁ יַחְדֵּו:

# **HCSB rendering:**

```
The cornerstone will come from Judah.<sup>a</sup>
The tent peg will come from them
and also the battle bow and
every<sup>b</sup> ruler.

<sup>a</sup> Lit <u>them</u>
<sup>b</sup> Lit <u>also from them</u> the . . . , from <u>them</u> every
```

# Suggestion:

```
The cornerstone will come from Judah.<sup>a</sup>
The tent peg will come from them
and also the battle bow and
every<sup>b</sup> ruler.

<sup>a</sup> Lit <u>him</u>
<sup>b</sup> Lit <u>also from him</u> the . . . , from <u>him</u> every
```

# Rationale:

Literally, the Hebrew word מְּמֵשׁ means "from him" and not "from them."

### Bible Reference:

Zechariah 11:16

# Original text:

בָּי הִנָּה־אָנֹכִי<sup>°</sup> מֵלְים רֹעֶה בָּאָָרֶץ הַנִּכְחָדְוֹת לְא־יִפְּלְד<sup>י</sup> הַנַּעַר לְא־יְבַלֵּשׁ וְהַנִּשְׁבֶּרֶת לָא יְרַבֵּא

# **HCSB** rendering:

I am about to raise up a shepherd in the land who will not care for those who are going astray, and he will not seek the lost<sup>a</sup> or heal the broken.

<sup>a</sup> Lit young

# Suggestion:

I am about to raise up a shepherd in the land who will not care for those who are going astray, and he will not seek the lost<sup>a</sup> or heal the broken.

<sup>a</sup> Or young

### Rationale:

If הַנְּעֵר is translated "lost," it is not a matter of finding a secondary meaning for a word that "literally" means "young." Some scholars assume that there is a different word נְעַר that means "scattering" (BDB, p. 654; McComiskey, p. 1205).

# Bible Reference:

Zechariah 14:1

### Original text:

הַנָּה יְוֹם־בָּא לֵיהוָה וְחֻלֵּק שְׁלָלֵךְ בְּקְרְבֵּך:

# HCSB rendering:

A day of the LORD is coming when <u>your plunder</u> will be divided in your presence.

# Suggestion:

A day of the LORD is coming when the plunder taken from you will be divided in your presence.

# Rationale:

The expression "your plunder" could easily be misunderstood to be plunder that the Israelites took from others. In this context it obviously is the plunder that the enemy nations will take from Jerusalem.

# Bible Reference:

Zechariah 14:5

### Original text:

ונַסִתֵּם גֵיא־הָרַי

# **HCSB rendering:**

You will flee by My mountain valley,<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Some Hb mss, LXX, Sym, Tg read You will be blocked—the valley of My mountains—

# Suggestion:

You will flee by My mountain valley,<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Some Hb mss, LXX, Sym, Tg read *The valley of My mountains will be blocked*—

# Rationale:

There is a translation slip in the footnote. The variant reading is אָנְסְחַלָּם, which is a Nifal Vav-consecutive perfect 3ms with אין, as the subject. The New English Translation of the Septuagint has: "And the valley of my mountains shall be blocked up."

# Bible Reference:

Zechariah 14:21

### Original text:

וּבָאוֹ כָּל־הַנָּבְהִים וְלָקְתִוּ מֵהֶם וּבִשְׁלַוּ בָהֶם

# **HCSB** rendering:

Everyone who sacrifices will come and take some of the pots to cook in.

# Suggestion:

All who sacrifice will come and take some of the pots to cook in.

# Rationale:

As presently worded, the HCSB implies that each person will take numerous pots. The Hebrew has plural verbs, however, and says nothing about what each individual will do.

### Bible Reference:

Malachi 1:9

#### Original text:

ּוְעַתָּה חַלּוּ־גָא פְּנֵי־אֵל וִיחָנֵנוּ מִיֶּדְכֶם הָיְתָה וֹּאת הַיִּשָּׂא מִכֶּם פָּנִים אָמָר יְהוָה צְבָאְוֹת:

### **HCSB** rendering:

"And now ask for God's favor. Will He be gracious to us? Since this has come from your hands, will He show any of you favor?" asks the LORD of Hosts.

# Suggestion:

"And now ask for God's favor that He may be gracious to us. Since this has come from your hands, will He show any of you favor?" asks the LORD of Hosts.

### Rationale:

We know that Hebrew can have unmarked questions and questions that begin with a vav conjunction. But if יְּדְנֵנֵלּ were intended to be a question, we would expect an interrogative ק , since there is an interrogative ק on the question in the subsequent line. After an imperative it is very common to have a result clause with a vav conjunction and jussive (JM 116d). All the translations and commentaries that we consulted understand יִּדְנָנֵלֵלּ that way here.

#### Bible Reference:

Malachi 1:12-13

#### Original text:

12 וְאַתֶּם מְחֶלְלֵים אוֹתוֹ בֶּאֶמְרְכֶּם שֶׁלְחַן אֲדֹנִי מְגֹאֲל הֿוּא וְנִיבְוֹ נִבְּזֶה אָכְלוֹ: 13 וַאֲמַרְתֵּם הָנָּה מָתְלַאָּה וְהַפְּחָתֵּם אוֹתוֹ אֲמַר יִהוָה צְבָאוֹת

## **HCSB** rendering:

<u>But</u> you are profaning it when you say: <u>"The</u> Lord's table is defiled, and its product, its food, is <u>contemptible."</u> You also say: <u>"Look,</u> what a <u>nuisance!" "And</u> you scorn it," says the LORD of Hosts.

# Suggestion:

<u>"But you are profaning it when you say: 'The Lord's table is defiled, and its product, its food, is contemptible.'</u> <sup>13</sup> You also say: 'Look, what a <u>nuisance!' And you scorn it,"</u> says the LORD of Hosts.

# Rationale:

The way the HCSB is punctuated, the only thing that the Lord says in these lines is: "And you scorn it." We think this is a punctuation mistake, given the fact that verses 8-11 and verses 13b through 2:9 are all in quotation marks as spoken by the Lord, and it would be odd to think that the Lord would only say "And you scorn it," without saying the preceding words.

Following this line of thought, it may also be preferable to change the punctuation in verses 2, 6, and 7 in the following way, because it seems that the Lord is relaying the entire dialogue:

Mal 1:2 – "I have loved you," says the LORD. "But you ask: 'How have You loved us?'

Mal 1:6-7 – "Yet you ask: 'How have we despised Your name?'

"By presenting defiled food on My altar."

"You ask: 'How have we defiled You?'

"When you say: 'The LORD's table is contemptible.'

### Bible Reference:

Malachi 1:14

# Original text:

בּי' מֶלֶךְ נְּדׁוֹל אָנִי אָמַר יְהְוָה צְבָאוֹת וּשְׁמָי נוֹרֶא בַגּוֹיִם:

# **HCSB rendering:**

For I am a great King," says Yahweh of Hosts, "and My name will be feared among the nations.

a Or Because I am . . . Yahweh of Hosts, My name

# Suggestion:

For I am a great King," says Yahweh of Hosts, "and My name<sup>a</sup> will be feared among the nations.

<sup>a</sup> Or *Because I am* . . . *Yahweh of Hosts*, "My name

# Rationale:

Quotation marks are needed in the footnote in one spot.

# Bible Reference:

Malachi 2:12

#### Original text:

יַכְבַּת יְהוָה לָאִישׁ אֲשֶׁר יַעֲשֶּׁנָּה עֵר וְעֹנֶה מֵאָהֱלֵי יַעֲלֶב וּמַנִּישׁ מִנְחָה לַיהוָה צְבָאְוֹת:

LXX – ἐξολεθρεύσει κύριος τὸν ἄνθρωπον τὸν ποιοῦντα ταῦτα, ἔως καὶ ταπεινωθῆ ἐκ σκηνωμάτων Ιακωβ καὶ ἐκ προσαγόντων θυσίαν τῷ κυρίω παντοκράτορι.

# **HCSB** rendering:

To the man who does this, may the LORD cut off any <u>descendants<sup>ab</sup></u> from the tents of Jacob, even if <u>they present</u> an offering to the LORD of Hosts.

<sup>a</sup> One Hb ms, LXX, DSS read off one witnessing or answering

## Suggestion:

To the man who does this, may the LORD cut off any descendants from the tents of Jacob, even if he presents an offering to the LORD of Hosts.

<sup>a</sup> Lit off one waking or answering; Hb obscure

# Rationale:

- 1) The first footnote has a mistake. The LXX does not read "one witnessing or answering." The NETS translates: "The Lord will utterly destroy the person who does this <u>until he has even been humiliated</u> from the tents of Iakob and from among those who bring sacrifice to the Lord Almighty." The LXX reads a *dalit* in the word , but understands the word as the preposition/conjunction "until" (ἔως). We recommend that the footnote be deleted.
- 2) In our opinion, the last phrase makes better sense in this context if it is taken to refer to the man who is receiving God's judgment for marrying a woman who worships a foreign god, and not his descendants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Lit off one waking or answering; Hb obscure

### Bible Reference:

Malachi 2:15

#### Original text:

וְלֹא־שֶּׁתָר עָשָּׁה וּשְׁאֵר רֹוּחַ לוֹ וּמָה הָאֶחָׁר מְבַקֵּשׁ

#### HCSB rendering:

<u>Didn't the one God make us with a remnant of His life-breath</u>? And what does the One <u>seek?</u> a <u>Or Did the One not make them? So their flesh and spirit belong to Him, or No</u> one who does this even has a remnant of the Spirit in him; Hb obscure

### Suggestion:

<u>Did the One not make them? So their flesh and spirit belong to Him.</u> And what does the One <u>seek?</u> a Or *No one who does this even has a remnant of the Spirit in him*; Hb obscure

#### Rationale:

- 1) Admittedly the Hebrew is difficult here. But the HCSB nowhere else speaks about God having a "lifebreath," let alone that it is through a "remnant" of that "life-breath" that God made people. We also find "remnant of His life-breath" an odd way to understand the Hebrew לְּבָוֹ בְּלֵי וֹלִי וֹלְייִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִ וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִ וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִ וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִ וֹלְייִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיִי וֹלְייִי וְלִייִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְייִי וְלִייִי וְלִיי וְלִייִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיי וֹלְייִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְייי וְלִיי וֹלְייִי וְלִּיי וְלִייִי וְלִּיי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִיי וְלִייִי וְלְייִי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִיי וְלִייִי וֹלְייִי וְלְייִי וְלְייִי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִיי וְלִייִי וְלִיי וְלִייִי וְלִייִי וְלִיי וְלִיי וְלִיי וְלִיי וְלִיי וְלְייִי וְלִיי וְלִיי וְלִיי וְלְייי וְלְייִי וְלְייִי וְלְייִי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייִי וְלְייי וְלְייִי וְלְייִי וְלְייי וְלִיי וְלְיי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייִי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְיי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְלִיי וְלִייי וְלְייי וְלְייי וְייי וְייִיי וְייִיי וְלִייי וְיייי וְי
- 2) The superscript letter indicating the footnote is on the wrong word in the English text. It doesn't belong on "seek," but rather on the last word of the first clause.

# Bible Reference:

Malachi 3:2

# Original text:

בִּי־הוּא בְּאֵשׁ מְצָבֶּרף וּכְבֹרֶית מְכַבְּסִים:

# **HCSB rendering:**

For He will be like a refiner's fire and like <u>cleansing lye</u>.

# Suggestion:

For He will be like a refiner's fire and like <u>launderers' soap</u>.

# Rationale:

We fear that modern readers very likely do not associate "lye" with a cleaning agent. Our suggestion also makes the participle מְבָּבְּקִים more clearly parallel to מְצָבִּרֹּל, which refers to a person.

### Bible Reference:

Malachi 3:5

#### Original text:

ַרְבְרָבְתִּי אֲלֵיכֶם לַמִּשְׁפָּט וְהָיָיִתִּיו עֵד מְמַהֵׁר בַּמְכַשְׁפִים וּבַמְּעֲפִים וּבַנִּשְׁבָּעִים לַשָּׁקֶר וּבְעֹשְׁקֵי שְׁכַר־ אֶלְמָנָה וְיָתָוֹם וּמַמֵּי־גֵר וְלָא יְרֵאׁוּנִי אָמָר יְהוָה צְבָאְוֹת: שָׁכִיר אַלְמָנָה וְיָתָוֹם וּמַמֵּי־גֵר וְלָא יְרֵאׁוּנִי אָמָר יְהוָה צְבָאְוֹת:

## **HCSB** rendering:

"I will come to you in judgment, and I will be ready to witness against sorcerers and adulterers; against those who swear falsely; against those who <u>oppress the widow and the fatherless</u>, and cheat the <u>wage earner</u>; and against those who deny justice to the foreigner. They do not fear Me," says the LORD of Hosts.

### Suggestion:

"I will come to you in judgment, and I will be ready to witness against sorcerers and adulterers; against those who swear falsely; against those who cheat the wage earner, and oppress the widow and the fatherless; and against those who deny justice to the foreigner. They do not fear Me," says the LORD of Hosts.

#### Rationale:

In this listing, we see no reason why the HCSB arbitrarily switches the order of two of the items from the order in the Hebrew.

### Bible Reference:

Malachi 3:9

## **Original** text:

בַּמְּצֵרָה אַתֶּם גַאָּרִים וְאֹתִי אַתֶּם לִבְעִים הַגּּוֹי כָּלְוֹ:

### **HCSB** rendering:

You are suffering under a curse, yet you—the whole nation—are still robbing Me.

# Suggestion:

You are suffering under a curse, because you—the whole nation—are robbing Me.

# Rationale:

The Hebrew does not explicitly state the relation of the second clause to the first. (There is a simple vav conjunction). In this context, we think it is unlikely that Malachi wants to make the point that even though the people are cursed, they are still continuing to rob God. Rather, we think it is more likely that Malachi is stating the reason why the people are cursed. They are under a curse *because* they have been robbing God. We notice that most other published translations understand it this way.