#### **Bible References:**

1 Chronicles 1:33 and many other places

#### Suggestion:

Change "sons" to "descendants" or some other expression.

With the Hebrew word Cit the HCSB often tries to distinguish between contexts where the reference is to biological first generation male offspring (translated "sons") and contexts where the reference is more broad—to descendants of numerous generations. However, there could be benefit in double-checking all references to "sons" to see if more passages shouldn't be shifted to "descendants" or some other expression. The following are some passages where it would seem to us that "descendants" or some other expression would be preferable.

- 1 Chr 1:33 All of these were Keturah's sons.
- 1 Chr 2:23 All these were the sons of Machir father of Gilead.
- 1 Chr 2:54 Salma's <u>sons</u>: Bethlehem, the Netophathites, Atroth-beth-joab, and half of the Manahathites, the Zorites, and the families of scribes who lived in Jabez—the Tirathites, Shimeathites, and Sucathites.
- 1 Chr 4:42 Now 500 men from these sons of Simeon went with Pelatiah, Neariah, Rephaiah, and Uzziel
- 1 Chr 5:18 The sons of Reuben and Gad and half the tribe of Manasseh had 44,760 warriors
- 1 Chr 5:23 The sons of half the tribe of Manasseh settled in the land from Bashan to Baal-hermon
- 1 Chr 6:54 These were the places assigned to Aaron's sons from the Kohathite family
- 1 Chr 6:57 Aaron's sons were given
- 1 Chr 7:29 and along the borders of the <u>sons</u> of Manasseh... The <u>sons</u> of Joseph son of Israel lived in these towns
- 1 Chr 7:40 All these were Asher's sons.
- 1 Chr 9:6 and from the sons of Zerah: Jeuel and 690 of their relatives.
- 1 Chr 23:24 These were the sons of Levi by their ancestral houses
- 1 Chr 23:28 their duty will be to assist the sons of Aaron with the service of the LORD's temple
- 1 Chr 23:32 They are to carry out their responsibilities...for their relatives, the sons of Aaron
- 1 Chr 24:3 Together with Zadok from the sons of Eleazar and Ahimelech from the sons of Ithamar
- 1 Chr 24:30 Those were the sons of the Levites according to their ancestral houses.
- 1 Chr 24:31 They also cast lots the same way as their relatives the sons of Aaron did
- 1 Chr 26:19 the gatekeepers from the sons of the Kohathites and Mararites
- 1 Chr 26:21 From the sons of Laden, who were the sons of the Gershonites
- 1 Chr 27:10 The seventh...was Helez the Peonite from the sons of Ephraim
- 1 Chr 27:14 The eleventh...was Benaiah the Pirathonite from the sons of Ephraim

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 4:10

### Original text:

# וַיִּקְרָא 'וַשְׁבֵּץ לֵאלֹהֵי יִשְׁרָאֵל לֵאמֹר אִם־בָּבֵרְ תְּבָרְכֵנִי וְהִרְבֵּיתָ אֶת־גְּבוּלִי וְהָיְתָה יָדְדָּ עִמִי וְעָשִׂיתָ מֵרָשָה לְבִלְתַּי עָצְבֵּי

### HCSB rendering:

Jabez called out to the God of Israel: "If only You would bless me, extend my border, let Your hand be with me, and keep me from harm, so that <u>I will not cause any pain.</u>"<sup>ab</sup>

<sup>a</sup> LXX reads and act in knowledge which doesn't hurt me <sup>b</sup>Or so that I will not experience pain

### Suggestion:

Jabez called out to the God of Israel: "If only You would bless me, extend my border, let Your hand be with me, and keep me from harm, so that <u>I will not experience pain.</u>"<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Or so that I will not cause any pain

### <u>Rationale:</u>

1) We question why the LXX reference is added, because we don't see that it adds anything significant. It is not even mentioned in the critical apparatus of the BHS. Also, the meaning of the LXX is somewhat uncertain, as shown by the different rendering in the NETS (*New English Translation of the Septuagint*, Oxford, 2007): "You shall also produce knowledge so as not to humble me." If anything, the LXX supports the rendering of the second footnote, because the pain is that of Jabez, and it is not pain that Jabez might cause others.

2) The rendering of the second footnote seems preferable for a number of reasons. Logically it seems more likely that Jabez would pray to God that he not experience pain, than that he not cause pain. Both GKC (115c) and JM (65a) look upon the suffix on the infinitive construct (עָצָרָ) as the object of the verbal idea and not the subject, even though it is a nominal suffix and not a verbal suffix (= "so that it may not grieve/afflict me"). We notice that the majority of commentators and translations understand the verse in accord with footnote "b." Therefore, we recommend that footnote "b" be inserted in the text, and the rendering of the text be put into a footnote.

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 4:22

# Original text:

וִיוֹקִים וְאַנְשֵׁי כֹוֵבָּא וְיוֹאָשׁ וְשָׁרֶף אֲשֶׁר־בָּעֲלוּ לְמוֹאָב וְיָשֵׁבִי לָחֶם

# HCSB rendering:

Jokim, the men of Cozeba; and Joash and Saraph, who married Moabites and returned to Lehem.

# Suggestion:

Jokim, the men of Cozeba; and Joash and Saraph, who married Moabites and <u>returned to Lehem</u>.<sup>a</sup> <u><sup>a</sup> Tg, Vg; MT reads *and Jashubi Lehem*</u>

# Rationale:

A footnote would be useful since there are variant readings and the HCSB has departed from the MT (יְרָשָׁבָי).

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 6:1 (H 5:37)

# Original text:

בָּגָי לֵוָי גַּרְשׁוֹן קְהָת וּמְרָרִי:

# HCSB rendering:

Levi's sons: Gershom,<sup>a</sup> Kohath, and Merari. <sup>a</sup> Levi's son's name is spelled Gershon <u>in Ex.</u>

# Suggestion:

Levi's sons: Gershom,<sup>a</sup> Kohath, and Merari. <sup>a</sup> Levi's son's name is spelled Gershon <u>here and in many other places</u>.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The footnote here seems to be missing the most important point—that the name is spelled differently in this verse, as well as in many other places in the Old Testament. It is spelled גרשׁוֹן also in the following places:

- 1 Chronicles 23:6
- 1x in Genesis
- 2x in Exodus
- 10x in Numbers
- 2x in Joshua.

### Bible References:

1 Chronicles 6:31, 48

### Original text:

1 Chr 6:31 (H16) – יָאָרוֹן הָאָרוֹן יְהוֶה מִמְנִוֹח הָאָרוֹן הָאָרוֹן וּאַלֶּה אֲשֶׁר הֶעֵמִיד הְּוֶיד עַל-יְבִי־שָׁיר בֵּית יְהוֶה מִמְנָוֹח הָאָרוֹן 1 Chr 6:48 (H33) – וַאֲחֵיהֶם הַלְוִיּהֵ נְתוּנִים לְכָל־עֲבוֹדַת מִשְׁכַּן בֵּית הָאֱלהִים:

### HCSB rendering:

- 1 Chr 6:31 (H16) These are the men David put in charge of the music in <u>the LORD's temple</u> after the ark came to rest there.
- 1 Chr 6:48 (H33) Their relatives, the Levites, were assigned to all the service of the tabernacle, <u>God's</u> <u>temple</u>.

#### Suggestion:

- 1 Chr 6:31 (H16) These are the men David put in charge of the music in <u>the house of the LORD</u> after the ark came to rest there.
- 1 Chr 6:48 (H33) Their relatives, the Levites, were assigned to all the service of the tabernacle, <u>God's</u> <u>house</u>.

### Rationale:

We think that most Bible readers understand the word "temple" to be a technical term for the structure in Jerusalem first built by Solomon. Therefore, people could be easily confused by these passages in the HCSB where the *tabernacle*, which was the worship center at David's time, is referred to as God's "temple." Since the Hebrew uses the more generic, inclusive term "house," we don't see any reason for translating "temple" in these verses. "House" would work fine. The HCSB uses the expression "house of God" for the tabernacle in at least one other passage (Judg 18:31).

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 9:6 1 Chronicles 9:9

1 Chronicles 9:9

# Original text:

1 Chr 9:6 – וּמִן־בְּגִי־זֶרָח יְעוּאֵל וַאֲחֵיהֶם שֵׁשׁ־מֵאָוֹת וְתִשְׁעִים: 1 Chr 9:9 – וַאֲחֵיהֶם לְתַּלְרוֹתָם תְּשַׁע מֵאָוֹת וַחֲמִשֵׁים וְשָׁשָׁה 1 Chr 9:13 – וַאֲחֵיהֶם רָאשִׁים לְבֵית אֲבוֹתָם אֶֶלֶף וּשְׁבַע מֵאָוֹת וְשָׁשָׁים

# HCSB rendering:

1 Chr 9:6 – and from the sons of Zerah: Jeuel and 690 of their relatives.

1 Chr 9:9 - and <u>956 of their relatives</u> according to their genealogical records.

1 Chr 9:13 – and <u>1,760 of their relatives</u>, the heads of households.

# Suggestion:

1 Chr 9:6 - and from the sons of Zerah: Jeuel and their relatives: 690 in all.

1 Chr 9:9 - and their relatives according to their genealogical records: 956 in all.

1 Chr 9:13 – and their relatives, the heads of households: 1,760 in all.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

It is generally recognized that when a Hebrew number is given at the end of a list, the number is the total for that entire section. The number doesn't just go with the last item in the list.

1 Chronicles 9 has a synoptic parallel in Nehemiah 11. In that chapter the HCSB always takes the number at the end of each section as the total for the entire section, in the following manner.

- Neh 11:10-12 The priests:...and their relatives who did the work at the temple: 822.
- Neh 11:12-13 Adaiah ... and his relatives, the leaders of families: 242.
- Neh 11:13-14 Amashsai son of Azarel,...and their relatives, capable men: 128.

We recommend that the HCSB use the same format in 1 Chronicles 9 that it uses in Nehemiah 11. To make things even more clear, we suggest adding "in all," although that would not be absolutely necessary.

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 9:34

# Original text:

אֵכֶּה רָאשִׁי הָאָבַוֹת לַלְוִייָם לְתֹלְדוֹתָם רָאשִׁים אֵכָּה יָשְׁבְוּ בִירוּשְׁלָם:

# HCSB rendering:

These were the heads of the Levite families, chiefs according to their genealogies, and lived in Jerusalem.

### Suggestion:

These were the heads of the Levite families, chiefs according to their genealogies, <u>and they lived</u> in Jerusalem.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

It seems awkward and contrary to English style to have "and lived in Jerusalem" without a subject, since it follows a comma and is its own clause. Adding "they" also can be justified as a way to represent the Hebrew pronoun  $\pi g$  in this last clause.

### **Bible References:**

1 Samuel 31:1-13 1 Chronicles 10:1-12

### The issue:

1 Chronicles 10:1-12 is the first section of the book where there is a parallel account in the historical narrative of 1 + 2 Samuel. When we compare the translation of 1 Chronicles 10:1-12 with 1 Samuel 31:1-13, we notice that there are a number of places where the Hebrew text is identical, but the HCSB translation is not identical.

### Differences in the parallel translations:

Here are the parallel translations. Highlighted are places where the Hebrew text is identical, but the HCSB translation is not identical.

1 Samuel 31:1-6

### The Death of Saul and His Sons

31 The Philistines fought against Israel, and Israel's men fled from them. Many were killed on Mount Gilboa.<sup>2</sup> The Philistines overtook Saul and his sons and killed his sons, Jonathan, Abinadab, and Malchishua. <sup>3</sup>When the battle intensified against Saul, the archers caught up with him and severely wounded him.<sup>4</sup> Then Saul said to his armor-bearer, "Draw your sword and run me through with it, or these uncircumcised men will come and run me through and torture me." But his armor-bearer would not do it because he was terrified. Then Saul took his sword and fell on it. <sup>5</sup> When his armor-bearer saw that Saul was dead, he also fell on his own sword and died with him. <sup>6</sup>So on that day, Saul died together with his three sons, his armor-bearer, and all his men.

1 Chronicles 10:1-6

# The Deaths of Saul and His Sons

10 The Philistines fought against Israel, and Israel's men fled from them and were killed on Mount Gilboa.<sup>2</sup> The Philistines pursued Saul and his sons and killed Saul's sons Jonathan, Abinadab, and Malchishua. <sup>3</sup> When the battle intensified against Saul, the archers found him and severely wounded him.<sup>4</sup> Then Saul said to his armor-bearer, "Draw your sword and run me through with it, or these uncircumcised men will come and torture me!" But his armor-bearer wouldn't do it because he was terrified. Then Saul took his sword and fell on it. <sup>5</sup> When his armor-bearer saw that Saul was dead, he also fell on his own sword and died. <sup>6</sup> So Saul and his three sons died-his whole house died together.

### 1 Samuel 31:7-13

When the men of Israel on the other side of the valley and on the other side of the Jordan saw that Israel's men had run away and that Saul and his sons were dead, they abandoned the cities and fled. So the Philistines came and settled in them.

<sup>8</sup> The next day when the Philistines came to strip the dead, they found Saul and his three sons dead on Mount Gilboa. <sup>9</sup> They cut off Saul's head, stripped off his armor, and sent messengers throughout the land of the Philistines to spread the good news in the temples of their idols and among the people. <sup>10</sup> Then they put his armor in the temple of the Ashtoreths and hung his body on the wall of Beth-shan.

<sup>11</sup> When the residents of Jabesh-gilead heard what the Philistines had done to Saul, <sup>12</sup> all their brave men set out, journeyed all night, and retrieved the body of Saul and the bodies of his sons from the wall of Beth-shan. When they arrived at Jabesh, they burned the bodies there. <sup>13</sup> Afterward, they took their bones and buried them under the tamarisk tree in Jabesh and fasted seven days.

### 1 Chronicles 10:7-12

<sup>7</sup> When all the men of Israel in the valley saw that the army had fled and that Saul and his sons were dead, they abandoned their cities and fled. So the Philistines came and settled in them.

<sup>8</sup> The next day when the Philistines came to strip the slain, they found Saul and his sons dead on Mount Gilboa. <sup>9</sup> They stripped Saul, cut off his head, took his armor, and sent messengers throughout the land of the Philistines to spread the good news to their idols and their people. <sup>10</sup> Then they put his armor in the temple of their gods and hung his skull in the temple of Dagon.

<sup>11</sup> When all Jabesh-gilead heard of everything the Philistines had done to Saul, <sup>12</sup> all their brave men set out and retrieved the body of Saul and the bodies of his sons and brought them to Jabesh. They buried their bones under the oak in Jabesh and fasted seven days.

### Suggestion:

Certainly the differences are not major. But in a Bible translation that is polished to the highest degree, it seems to us that in parallel passages where the wording of the original text is identical, the English translation should also be identical. Otherwise, conscientious Bible students will assume that the original is different when it isn't.

We have the suspicion that there is a lot of this little inconsistency in the HCSB, since we have seen it also in the other chapters of 1 Chronicles where there is a parallel elsewhere in the OT. We think it would be a good thing for the HCSB editors to check all parallel passages in both testaments. When the original text has identical wording, it would good for the HCSB to have identical wording in the parallel translations.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 11:2a

# Original text:

גַּם־תְּמוֹל גַם־שָׁלְשׁוֹם גַּם בִּהְיָוֹת שָׁאוּל מֶׁלֶך אַתֶּה הַמּוֹצִיא וְהַמֵּבִיא אֶת־יִשְׂרָאֵל

# HCSB rendering:

Even when Saul was king, you led us out to battle and brought us back.

### Suggestion:

In the past, even when Saul was king, you led us out to battle and brought us back.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The phrase גם־שָׁלְשׁוֹם is not represented in the current HCSB. It can easily be brought into the English translation in the way suggested.

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 11:2b

# Original text:

גַּם־תְּמוֹל גַם־שָׁלְשׁוֹם גַּם בִּהְיָוֹת שָׁאוּל מֶׁלֶך אַתֶּה הַמּוֹצִיא וְהַמֵּבִיא אֶת־יִשְׂרָאֵל

# HCSB rendering:

Even when Saul was king, you led <u>us</u> out to battle and brought <u>us</u> back.

### Suggestion:

Even when Saul was king, you led  $\underline{us}^a$  out to battle and brought  $\underline{us}^a$  back. <u><u>a</u> Lit. *Israel*</u>

# Rationale:

Since the HCSB has shifted away from the form of the original, a footnote would be useful.

# <u>Bible Reference:</u>

1 Chronicles 11:19

Original text:

וַיּאמֶר חָלִילָה אָי מֵאֱלהַי מֵצְשֵׂוֹת זֹאַת

# HCSB rendering:

David said, "I would never do such a thing in the presence of God!"

# Suggestion:

David said, "I would never do such a thing in the presence of my God!"

# Rationale:

There is no reason why the HCSB can't represent the pronominal suffix that is present in the Hebrew.

# Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 13:3

# Original text:

ּוְנָסֵבָּה אֶת־אֲרָוֹן אֱלֹהֵינוּ אֵלֵינוּ בִּי־לְא דְרַשְׁנֶהוּ בִּימֵי שֶׁאוּל:

# HCSB rendering:

Then let us bring back the ark of our God, for we did not inquire of Him in Saul's days.

# Suggestion:

Then let us bring back the ark of our God, for we did not <u>inquire of Him</u><sup>a</sup> in Saul's days. <u><sup>a</sup> Or seek it</u>

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The majority of commentaries and translations understand the antecedent of הו on הגווי as the ark and not "our God." Perhaps the alternate understanding should be put in a footnote.

#### Bible References:

1 Samuel 4:4 2 Samuel 6:2 2 Kings 19:15 1 Chronicles 13:6 Psalm 80:1 (H2) Psalm 99:1 Isaiah 37:16

Original text:

יוֹשֵׁב הַכִּרוּבֵים

### HCSB rendering:

Sam 4:4 – who dwells between the cherubim
Sam 6:2– who dwells between the cherubim
Kgs 19:15 – who is enthroned above the cherubim
Chr 13:6 – who dwells between the cherubim
Ps 80:1 (H2) – You who sit enthroned on the cherubim
Ps 99:1 – He is enthroned above the cherubim
Isa 37:16 – who is enthroned above the cherubim

#### Suggestion:

All passages – who dwells between<sup>a</sup> the cherubim <sup>a</sup> Or *is enthroned above* 

### <u>Rationale:</u>

Presently there are three different renderings in HCSB for the idiom יוֹשֶׁב הַכְרוּבִים

- Dwells between the cherubim (3x)
- Is enthroned above the cherubim (3x)
- Sits enthroned on the cherubim. (1x)

For reasons of consistency, one rendering should be selected. If desired, an alternate could be put into a footnote.

The choice is not clear-cut. The verb כמביי can mean either "dwell" or "sit enthroned." In Ezekiel's vision, God is "above" the cherubim (Ezekiel 1:25-26), and in 1 Chronicles 28:18 the cherubim are referred to as God's "chariot" as if he rides on them (cf. Ps 18:10 H11). But in Exodus 25:22 and Numbers 7:89, God speaks "from between" (כמבין) the cherubim on the ark.

Our suggestion leans on the location indicators in the Exodus and Numbers passages and the fact that there is no clear marker that a "throne" is indicated. But we are mostly concerned that the HCSB be consistent. Perhaps footnotes would be appropriate to present the alternate rendering.

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 13:6

# Original text:

וַיַּעַל דְּוֵיִד וְכָל־יִשְׁרָאֵל בַּעֲלֶתָה אֶל־קִרְיֵת יְעָרֶים אֲשֶׁר לִיהוּדָה לְהַעֲלָוֹת מִשְּׁם אֵת אֲרוֹן הָאֶלהַיָםוּ יְהוֶה יוֹשֵׁב הַכְּרוּבִים אֲשֶׁר־נִקְרָא שֵׁם

# HCSB rendering:

David and all Israel went to Baalah (that is, Kiriath-jearim that belongs to Judah) to take <u>the ark of God</u> from there, which is called by the name of the LORD who dwells between the cherubim.

### Suggestion:

David and all Israel went to Baalah (that is, Kiriath-jearim that belongs to Judah) to take <u>from there the</u> <u>ark of God</u>, which is called by the name of the LORD who dwells between the cherubim.

# Rationale:

It seems unnatural in English to separate the relative pronoun of this non-restrictive relative clause ("which") from its antecedent ("the ark of God"). The fact that "ark" is the antecedent is evident in the parallel passage from 2 Samuel 6:2: לְהַשְׁלְהִים אֲשֶׁר־נִקְרָא שֵׁם ("to bring up the ark of God from Baale-judah. The ark is called by the Name.")

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 14:15-16

### Original text:

# וִּיהִי בְּשָׁמְצֵדְּ אֶת־קוֹל הַצְּעָדָה בְּרָאשֵׁי הַבְּכָאִים אָז תֵּצֵא בַמִּלְחָמָָה כִּי־יָצֶא הָאֶלהִים לְפָנֶידְ לְהַכָּוֹת אֶת־מַחֲנֵה פְּלִשְׁתֵּים: וַיַּעַשׁ דְּוִיד כַּאֲשֶׁר צַוֶּהוּ הָאֱלהֵים וַיַּכּוּ אֶת־מַחֲנֵה פְּלשְׁתִּים מִוּּבְעוֹן וְעַד־גַּזְרָה:

### HCSB rendering:

15 When you hear the sound of marching in the tops of the balsam trees, then march out to battle, for God will have marched out ahead of you to <u>attack the camp of the Philistines</u>. 16 So David did exactly as God commanded him, and they <u>struck down the Philistine army</u> from Gibeon to Gezer.

### Suggestion:

15 When you hear the sound of marching in the tops of the balsam trees, then march out to battle, for God will have marched out ahead of you to <u>strike down the Philistine army</u>. 16 So David did exactly as God commanded him, and they <u>struck down the Philistine army</u> from Gibeon to Gezer.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

There are identical expressions in verses 15 and 16 that the HCSB translates differently:

- v. 15 לְהַכָּוֹת אֶת־מַחֲנֵה פְּלְשְׁתִים to attack the camp of the Philistines
- v. 16 ויכו את־מחנה פלשתים they struck down the Philistine army.

Why <u>attack</u> the <u>camp</u> in verse 15, and <u>strike down</u> the <u>army</u> in verse 16? We see no reason why these two identical expressions in such a close context shouldn't be translated in an identical fashion.

One significant drawback of the HCSB rendering is that it cuts back what it says that <u>God</u> will do as he goes before David. God will only "attack" the "camp" of the Philistines; it doesn't say that God will "strike down" the "army." It would be better to show that what David did was exactly what God said he would do as he would go before them. David didn't do something additional and different from what God said he would do.

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 15:26

# Original text:

ַוְיָהִי בֶּעְזֵר הֶאֶלֹהִים אֶת־הַלְוּיָם נֹשְׂאֵי אֲרַוֹן בְּרִית־יְהוֶת וַיִּזְבְּחָוּ שִׁבְעֶה־פָּרֶים וְשִׁבְעֵה אֵילִים:

# HCSB rendering:

While the Levites were carrying the ark of the covenant of the LORD, with God's help, they sacrificed seven bulls and seven rams.

### Suggestion:

Because God helped the Levites who were carrying the ark of the covenant of the LORD, they sacrificed seven bulls and seven rams.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

In the HCSB, the prepositional phrase "with God's help" is awkwardly separated with commas, so the reader doesn't know what it is going with. The HCSB also shifts away from the Hebrew by making "carrying the ark" the main verb in the first clause.

There is a widespread consensus in published translations to translate as we suggest, which more closely represents the wording of the Hebrew.

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 16:31-32

### Original text:

ַןיֹאמְרָוּ בַּגּוֹיָם יְהוֶה מָלֶך: יִרְשָׁם הַיָּם וּמְלוֹאוֹ יַצְלָץ הַשָּׂדֶה וְכָל־אֲשֶׁר־בּוֹ:

### HCSB rendering:

And let them say among the nations, "The LORD <u>is King</u>!" Let the sea and <u>everything in it</u> resound; let the fields and <u>all that is in them</u> exult.

### Suggestion:

And let them say among the nations, "The LORD <u>reigns</u>." Let the sea and <u>all that fills it</u> resound; let the fields and <u>everything in them</u> exult.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

We assume that when there are synoptic texts in the Bible and the original language has identical wording in both places, the English translation should be identical—reflecting the identical language of the original. We think that it would be good to check all synoptic texts in the HCSB for this uniformity.

These lines from David's prayer in 1 Chronicles 16 are found in Psalm 96 with identical wording. The HCSB in Psalm 96 is close to 1 Chronicles 16, but not identical.

Psalm 96 10 – "The LORD <u>reigns.</u>" (יְהָוֶה מָלָך) Psalm 96:11 – let the sea and <u>all that fills it</u> resound. (יְרָעַם הַזָּיָם וּמְלאָוֹ) Let the fields and <u>everything in them</u> exult. (יְעַל'ז שָׁרִי וְכָל'אַשֶׁר

We suggest that the HCSB renderings be made identical, with 1 Chronicles 16 following the translation of Psalm 96. We notice that in the other OT passages where יְהוֶרָה מָלֶןֹי occurs (see Exod 15:18; Isa 52:7; Pss 93:1; 97:1; 99:1; 146:10), the HCSB has "The LORD reigns."

### Bible References:

2 Samuel 7:1-17 1 Chronicles 17:1-15

### The issue:

Here is another example of the situation first noted in our suggestion on 1 Chronicles 10:1-12. When we compare the translation of 1 Chronicles 17:1-15 with the parallel account in 2 Samuel 7:1-17, we notice that there are a number of places where the Hebrew text is identical, but the HCSB translation is not identical.

# Differences in the parallel translations:

Here are the parallel translations. Highlighted are places where the Hebrew text is identical, but the HCSB translation is not identical.

# 2 Samuel 7:1-7

7 When the king had settled into his palace and the LORD had given him rest on every side from all his enemies, <sup>2</sup> the king said to Nathan the prophet, "Look, I am living in a cedar house while the ark of God sits inside tent curtains."

<sup>3</sup> So Nathan told the king, "Go and do all that is on your heart, for the LORD is with you."

<sup>4</sup> But that night the word of the LORD came to Nathan: <sup>5</sup> "Go to My servant David and say, 'This is what the LORD says: Are you to build a house for Me to live in? <sup>6</sup> From the time I brought the Israelites out of Egypt until today I have not lived in a house; instead, I have been moving around with a tent as My dwelling. <sup>7</sup> In all My journeys with all the Israelites, have I ever asked anyone among the tribes of Israel, whom I commanded to shepherd My people Israel: Why haven't you built Me a house of cedar?'

# 1 Chronicles 17:1-6

17 When David had settled into his palace, he said to Nathan the prophet, "Look! I am living in a cedar house while the ark of the LORD's covenant is under tent curtains."

<sup>2</sup> So Nathan told David, "Do all that is on your heart, for God is with you."

<sup>3</sup> But that night the word of God came to Nathan: <sup>4</sup> "Go to David My servant and say, 'This is what the LORD says: You are not the one to build Me a house to dwell in. <sup>5</sup> From the time I brought Israel out of Egypt until today I have not lived in a house; instead, I have moved from tent to tent and from tabernacle to tabernacle. <sup>6</sup> In all My travels throughout Israel, have I ever spoken a word to even one of the judges of Israel, whom I commanded to shepherd My people, asking: Why haven't you built Me a house of cedar?'

### 2 Samuel 7:8-17

<sup>8</sup> "Now this is what you are to say to My servant David: 'This is what the LORD of Hosts says: I took you from the pasture and from following the sheep to be ruler over My people Israel. <sup>9</sup> I have been with you wherever you have gone, and I have destroyed all your enemies before you. I will make a name for you like that of the greatest in the land. <sup>10</sup> I will establish a place for My people Israel and plant them, so that they may live there and not be disturbed again. Evildoers will not afflict them as they have done <sup>11</sup> ever since the day I ordered judges to be over My people Israel. I will give you rest from all your enemies.

"The LORD declares to you: The LORD Himself will make a house for you. <sup>12</sup> When your time comes and you rest with your fathers, I will raise up after vou vour descendant, who will come from your body, and I will establish his kingdom. <sup>13</sup> He will build a house for My name, and I will establish the throne of his kingdom forever.<sup>14</sup> I will be a father to him, and he will be a son to Me. When he does wrong, I will discipline him with a human rod and with blows from others. <sup>15</sup> But My faithful love will never leave him as I removed it from Saul; I removed him from your way. <sup>16</sup> Your house and kingdom will endure before Me<sup>[a]</sup> forever, and your throne will be established forever.""

<sup>17</sup> Nathan spoke all these words and this entire vision to David.

# 1 Chronicles 17:7-15

<sup>7</sup> "Now this is what you will say to My servant David: 'This is what the LORD of Hosts says: I took you from the pasture and from following the sheep to be ruler over My people Israel. <sup>8</sup>I have been with you wherever you have gone, and I have destroyed all your enemies before you. I will make a name for you like that of the greatest in the land. <sup>9</sup>I will establish a place for My people Israel and plant them, so that they may live there and not be disturbed again. Evildoers will not continue to oppress them as they formerly have <sup>10</sup> ever since the day I ordered judges to be over My people Israel. I will also subdue all your enemies.

"Furthermore, I declare to you that the LORD Himself will build a house for you.

<sup>11</sup>When your time comes to be with your fathers, I will raise up after you your descendant, who is one of your own sons, and I will establish his kingdom. <sup>12</sup>He will build a house for Me, and I will establish his throne forever. <sup>13</sup>I will be a father to him, and he will be a son to Me. I will not take away My faithful love from him as I took it from the one who was before you.

<sup>14</sup> I will appoint him over My house and My kingdom forever, and his throne will be established forever."

<sup>15</sup> Nathan reported all these words and this entire vision to David.

### Suggestion:

We think that when the original text has identical wording, it would good for the HCSB to have identical wording in the parallel translations.

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 17:5

# Original text:

ּכַּי לָא יָשַׁבְתִּי בְּבַיִת מָן־הַיּוֹם אֲשֶׁר הֶעֶלֵיתִי אֶת־יִשְׂרָאֵׁל עַד הַיַּוֹם הַזָּה וָאָהְיָה מֵאָהֶל אָל־אָהֶל וּמִמִשְׁבֶן:

# HCSB rendering:

From the time I brought Israel out of Egypt until today I have not lived in a house; instead, I have moved from tent to tent and from tabernacle to tabernacle.

### Suggestion:

From the time I brought Israel out of Egypt until today I have not lived in a house; instead, I have moved from one tent site to another, and from one tabernacle location to another.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Lit *I* was from tent to tent and from tabernacle

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The HCSB rendering could easily give the impression that God was worshiped by the Israelites in a variety of tents and tabernacles. We know, however, that there was only one tabernacle (= tent of meeting), which moved from place to place. Consider these passages:

- 1 Chr 21:29 [The time of David] The tabernacle of the LORD, which Moses made in the desert, and the altar of burnt offering were at the high place in Gibeon.
- 2 Chr 1:3 Solomon and the whole assembly with him went to the high place that was in Gibeon because God's tent of meeting, which the LORD's servant Moses had made in the wilderness, was there.

Our suggestion admittedly is less literal than the HCSB, but we think an interpretive rendering like this is necessary to make the passage comprehensible in English and harmonious with the rest of the Old Testament.

We notice that Jerome did something similar already in the Vulgate: *Neque enim mansi in domo ex eo tempore, quo eduxi Israhel, usque ad hanc diem; sed fui semper mutans <u>loca tabernaculi</u>, et in tentorio manens cum omni Israhel. "For I did not stay in a house from the time I led Israel out to this day, but I was always changing the place of the tabernacle and staying in a tent with all of Israel."* 

### Bible References:

2 Samuel 7:9 1 Chronicles 17:8

### Original text:

2 Sam 7:9 – יָשָׁם אָשֶׁר בָּאֲכֶץ: – 2: אַם גָּדוֹל כְּשֵׁם הַגְּדוֹלִים אֲשֶׁר בָּאֲכֶץ: – 1 Chr 17:8 - נְשָׁם הַגְּדוֹלִים אֲשֶׁר בָּאֲכֶץ:

# HCSB rendering:

2 Sam 7:9 – I will make <u>a name</u> for you like that of the greatest <u>in the land</u>. 1 Chr 17:8– I will make a name for you like that of the greatest <u>in the land</u>.

### Suggestion:

2 Sam 7:9 – I will make <u>a great name</u> for you like that of the greatest <u>on the earth</u>. 1 Chr 17:8– I will make a name for you like that of the greatest <u>on the earth</u>.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

With the Hebrew word  $\gamma$ , the translator always has to decide if "land" or "earth" fits the context best. Here we think the HCSB did not make the best choice. Was David to be compared only to the great men who had previously lived in Canaan? We, along with the other published translations that we consulted, assume that David is being compared to the great men who had previously lived everywhere on earth.

Secondarily, we see no reason why the adjective shouldn't be represented in 2 Samuel 7.

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 17:19a

# Original text:

יְהוֶה בַּעֲכָוּר עַבְדְדֶ' וְכְלִבְדֶׁ עָשֶׁיתָ אֵת כָּל־הַגְּרוּלֶה הַזָּאת לְהֹדִיעַ אֶת־כָּל־הַגְּדֶלְוֹת:

# HCSB rendering:

LORD, You have done <u>all this greatness</u>, making known all these great promises because of Your servant and according to Your will.

### Suggestion:

LORD, You have done this great thing, making known all these great promises because of Your servant and according to Your will.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

In a translation like the HCSB that makes a point about "naturalness" in its English usage (*Navigating the Horizons*, p. 67), it is surprising to see the phrase: "You have done all this greatness." This strikes us as unnatural and unidiomatic in English.

It can be noted that in 2 Samuel 7:21, the one other OT passage with the phrase אָל־הַגְּרוּלָה הַזָּאת, the HCSB does not translate "all this greatness," but rather "all these great things." In 1 Chronicles 17:19, however, it makes sense to keep the expression singular ("this great thing"), in contrast with the plural expression not compared to the expression singular ("this great thing"), in contrast with the plural expression ("all these great promises") that comes later in the verse.

# Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 17:19b

# Original text:

יָהוֶה בַּעֲכָוּר עַבְדְדֶ' וְכָלִבְדֶׁ עָשִיׁיתָ אֵת כָּל־הַגְּרוּלֶה הַזָּאת לְהֹדִיעַ אֶת־כָּל־הַגְּדָלְוֹת:

# HCSB rendering:

LORD, You have done all this greatness, making known all these great promises because of Your servant and according to Your will.

### Suggestion:

LORD, You have done all this greatness, making known all these great promises <u>for the sake of Your</u> <u>servant</u> and according to Your will.

# <u>Rationale:</u>

There are two ways that בַּעֲבָוּר is commonly translated: because of or for the sake of.

When בְּעֲבָוֹר is used in a context where God is giving blessings to human beings, it is wisest to use the second meaning, "for the sake of" (= "for the benefit of"). Otherwise, the impression could wrongly be given that God gives blessings because human beings deserve the blessings or are the cause of the blessings.

The HCSB wisely makes this translation choice in the following passage.

1 Chr 14:2 – Then David knew that the LORD had established him as king over Israel and that his kingdom had been exalted for the sake of His people Israel (בַּעָרָוּר עַמָּוֹ יָשָׂרָאָל).

We recommend that the HCSB do the same thing in this verse.

# Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 19:3

# Original text:

ַהַלא בַּצְבוּר לַחְלָר וְלַהַפָּד וּלְרַגַּל הָאָָרֶץ בָּאוּ צְבָדֶיו אֵלֶיד:

# HCSB rendering:

"Instead, hasn't David sent his emissaries in order to scout out, overthrow, and spy on the land?"

# Suggestion:

"Instead, haven't his emissaries come in order to scout out, overthrow, and spy on the land?"

# Rationale:

We are fine with a translation paraphrasing when it is necessary to communicate clearly in English idiom. Here is a case, however, where there is no reason to shift away from a straightforward translation of the Hebrew, since such a translation is perfectly understandable and clear. To translate "David sent" when the Hebrew says "they came" ( $\Re_{\overline{a}}$ ) is loose translating to a degree that we do not expect in the HCSB.

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 21:1

# Original text:

וַיַּאֲמִר שְׂטֵן עַל־יִשְׂרָאֵל וַיָּׂסֶת אֶת־דְּוִֹיד לִמְנוֹת אֶת־יִשְׂרָאֵל:

# HCSB rendering:

Satan stood up against Israel and incited David to count the people of Israel.

# Suggestion:

Satan rose up against Israel and incited David to count the people of Israel.

# Rationale:

BDB indicates that the Hebrew verb עָמָר in Late Biblical Hebrew can be used like איז קום with the meaning "arise, appear, come on the scene" (6a). BDB suggests the meaning "*rise up* as foe...*against*" for this verse.

HCSB translates שָמר in this way in passages such as the following:

Daniel 11:14 – In those times many <u>will rise up against</u> (יְעַמְדָרֹ) the king of the South. Daniel 12:1 – At that time Michael the great prince...will <u>rise up</u> (יַעָמָד).

The problem with "Satan <u>stood up</u>" is that the English expression "stand up" too much conveys the image of a person moving from a sitting position into a standing position. Obviously that is not what is desired in this context dealing with the supernatural opponent Satan. The rendering "Satan stood up" could easily confuse readers who know that Satan is an evil angel.

The HCSB presents itself as a "fresh translation" and not a revision. It does not want to perpetuate weak renderings simply because they are familiar (*Navigating the Horizons*, pp. 22-39). We think that this is a place where the HCSB should break away from the tradition of the KJV ("Satan stood up") in order to present a rendering that is less open to misunderstanding and confusion.

### Bible Reference:

1 Kings 12:20 1 Kings 12:21 1 Chronicles 21:17

### Original text:

1 Kgs 12:20 – וַיִּקְרָא אֶל־יְהוָה וַיּאַמֵּר יְהוָה אֶלֹהִי הְוַנִם עַל־הָאַלְמָנָّה ... הֲרֵעוֹת 1 Kgs 12:21 – וַיִּקְרָא אֶל־יְהוֶה וַיּאמֵר יְהוָה אֶלֹהִי תֵּשָׁב נָא נֶפָשׁ־הַיֶּלֶר הַזֶּה עַל־קִרְבּוֹ 1 Chr 21:17 – יְהוֶה אֶלהי נָא יְרָהֹי נָא יְרָד כָּי וּרְבוּי אָבִי וּקבוית אָבי -

### HCSB rendering:

1 Kgs 12:20 – Then he [Elijah] cried out to the LORD and said, "<u>My LORD God</u>, have You also brought tragedy on the widow...?

1 Kgs 12:21 – He cried out to the LORD and said, "<u>My LORD God</u>, please let this boy's life return to him!" 1 Chr 21:17 – <u>My LORD God</u>, please let Your hand be against me and against my father's family,

### Suggestion:

1 Kgs 12:20 – Then he [Elijah] cried out to the LORD and said, "LORD my God, have You also brought tragedy on the widow...?

1 Kgs 12:21 – He cried out to the LORD and said, "<u>LORD my God</u>, please let this boy's life return to him!" 1 Chr 21:17 – <u>LORD my God</u>, please let Your hand be against me and against my father's family,

# <u>Rationale:</u>

The combination יְהָוָה אֶלֹהֵי occurs about 35 times in the Hebrew OT. In all of the occurrences except these three, the HCSB translates "(the) LORD my God" or "Yahweh my God." So for reasons of consistency, the rendering "my LORD God" is unfortunate here. There are many verses where יְהוָרָה is used as a vocative, and the HCSB routinely has "LORD my God" (See 1 Kgs 3:7, 8:28, Jonah 2:7, Pss 13:3 (H4), 30:2 (H3), 30:12 (H13), 35:24, 40:5 (H6), 104:1, 109:26, and 2 Chr 6:19.)

It is a well-known fact that the Tetragrammaton never has a pronominal suffix. The HCSB rendering here could easily give the impression that it does in these verses.

# Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 21:29

Original text:

וּמִשְׁכַן יְהוָה אֲשֶׁר־עָשָָׂה מֹשֶׁה בַמִּדְבֶּר

# HCSB rendering:

The tabernacle of the LORD, which Moses made in the desert,

# Suggestion:

The tabernacle of the LORD, which Moses made in the wilderness,

# <u>Rationale:</u>

Elsewhere when the Old Testament uses the word מְרָבָר in connection with the forty year sojourn of Moses and the Israelites, HCSB always has "wilderness," a better English equivalent than "desert." A change should be made here for reasons of appropriateness and consistency.

# **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 25:9

Original text:

וַיֵּצֵא הַגּוֹרֶל הָרִאשׁוֹן לְאָסָף לְיוֹסֵף

# HCSB rendering:

The first lot for Asaph fell to Joseph, his sons, and his brothers-12

### Suggestion:

The first lot for Asaph fell to Joseph, his sons, and his <u>brothers</u><sup>a</sup>— $12^{b}$ <u>a</u> LXX, MT omits *his sons, and his brothers* <u>b</u> MT omits *12* 

# Rationale:

The HCSB has supplied "his sons, and his brothers—12" in connection with the first lot in order to fill out the pattern of the chapter. Part of this addition is reflected in the LXX. Since these words are lacking in the MT, footnotes would be useful.

### Bible References:

1 Chronicles 25 + 26

### Suggestion:

31 times in these chapters - change "brothers" to "relatives"

With the Hebrew word אָרָז'ם, the HCSB wisely tries to distinguish between contexts where the reference is to biological siblings (translated "brothers") and contexts where the reference is more broad—to "relatives" in general. The word "relative/relatives" appears 39 times in 1 Chronicles in the current HCSB. In 1 Chronicles 25 + 26, however, there are a number of passages where the HCSB as "brothers" where we think it would be wiser to translate "relatives," because the context suggests people beyond biological siblings. The passages where we would suggest this change are the following:

1 Chr 25:9-31 – The first lot for Asaph fell to Joseph, his sons, and his brothers—

- Similarly in the listing of all 24 Levitical divisions
- The rationale is this: The brothers of Joseph (etc.) wouldn't be in Joseph's division, because each of the brothers had his own division.

1 Chr 26:7 – Shemaiah's sons: Othni, Rephael, Obed, and Elzabad; his <u>brothers</u> Elihu and Semachiah were also capable men.

• Earlier in the chapter the brothers of Shemaiah are listed (v. 4-5), and Elihu and Semachiah are not included.

1 Chr 26:8 – All of these were among the sons of Obed-edom with their sons and brothers;

1 Chr 26:9 - Meshelemiah also had sons and brothers who were capable men

1 Chr 26;11 – The sons and brothers of Hosah were 13 in all.

1 Chr 26:12 – These divisions of the gatekeepers, under their leading men, had duties for ministering in the LORD's temple, just as their <u>brothers</u> did.

1 Chr 26:26 – This Shelomith and his brothers were in charge of all the treasuries

1 Chr 26:28 – [everything that was dedicated was] in the care of Shelomith and his brothers.

• There is nothing that would limit these verses to biological siblings. We think they make better sense if a broader family relationship is in view.

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 26:25

# Original text:

ּוְאֶחֶיו לֶאֶלִיעֶזֶר רְחַבְיָהוּ בְנוֹ וְישַׁעְיָהוּ בְנוֹ וְיָרָם בְּנוֹ וְזִכְרִי בְנָוֹ וּשְׁלֹמָות בְּנִו

# HCSB rendering:

His <u>relative</u> through Eliezer: his son Rehabiah, his son Jeshaiah, his son Joram, his son Zichri, and his son Shelomith.

### Suggestion:

His <u>relatives</u> through Eliezer: his son Rehabiah, his son Jeshaiah, his son Joram, his son Zichri, and his son Shelomith.

### Rationale:

We assume that this is a typo that escaped correction in the original HCSB.

### **Bible Reference:**

1 Chronicles 26:29

### Original text:

לַיִּצְהָרִי כְּנַגְיָהוּ וּבָנָיו לַמְּלָאכֶה הַחִיצוֹנָה עַל־יִשְׁרָאֵׁל לְשׁמְרָים וּלְשׁפְמִים:

# HCSB rendering:

From the Izrahites: Chenaniah and his sons had the outside duties as officers and judges over Israel.

# Suggestion:

From the Izrahites: Chenaniah and his sons had <u>duties away from the temple<sup>a</sup></u> as officers and judges over Israel.

<sup>a</sup>Lit the outside duties

# <u>Rationale:</u>

There is a good chance that the literal rendering, "the outside duties," could lead to no meaning for readers or to miscommunication. The phrase "outside duties" in English can easily bring to mind duties outside of the house, like mowing the lawn or tending flowers. That concept would cause confusion to readers here.

Many translations try to make clear the intent of the phrase in this context by rendering "outside the temple" (CEB, GW, NET) or "outside the sanctuary" (JPS). The context is obviously talking about Levites who were given work by David throughout the country and who would not be working at the Jerusalem sanctuary. Perhaps it is best to render "away from the temple," and then the HCSB could include a footnote with the literal translation.

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 27:24

### Original text:

ּוְלָא עָלָה הַמִּסְפֶּר הַמָסְפֵּר הִבְוִי־הַיָּמֻים לַמֶּלֶך הָוִיד:

# HCSB rendering:

and the number was not entered in the Historical Record<sup>a</sup> of King David. <sup>a</sup>LXX; MT reads *Number* 

### Suggestion:

and the number was not entered in the Historical Record<sup>a</sup> of King David. <sup>a</sup>LXX; MT reads *number of the Historical Record* 

### <u>Rationale:</u>

The footnote is misleading because it gives the impression that the MT has "Number" *instead of* "Historical Record." Actually, the MT has the word "Number" in addition to דְרָרֵי הַיָּמִים, so there should be something additional given with the MT in the footnote.

The phrase דְּבְרֵי הַיָּמֻים literally means "the events of the days." Therefore the footnote could read: "LXX; MT reads *number of the events of the days.*" But the phrase דְּבְרֵי הַיָּמָים can by itself mean "historical record." Therefore, perhaps it is best to have the footnote read: "LXX; MT reads *number of the Historical Record.*"

It is accurate to say that the HCSB is following the LXX. The LXX has <u>έν βιβλίω</u> λόγων τῶν ἡμερῶν ("in the book of the things of the days), and it probably was reading בָּסֶפֶר דִּרְרֵי הַיָּטֶים. The Hebrew phrase בַּסֶפֶר דִּרְרֵי הַיָּטָים is a commonplace in 1+ 2 Kings, and it is translated as "Historical Record" about 35 times in the HCSB. So the HCSB rendering here is in accord with the LXX and not with the MT. But the MT has more than just the word "number."

### Bible Reference:

1 Chronicles 28:9 1 Chronicles 29:9, 19 2 Chronicles 19:9

# Original text:

1 Chr 28:9 – וּבְנָפָשׁ חֲפַצָּה 1 Chr 29:9 – בְּלֵב שְׁלֵם הְתְנַדְּכָוּ לַיהוָת 1 Chr 29:19 – בְּלֵב שְׁלֵם הְתְנַדְּכָוּ לַיהוָת 1 Chr 29:19 – בָּנִי הֲזֵן לֵבָב שְׁלֵם לִשְׁמוֹר מִצְוֹתֶידְ עֵרְוֹתֶידְ וְחֶפֶידְ 2 Chr 19:9 – כָּה תַעֲשׁוּן בְּיִרְאַת יְהוָה בֶּאֱמוּנָה וּרְלֵבֵב שְׁלֵם: – 19:9

### HCSB rendering:

1 Chr 28:9 - serve Him with a whole heart and a willing mind,

1 Chr 29:9 - they had given to the LORD with a whole heart.

1 Chr 29:19 - Give my son Solomon a whole heart to keep and to carry out all Your commands,

2 Chr 19:9 - In the fear of the LORD, with integrity, and with a whole heart, you are to do the following:

### Suggestion:

1 Chr 28:9 - serve Him wholeheartedly and with a willing mind,

1 Chr 29:9 – they had given to the LORD wholeheartedly.

1 Chr 29:19 - Give my son Solomon an undivided heart to keep and to carry out all Your commands,

2 Chr 19:9 – In the fear of the LORD, with integrity, and wholeheartedly, you are to do the following:

### <u>Rationale:</u>

In these four passages the HCSB has the expression "a whole heart." This expression does not sound natural to us, and we fear that it could lead a reader in a wrong direction, because "whole" can mean things other than "undivided."

According to our sense of English usage, the desired sense—that the heart is undivided or completed devoted to the Lord—is achieved if an adverb is used ("wholeheartedly"), which the HCSB uses is some other places (see 2 Kgs 20:3; Isa 38:3).

The desired sense is also achieved by using the expression "an undivided heart." Using "undivided" in 1 Chronicles in 29:19 would not be a novelty in the HCSB, since Psalm 86:11 has: "Give me an <u>undivided</u> mind to fear Your name."

### Bible References:

1 Chronicles 29:29 2 Chronicles 9:29

### Original text:

1 Chr 29:29 – דּבְרֵי שָׁמוּאֵל הָרֹאֶה וְעַל־ דִבְרֵי דְּנִד הַמֶּלֶך הָרִאשׁגִים וְהָאֲחרֹגִים הַנָּם כְּתוּבִים עַל־דִּבְרֵי שָׁמוּאֵל הָרֹאֶה וְעַל־דִבְרֵי 2 Chr 9:29 – הַרָרֵי נָתָן הַנָּבִיא וְעַל־דִבְרֵי גָר הַחֹזֶה 1 שִׁאֶר דִבְרֵי שְׁלֹמֹה הָרִאשׁגִים וְהָאֲחֵרוֹגִיֵם הֵלֹא־תֵם כְּתוּבִים עַל־דִבְרֵי

### HCSB rendering:

1 Chr 29:29 – As for the events of King David's reign, from beginning to end, note that they are written in the <u>Events</u> of Samuel the Seer, the <u>Events</u> of Nathan the Prophet, and the <u>Events</u> of Gad the Seer. 2 Chr 9:29 – The remaining events of Solomon's reign from beginning to end, are written in the <u>Events</u> of Nathan the Prophet,

### Suggestion:

1 Chr 29:29 – As for the events of King David's reign, from beginning to end, note that they are written in the <u>Records</u> of Samuel the Seer, the <u>Records</u> of Nathan the Prophet, and the <u>Records</u> of Gad the Seer. 2 Chr 9:29 – The remaining events of Solomon's reign from beginning to end, are written in the <u>Records</u> of Nathan the Prophet,

### <u>Rationale:</u>

When a person hears the English phrase "the Events of Samuel," the most likely supposition would be that the phrase is talking about events that happened in the life of Samuel. Similarly, "the Events of Nathan" implies events that happened in the life of Nathan. "The Events of Gad" implies events that happened in the life of Samuel give a wrong impression, therefore, because these expressions in Chronicles do not refer to events in the prophets' lives or even to written records about the prophets' lives. They refer to the prophets' writings that had to do primarily with the history of God's people and the oracles of God.

- 1 Chr 4:22 These names are from ancient records (וְהַרְבָרֶים עַתִּיקִים).
- 2 Chr 33:18 The rest of the events of Manasseh's reign, along with his prayer to his God and the words of the seers who spoke to him in the name of Yahweh, the God of Israel, are written in the <u>Records</u> (דְּבָרֶי) of Israel's Kings.
- 2 Chr 33:19 they are written in the <u>Records</u> (Figure 1) of Hozai.
### Bible Reference:

2 Chronicles 2:4 (H3) passim

### Original text:

הַנֵּה אֲנִי בְוֹנֶה־בַּיִת לְשֵׁםוּ יְהוֶה אֱלֹהִי לְהַקְהֵישׁ לוֹ לְהַקְמֵיָר לְפָנָיו קְטָּרֶת־סַמִּים וּמַעֲרֶכֶת תָּמֵיד וְעֹלוֹת לַבַּקֶר וְלָשֶׁרֵב לַשַּׁבָּתוֹת וְלֶחֲדָשִׁים וּלְמוֹצֵבִי יְהוָה אֱלֹהֵינוּ לְעוֹלֶם זָאת עַל־יִשְׂרָאֵל:

# HCSB rendering:

<sup>4</sup> Now I am building a temple for the name of Yahweh my God in order to dedicate it to Him for burning fragrant incense before Him, for displaying the rows of the bread of the Presence continuously, and for sacrificing burnt offerings for the morning and the evening, the Sabbaths and the New Moons, and the appointed festivals of the LORD our God. This is ordained for Israel <u>forever</u>.

### Suggestion:

. . . This is ordained for Israel permanently.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

The meaning of  $\neg \forall \forall \forall \forall \forall d$  must be derived from the context each time it is used. The basic meaning remains permanently, perpetually, open-ended, or into perpetuity. "Forever" or "eternal" kind of words may be fitting in some contexts, but not here. The English reader will assume more is meant than what is intended in this verse. Ceremonial aspects of the Mosaic covenant were never intended to remain in force for anyone "forever."

We have noticed with appreciation that the HCSB commonly refers to features of the OT ceremonial worship system as a "permanent statute" (הַקָּת עוֹלָם) in Exodus, Leviticus, and Numbers. To translate לעוֹלָם as "permanently" in 2 Chronicles 2:4 and in other places where the OT worship system is referred to would be in harmony with the HCSB translation choice for עוֹלָם in the Pentateuch.

This is almost a "template" kind of issue, of course, and other occurrences of  $\neg$  מוֹנָל merit individual examination and translation decision. We would encourage you to look at the following passages in 2 Chronicles: 7:16, 10:7, 20:7, and 33:4,7. Then we would encourage you to take a look at the occurrences of  $\neg$  also in other OT books.

### Bible Reference:

2 Chronicles 6:42

### Original text:

ּיְהָוֶה אֶלֹהִים אַל־תָּשֵׁב פְּנֵי מְשִׁיחֵיה זָכְרֶה לְחַסְדֵי דְּוֵיד עַבְדֶּה:

# HCSB rendering:

LORD God, do not reject Your anointed one; remember the loyalty of Your servant David.

### Suggestion:

LORD God, do not reject Your anointed one; remember <u>the faithful love You promised</u> Your servant David.

### Rationale:

As the verse now stands it seems to make David's loyalty to the Lord a basis or cause of how the Lord God will show faithful love to those praying at the temple. Perhaps this is theologically possible, but we consider it more likely that the Lord's love promised to David would be in mind here as the reason why God should be gracious to David's followers. The Hebrew word can be used for a characteristic of a human being, but its much more common use is as a characteristic of the Lord.

Our own preference would be to translate הָסָר as "mercy," but we notice that the HCSB has made "faithful love" its default translation for הְסָר in reference to God. Even the plural construct הַסָר is translated "faithful love" in the HCSB at Isaiah 63:7, Psalm 89:1 (H2), and Lamentations 3:22. So we include "faithful love" here.

Isaiah 55:3 has a similar expression: דְּהָאָאָנְיִם. In that verse we notice that the HCSB understands the הַסְרֵי דָוָך as God's הַסְרֵי shown to David, and not David's הַסְרֵי נישׁר foward God ("the promises assured to David").

### Bible Reference:

2 Chronicles 18:2

#### Original text:

וַיֵּרֶר לְלֵץ שֶׁנְים אֶל-אַחְאָב לְשֵׁמְרוֹן וַיְזְבַּח־לוֹ אַחְאָב צָאן וּבָקָר לָהב וְלָאָם אֲשֶׁר עִמוֹ

#### HCSB rendering:

Then after some years, he went down to visit Ahab in Samaria. Ahab <u>sacrificed</u> many sheep and cattle for him and for the people who were with him.

#### Suggestion:

Then after some years, he went down to visit Ahab in Samaria. Ahab <u>slaughtered</u> many sheep and cattle for him and for the people who were with him.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

The verb  $\square \square I$  can mean slaughter for eating as well as slaughter for sacrifice, with the context determining which direction the translator should go. In this context it strikes us as far more likely that eating, not ceremonial ritual, was what Ahab was concerned with.

### Bible Reference:

Ezra 9:5

### Original text:

וּרְמִנְחַת הָעֶׁרֶב קַמְתִּי מִתַּצַנִיתִׁי וּרְקָרְעֵי בְנְדָי וּמְעִילִי וָאֶכְרְעָה עַל־בִּרְבֵּי וָאֶפְרְשָׂה כַפָּי אֶל־יְהוָה אֱל'הֵי:

### HCSB rendering:

At evening offering, I got up from my <u>humiliation</u>, with my tunic and robe torn. Then I fell on my knees and spread out my hands to Yahweh, my God.

#### Suggestion:

At evening offering, I got up from my <u>fasting</u>, with my tunic and robe torn. Then I fell on my knees and spread out my hands to Yahweh, my God.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

We are not sure that "humiliation" will communicate what is needed here. We notice that other published translations have tried words like "self-abasement" and "self-affliction." These words could work, but could also give a wrong impression. Perhaps best and simplest is to use "fasting." Though the root עַנָר ("afflict") is more broad than "fasting," the root can refer to fasting, and we think that fasting was likely what was involved here.

#### Bible Reference:

Nehemiah 2:2

Original text:

וַיּאמֶר לִי הַמֶּלֶך מַדַּוּעַו פָּגָיָד רָאִים וְאַתָּה אֵינְדָ חוֹלֶה אֵין זֶה כִּי־אָם רַעַ לֵב

### HCSB rendering:

So the king said to me, "Why are you sad, when you aren't sick? This <u>is nothing but depression.</u>"<sup>a</sup> <u>Lit sadness of heart</u>

#### Suggestion:

So the king said to me, "Why are you sad, when you aren't sick? This <u>can be nothing but sadness of heart."</u>

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

Today the word "depression" is used for a very specific mental health condition, so it would be better to use wording that is more general. We think that people can easily relate to the literal "sadness of heart."

### **Bible Reference:**

Nehemiah 9:22

Original text:

וַתִּמֵן לְהֶם מַמְלָכוֹת וַעֲמָמִים וַתַּחְלְקֵם לְפֵאָה

# HCSB rendering:

You gave them kingdoms and peoples and assigned them to be a boundary.

### Suggestion:

You gave them kingdoms and peoples and allotted them the land up to its farthest corners.

### Rationale:

The Hebrew here is admittedly challenging and modern translations offer several different solutions. It seems likely to us that this line is saying God extended the allotment of the Israelites all the way to the edges or corners of the land. He gave an allotment to them to the corner/edge/border. DCH includes in the range of meanings for אָרָאָרָ words like "corner," "edge," "side," "border," and "boundary."

The HCSB rendering, however, is confusing at best. Does it intend to say that Israel is a boundary to the heathen nations? That does not seem to be the point in context.

### **Bible Reference:**

Nehemiah 12:8

Original text:

עַל־הֶיְדוֹת הָוּא וְאֶחֵי

# HCSB rendering:

he and his relatives were in charge of the praise songs.

### Suggestion:

he and his relatives were in charge of the songs of thanksgiving.

### Rationale:

"Praise songs" sounds too modern and refers to something quite specific in contemporary church music. "Songs of thanksgiving" would be better.

### <u>Bible Reference:</u>

Esther 2:2

Original text:

וַ״אׁמְרָוּ נַעֲרֵי־הַמָּאֶלֶך מְשְׁרְתָיו

# HCSB rendering:

The king's personal <u>attendants</u><sup>a</sup> suggested <u><sup>a</sup> Lit The young men of the king who served him</u>

### Suggestion:

The king's personal attendants suggested

### Rationale:

We wonder why this footnote needs to be included. Is "young man" really a more literal translation of נער than "servant"? If "servant" is part of the semantic range of this Hebrew word, "attendants" is a perfectly acceptable translation for נַעָרָרָרָהָמָלָךָ מִשְׁרָתֵין. We suggest the footnote be dropped.

### Bible References:

Esther 2:2-3, 17, 19

### Original text:

Esther 2:2 – יְבַקְשֶׁוּ לַמֶּלֶךְ נְעָרָוֹת בְּתוּלָוֹת טוֹכְוֹת מַרְאָה Esther 2:3 – וִיִקְבְּצַוּ אֶל־שׁוּשֵׁן הַבִּיְרָה Esther 2:17 – וַתִּשָּׁא־חֵן וָחֶסֶר לְבָנֶיו מִכָּל־הַבְּתוּלֵת Esther 2:17 – וּבִהַקְּבֵץ בְּתוּלָוֹת שֵׁנֵית

### HCSB rendering:

Esther 2:2 – Let a search be made for beautiful young <u>women</u> for the king. Esther 2:3 – so that they may assemble all the beautiful young <u>women</u> to the harem at the fortress of Susa Esther 2:17 – She won more favor and approval from him than did any of the other young <u>women</u> Esther 2:19 – When the young <u>women</u> were assembled together for a second time,

#### Suggestion:

Esther 2:2 – Let a search be made for beautiful young virgins for the king.

Esther 2:3 - so that they may assemble all the beautiful young <u>virgins</u> to the harem at the fortress of Susa Esther 2:17 - She won more favor and approval from him than did any of the other <u>virgins</u>

Esther 2:19 – When the <u>virgins</u> were assembled together for a second time,

### <u>Rationale:</u>

1) It is without doubt that sometimes בְּתוּלָה is marked for a young woman who has not had sex (see Lev 21:13-14; Ezek 44:23). We notice that many times the HCSB does translate בְּתוּלָה as "virgin."

2) It is taken for granted that virginity would have been a necessary prerequisite for women to be considered as harem candidates for the king. So there is good reason in this context for the more specific meaning.

3) In this chapter בְּתוּלֶה is sometimes used side-by-side with נַעֲרָה as an appositive, adding information about what type of נַעֲרָה is spoken about. If בְּתוּלֶה were simply a synonym of נַעֲרָה with both words meaning "young woman," we wonder why בְּתוּלֶה would sometimes be added. It seems to specify something about the "young women"—namely, that they were "virgins."

Some would say that "virgins" does not fit in verse 19, since this verse seems to refer to women who spent a night with the king. However, there are various ways that this verse can be explained, as is evident in commentaries. Since "virgins" is preferred in verses 2, 3, and 17, it makes sense to keep it also in verse 19.

### **Bible Reference:**

Esther 2:9

Original text:

וַתִּישַׂב הַנַּצְרָה בְצֵינָיו וַתִּשָׂא חֶסֶד לְפָנָיוֹ

# HCSB rendering:

The young woman pleased him and gained his <u>favor</u><sup>a</sup> <u>a Lit and carried faithful love before him</u>

### Suggestion:

The young woman pleased him and gained his favor

### Rationale:

We do not believe this footnote really adds anything to the reader's understanding. We note that in verse 17, where one could make a distinction between  $\vec{n}$  and  $\vec{n}$  in an almost identical context, there is no footnote. We suggest this one be dropped.

#### Bible References:

Esther 2:10, 20

#### **Original text:**

Esther 2:10 – לאֹ־הִגִּיָדָה אֶסְהֵׁר אֶת־עַאָּה וְאֶת־מְוֹלַדְתָּה Esther 2:20 – אֵין אֶסְהֵר מַגֶּדֶת מְוֹלַדְתָּה וְאֶת־עַמְּה

#### HCSB rendering:

Esther 2:10 – Esther did not reveal her <u>ethnic background</u> or her <u>birthplace</u> Esther 2:20 – Esther still had not revealed her <u>birthplace</u> or her <u>ethnic background</u>

#### Suggestion:

Esther 2:10 – Esther did not reveal her <u>nationality</u> or her <u>family background</u> Esther 2:20 – Esther still had not revealed her <u>family background</u> or her <u>nationality</u>

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

What was Esther hiding? The HCSB translates מוֹלָוֶת as "birthplace," which is not at all common. Many modern translations (NIV, GW, NLT, JPS) translate as "family background." Others prefer "kindred" or even "lineage." BDB argues that this word means either "kindred" or the circumstances of one's birth, which is not the same idea as the location. TWOT specifically refutes the argument that adding a *mem* to the word makes it refer to a place. It cites these verses as ones where the kindred idea would be better. We agree with their analysis. But "kindred" strikes us as an archaic word and we note that it isn't used in the HCSB, so we suggest "family background."

That does, however, create a problem with the HCSB's choice of "ethnic background" for  $\Box y$  in both of these verses. The repetition of "her ethnic background or her family background" or "her family background" or "her ethnic background" seems awkward to us, so we suggest "nationality" for the other noun.

Bible Reference:

Esther 2:15

Original text:

הַגַּי סְרִיס־הַמָּלֶךְ שֹׁמֵר הַנָּשִׁיִם

# HCSB rendering:

Hegai, the king's trusted official in charge of the harem,

### Suggestion:

Hegai, the king's eunuch,

### Rationale:

At least eleven times in the book of Esther, and three times in this chapter (verses 3, 14, 21), the HCSB renders the word סָרִיס as "eunuch." We don't understand why it was rendered differently in this verse. We suggest that it be made consistent with the rest of the book.

### Bible Reference:

Esther 3:8

### Original text:

ָשְׁנֵוֹ עַם־אֶחָׁד מְפָזֶר וּמְפֹרָד בֵּין הֶעַמִּים בְּכָל מְדִינֵוֹת מַלְכוּתֶד

### HCSB rendering:

There is one ethnic group, scattered throughout the peoples in every province of your kingdom, yet <u>living</u> in isolation.

#### Suggestion:

There is one ethnic group, scattered throughout the peoples in every province of your kingdom, yet keeping themselves separate.

### Rationale:

Translations seem to be pretty evenly divided on the meaning of דְּמָבֹרָד in this verse. Some, like the NIV84, the NASB95, and the ESV, take it to mean "dispersed" or "scattered" and so just a repetition of the idea in the previous participle. Others, like the NIV11, NET, GW, NLT, and JPS take it to have the idea that they keep separate from the other nations, even though they're in every province of the empire. That seems to be the point of the HCSB's rendering as well. We take no issue with that choice, but we fear that "living in isolation" just doesn't convey that idea as well as "keeping to themselves" or "keeping themselves separate" would. We suggest that the HCSB adopt a smoother and clearer translation here.

### **Bible Reference:**

Esther 3:9

### Original text:

: אָשְׁקוֹל עַל־יְדֵי עַשֵּׁי הַמְּלָאלֶה לְהָבָיא אֶל־גְּנְזֵי הַמֵּלֶד

### HCSB rendering:

and I will pay 375 tons of silver to<sup>a</sup> <u>the accountants</u> for deposit in the royal treasury <sup>a</sup>Lit will weigh 10,000 silver talents on the hands of

#### Suggestion:

and I will pay 375 tons of silver to<sup>a</sup> <u>those in charge of the king's business</u> for deposit in the royal treasury <sup>a</sup>Lit will weigh 10,000 silver talents on the hands of

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

"Accountant" has a fairly specific meaning in today's world, and it does not seem to us that it fits exactly with the kinds of officials who would have received a payment like this in the Persian Empire. The Hebrew term is fairly non-specific and we would suggest something that meets that description.

### <u>Bible Reference:</u>

Esther 4:14

### Original text:

כִּי אִם־הַחֲרֵשׁ תַּחֲרִישִׁי בָּעֵת הַזּאֹת ֹרֶוָח וְהַצָּלְّה יַעֲמָוֹד לַיְהוּדִים מִמְקוֹם אַחֵׁר

### HCSB rendering:

If you keep silent at this time, <u>liberation</u> and deliverance will come to the Jewish people from another place

### Suggestion:

If you keep silent at this time, relief and deliverance will come to the Jewish people from another place

### <u>Rationale:</u>

The word  $\exists \dot{r} = 1$  is very rare in the Old Testament. In the one other place that it is used, it refers to physical space. Most modern translations render it here with some variation of "relief" or "help." We suggest that is clearer in the context. There is nothing here to suggest that Mordecai believes that the Jews will be set free (liberation) so that they will no longer be under the dominion of the Persians or others. He trusts in rescue. We suggest a translation that is closer to what most modern translations do.

### **Bible Reference:**

Esther 6:8

Original text:

יָבִיאוֹ ... וְסוּס אֲשֶׁר רָכָב עָלָיוֹ הַמֶּלֶך וַאֲשֶׁר וָתָן כֶּתֶר מַלְכָוּת בְּראשׁוֹ

# HCSB rendering:

Have them bring ... a horse the king himself has ridden, which has a royal diadem on its head.

### Suggestion:

Have them bring ... a horse the king himself has ridden, which has a royal crest on its head.

### Rationale:

Diadem is not a common English word. We fear that it may not convey the kind of headdress put on horses in the ancient world.

### <u>Bible Reference:</u>

Esther 6:12

Original text:

ּוְהָמָן נִדְתַף אֶל־בֵּיתוֹ אָבֵל וַחֵפְוּי רְאׁש:

### HCSB rendering:

but Haman, <u>overwhelmed</u>,<sup>a</sup> hurried off for home with his head covered. <sup>a</sup> Lit *mourning* 

#### Suggestion:

but Haman, grieving, hurried off for home with his head covered.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

As the footnote indicates, the Hebrew word here has a fairly specific meaning: mourning. Some translations (such as the ESV and the NASB) translate it that way here, or with a similar expression (the JPS, and NET). Most other translations choose a word that expresses grief or despair (for example, the NLT, NIV, and GW). "Overwhelmed" just does not seem to us to convey the same sense as this Hebrew word's usage seems to indicate. Therefore, we suggest "grieving" or a similar expression to show the emotional distress Haman was feeling at this moment.

### <u>Bible Reference:</u>

Esther 7:4

#### Original text:

כִּי נִמְכַּרְנוּ אֲנֵי וְעַמִּי לְהַשְׁמִיד לַהַרָוֹג וּלְאַבֵּר וְאָלוּ לַעֲבָרִים וְלִשְׁפָחָוֹת נִמְכַּרְנוּ הֶחֶרֵשְׁתִי

#### HCSB rendering:

For my people and I have been <u>sold out</u> to destruction, death, and extermination. If we had merely been sold as male and female slaves, I would have kept silent.

#### Suggestion:

For my people and I have been <u>sold</u> to destruction, death, and extermination. If we had merely been sold as male and female slaves, I would have kept silent.

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

As far as we can determine, this is the only context where the HCSB ever uses the expression "to sell out." We are not certain that it conveys to the modern American ear the point that Esther was making here. Usually, "to sell out" means either to sell all of the merchandise on hand or to betray one's own cause to an enemy. Neither of those ideas really fits here. While it is unusual for this verb to be used to describe being handed over to death by an enemy, it is quite commonly used to describe God handing his people over to judgment. We suggest simply omitting "out" and thus making the translation consistent with almost every other usage of this verb in the HCSB, including the same form that comes only a few words later in this same verse.

### <u>Bible Reference:</u>

Esther 8:10

### Original text:

# וַיִּשְׁלַח סְפָרִים בְּיֵד הֶרָצִים בַּסּוּסִים רֹכְבֵי הֶרֶכָשׁ הֶאֲחַשְׁתְרָנִים בְּגָי הֶרַמָּכִים

### HCSB rendering:

He sent the documents by mounted couriers, who rode fast horses bred from the royal racing mares.

### Suggestion:

He sent the documents by mounted couriers, who rode horses bred for speed in the royal stables.

### <u>Rationale:</u>

This verse presents a difficulty in translation caused by the uncertain meaning of  $\Box_{n}$ . We assume that the HCSB got its rendering from HALOT, which offers the meaning "racing mares." However, we find the rendering "racing mares" so unexpected and exotic that it is a distraction to the reader. Does it make sense to be so specific with such a rare, unknown word? Do we know that the Persians at this time had horse races with mares?

What seems to be clear is that the horses belonged to the king (הְאָהַשְׁהְרָבִים). It is possible that the expression בְנֵי הֲרַמְכִים implies that they were bred for speed. We suggest a rendering that is less arresting and startling: "horses bred for speed in the royal stables."

#### Bible Reference:

Esther 9:16, 22

#### Original text:

Esther 9:16 – וּשְׁאָר הַיְהוּדִים אֲשֶׁר בִּמְדִינוֹת הַמֶּלֶך נִקְהַלַוּו וְעָמָׁד עַל־נַפְשָׁם וְנוֹחַ מֵאַיְבֵיהֶם Esther 9:22 – פַּיָמִים אֲשֶׁר־נָחוּ בָהֶם הַיְהוּדִים מֵאַוֹיְבֵיהֶם

#### HCSB rendering:

Esther 9:16 – The rest of the Jews in the royal provinces assembled, defended themselves, and <u>got rid of <sup>a</sup></u> their enemies. <sup>a</sup> Lit and gained relief from Esther 9:22 – because during these days the Jews get rid of <sup>a</sup> their enemies.

Esther 9:22 – because during those days the Jews <u>got rid of <sup>a</sup></u> their enemies <sup>a</sup> Lit *Jews gained relief from* 

### Suggestion:

Esther 9:16 – The rest of the Jews in the royal provinces assembled, defended themselves, and <u>gained</u> relief from their enemies.

Esther 9:22 - because during those days the Jews gained relief from their enemies

#### <u>Rationale:</u>

This is an instance where we feel that the footnote does a better job of communicating in English what the Hebrew actually says than the rendering in the HCSB main text. In point of fact, while a great many of their enemies died, it seems unlikely that they "got rid" of all of them. Rather, those who still hated the people of God were intimidated into leaving them alone, perhaps for a generation. They gained relief from them. Further, "to get rid of someone" in English has overtones of film noir gangster speech. We don't know that it's really the tone for this section of God's Word. We notice that in Esther 9:17, the HCSB translated the same word as "they rested."